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#### Abstract

Many researchers have used different auxiliary parameters such as coefficient of variation, coefficient of kurtosis, coefficient of skewness, quartiles, deciles etc., to improve the precision of estimators under various sampling schemes. This paper suggested a class of ratio estimators with two known auxiliary variable parameters for the estimation of population means under a simple random sample without replacement (SRSWOR) using the calibration weighting method. The calibrated weight was obtained using a new calibration constraint, which includes the known standard deviation of the auxiliary variable. The biases and mean square errors of the proposed estimators were derived and compared with the biases and mean square errors of the existing modified ratio estimators in Upadhyaya \& Singh [1], Singh [2], Lu \& Yan [3], and Yan \& Tian [4]. Furthermore, we derived the condition for which the proposed estimators perform better than the existing estimators. The results from using real data sets showed that the suggested estimators perform better than the existing ratio estimators.
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## 1 Introduction

The improvement in the precision of estimates of population parameters in sampling theory is a continuous issue Kanwai, Asiribo, \& Isah, [5] established that by increasing the sampling size, the precision of the estimate can be improved, but the cost of the sampling survey increases by doing so, therefore an appropriate estimation procedure that makes use of an auxiliary parameter which is closely related to the study variable can be used to increase the precision of the estimates. In survey sampling, the availability of more auxiliary information can be used to further increase the precision of an estimate by adjusting the design weights based on all the auxiliary information [6]. Calibration is one of the methods in survey sampling that can be used to achieve this purpose. Calibration weighting was originally developed as a method for reducing sampling errors while retaining randomization consistency [7]. This procedure adjusts the sampling weights by multipliers known as calibration factors that make the estimates agree with known totals. In the literature, many researchers including [4], Kadilar [8], Sarndal [9], Upadhyaya and Singh [1], Singh [2], Lu and Yan [3], Koyuncu \& Kadilar [10], Subramani [11], Kim \& Rao [12], and Deville \& Sarndal [13] etc., have contributed to the improvement of estimators precision using auxiliary parameters. In this paper, we obtained a calibrated weight using a new calibration constraint, which includes the known standard deviation of the auxiliary variable. A class of ratio estimator with two known auxiliary variable parameters for estimation of population means under simple random sample without replacement (SRSWOR) was suggested using the calibration weight obtained. The biases and mean square errors (MSE) of the proposed estimators were derived and used to check the efficiency compared to some existing modified ratio estimators [14].

## 2 Notation Definition

Let population $\Omega=\{1,2, \ldots, N\}$, and let a probability sample s be drawn with sampling design denoted by p , and the probabilities of inclusion $f_{i}=\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{i} \in \mathrm{s})$. For the $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ population unit, let $y_{i}$ be the value of the variable of interest and $x_{i}$ be the value of the auxiliary variable associated with this unit. Let $\mu_{y}$ and $\mu_{x}$ be the population means of $y$ and $x$ respectively.
$N=$ Population size, $n=$ Sample size, $f=n / N=$ Sampling fraction, $Y$ - Study variable,
$X$ - Auxiliary variable, $\mu_{x}$ and $\mu_{y}$-Population means, $x$ and $y$-Sample totals,
$\bar{x}$ and $\bar{y}$-Sample means, $\sigma_{x}$ and $\sigma_{y}$-Population standard deviations,
$C_{x}$ and $C_{y}$ - Coefficient of variations, and $\rho$ - Coefficient of correlation,
$\beta_{1}=\frac{N \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(x_{i}-\mu_{x}\right)^{3}}{(N-1)(N-2) \sigma_{x}^{3}}=$ Coefficient of skewness of the auxiliary variable
$\beta_{2}=\frac{N(N+1) \sum_{1}^{N}\left(x_{I}-\mu_{x}\right)^{4}}{(N-1)(N-2)(N-3) \sigma_{X}^{4}}-\frac{3(N-1)^{2}}{(N-2)(N-3)}=$ Coefficient of kurtosis of the auxiliary variable
$B($.$) - Bias of the estimator, \operatorname{MSE}($.$) - Mean squared error of the estimator$

## 3 Proposed Ratio Estimators

In this section, we proposed a modified generalized class of ratio estimator of population mean in simple random sampling using two parameters of the auxiliary variable and also obtained the bias and the mean square errors.

The calibration weights $W_{i}$ are chosen by minimizing the average distance $L$

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(w_{i}-d_{i}\right)^{2} /\left(d_{i} q_{i}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

while satisfying a calibration constraint

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} W_{i} \mu_{x}=\sigma_{x} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which gives the calibration weight in simple random sampling as

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{i}=d_{i}+\frac{\bar{X} d_{i} q_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_{x}^{2} d_{i} q_{i}}\left(\sigma_{x}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i} \mu_{x}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $W_{i}$ is the design weight such that $0<W_{i}<1, S_{x}$ is the population standard deviation, the design weight $d_{i}=1 / \pi_{1}$, where the $q_{i}$ 's are known positive weights unrelated to $d_{i}$. The inclusion probability is denoted $\pi_{1}=n / N$ so that $d_{i}=N / n$

According to Deville \& Sarndal [13], the calibrated estimator of the population mean $\mu_{y}$ was given as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\bar{Y}}_{D S}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} W_{i} y_{i} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (3) into (4), and setting $q_{i}=\mu_{x}^{-1}$ gives the proposed class of ratio estimators ( $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{k}$ ) for estimating the population mean under SRSWOR as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\bar{Y}}_{k}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i} y_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i} \mu_{x}} \sigma_{x}\left[\frac{A \mu_{x}+B}{A \bar{x}+B}\right] \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ and $B$ can either be real values or known population parameters of the auxiliary variable such as coefficient of skewness $\left(\beta_{1} x\right)$, coefficient of kurtosis $\left(\beta_{2} x\right)$, coefficient of variation $\left(C_{x}\right)$, correlation coefficient $\left(\rho_{x y}\right)$, median $\left(M_{x}\right)$, standard deviation $\left(\sigma_{x}\right)$, quartiles $\left(Q_{x}\right)$ etc.

To obtain the bias and the MSE of $\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{k}\right)$, up to the first order of approximation, we define

$$
\bar{x}=\mu_{x}\left(1+\Delta_{x}\right), \bar{y}=\mu_{y}\left(1+\Delta_{y}\right)
$$

such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left(\Delta_{x}\right)=E\left(\Delta_{y}\right)=0 \\
& E\left(\Delta_{x}^{2}\right)=C_{x}^{2}, E\left(\Delta_{y}^{2}\right)=C_{y}^{2}, E\left(\Delta_{x} \Delta_{y}\right)=\rho_{x y} C_{x} C_{y}
\end{aligned}
$$

expressing (5) in terms of $\Delta_{x}$ and $\Delta_{y}$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{\bar{Y}}_{k}=\frac{\mu_{y}\left(1+\Delta_{y}\right)}{\mu_{x}} \sigma_{x}\left[\frac{A \mu_{x}+B}{A \mu_{x}\left(1+\Delta_{x}\right)+B}\right] \\
& \hat{\bar{Y}}_{k}=\left(\mu_{y} \sigma_{x}+\mu_{y} \Delta_{y} \sigma_{x}\right)\left(\mu_{x}\right)^{-1}\left[\frac{A \mu_{x}+B}{\left(A \mu_{x}+B\right)\left(1+\frac{A \mu_{x} \Delta_{x}}{A \mu_{x}+B}\right)}\right] \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\text { Let } V_{k}=\frac{A \mu_{x}}{A \mu_{x}+B}
$$

Substitute $V_{k}$ into (6), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\bar{Y}}_{k}=\left(\mu_{y} \sigma_{x}+\mu_{y} \sigma_{x} \Delta_{y}\right)\left(1+V_{k} \Delta_{x}\right)^{-1}\left(\mu_{x}\right)^{-1} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we assume, $\left|\Delta_{x}\right|<1$ and $\left|\Delta_{y}\right|<1$, the expression $\left(1+V_{k} \Delta_{x}\right)^{-1}$ can be expanded to a convergent infinite series using binomial expansion. Expanding the term $\Delta$ 's up to power 2, hence,

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[1+V_{k} \Delta_{x}\right]^{-1}=\left(1-V_{k} \Delta_{x}+V_{k}^{2} \Delta_{x}^{2}\right)} \\
& \hat{\bar{Y}_{k}}=\left(\mu_{y} \sigma_{x}+\mu_{y} \sigma_{x} \Delta_{y}\right)\left(1-V_{k} \Delta_{x}+V_{k}^{2} \Delta_{x}^{2}\right)\left(\mu_{x}\right)^{-1}  \tag{8}\\
& =R \sigma_{x}+R \Delta_{y} \sigma_{x}-R V_{k} \sigma_{x} \Delta_{x}-R V_{k} \sigma_{x} \Delta_{x} \Delta_{y}+R V_{k}^{2} \sigma_{x} \Delta_{x}^{2} \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

Subtracting $\mu_{y}$ from both sides of (9) and taking the expectation, the bias of the estimator $\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{k}\right)$ to the first degree of approximation is

$$
\begin{align*}
& B\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{k}\right)=E\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{k}-\mu_{y}\right) \\
& =E\left(R \sigma_{x}+R \Delta_{y} \sigma_{x}-R V_{k} \sigma_{x} \Delta_{x}-R V_{k} \sigma_{x} \Delta_{x} \Delta_{y}+R V_{k}^{2} \sigma_{x} \Delta_{x}^{2}-\mu_{y}\right) \\
& B\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{k}\right)=\frac{1-f}{n}\left(R \sigma_{x}-R V_{k} \sigma_{x} C_{x} C_{y} \rho_{x y}+R V_{k}^{2} \sigma_{x} C_{x}^{2}-\mu_{y}\right) \\
& =\frac{1-f}{n} \mu_{y}\left(C_{x}-V_{k} C_{x}^{2} C_{y} \rho_{x y}+V_{k}^{2} C_{x}^{3}-1\right) \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

From (9) the mean square error of the estimator $\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{k}\right)$ to the first degree of approximation is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{MSE}\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{k}\right)=E\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{k}-\mu_{y}\right)^{2} \\
& =E\left(R \sigma_{x}+R \Delta_{y} \sigma_{x}-R V_{k} \sigma_{x} \Delta_{x}-R V_{k} \sigma_{x} \Delta_{x} \Delta_{y}+R V_{k}^{2} \sigma_{x} \Delta_{x}^{2}-\mu_{y}\right)^{2}  \tag{11}\\
& \operatorname{MSE}\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{k}\right)=\frac{1-f}{n}\binom{R^{2} C_{x}+3 R^{2} V_{k}^{2} \sigma_{x}^{2} C_{x}^{2}-4 R^{2} V_{k} \sigma_{x}^{2} C_{x} C_{y} \rho_{x y}-2 R \mu_{y} \sigma_{x}+R^{2} \sigma_{x}^{2} C_{x}^{2}}{-2 R \mu_{y} V_{k}^{2} \sigma_{x} C_{x}^{2}+2 R \mu_{y} V_{k} \sigma_{x} C_{x} C_{y} \rho_{x y}+\mu_{y}^{2}} \\
& =\frac{1-f}{n} \mu_{y}^{2}\left(1-2 C_{x}+C_{x}^{2}+C_{x}^{2} C_{y}^{2}-2 V_{k}^{2} C_{x}^{3}+3 V_{k}^{2} C_{x}^{4}+2 V_{k} C_{x}^{2} C_{y} \rho_{x y}-4 V_{k} C_{x}^{3} C_{y} \rho_{x y}\right) \\
& =\frac{1-f}{n} \mu_{y}^{2}\left[1+C_{x}^{2}\left(1+C_{y}^{2}\right)-2 C_{x}\left(1+V_{k}^{2} C_{x}^{2}-V_{k} C_{x} C_{y} \rho_{x y}\right)+V_{k} C_{x}^{3}\left(3 V_{k} C_{x}-4 C_{y} \rho_{x y}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

To the first degree of approximation the biases and mean square errors (MSEs) of the proposed set of estimators are given as

$$
\begin{align*}
& B\left(\hat{Y_{k}}\right)=\frac{1-f}{n} \mu_{y}\left(C_{x}-V_{k} C_{x}^{2} C_{y} \rho_{x y}+V_{k}^{2} C_{x}^{3}-1\right)  \tag{12}\\
& \operatorname{MSE}\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{k}\right)=\frac{1-f}{n} \mu_{y}^{2}\left[1+C_{x}^{2}\left(1+C_{y}^{2}\right)-2 C_{x}\left(1+V_{k}^{2} C_{x}^{2}-V_{k} C_{x} C_{y} \rho_{x y}\right)+V_{k} C_{x}^{3}\left(3 V_{k} C_{x}-4 C_{y} \rho_{x y}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where $V_{k}=\frac{A \mu_{x}}{A \mu_{x}+B}$

### 3.1 Analytical study

The existing ratio estimators considered in this work and the proposed estimators are given in Table 1 with their respective auxiliary variables, Table 2 consists of the bias of the proposed and existing ratio estimators with their constants, while Table 3 consists of the mean square errors of the proposed and existing ratio estimators with their constants.

The MSEs of the proposed estimators are compared with the MSEs of some existing estimators as listed in Table 1. The proposed estimator $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{k}$ in (7) will be better than the existing estimators in Table 1 if and only if $\operatorname{MSE}\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{k}\right)<\operatorname{MSE}\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{j}\right)$, that is if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{j} \hat{Y}^{2}\left(1+C_{x}^{2}+C_{x}^{2} C_{y}^{2}+3 V_{1}^{2} C_{x}^{4}-2 V_{1}^{2} C_{x}^{3}-4 V_{1} C_{x}^{3} C_{y} \rho-2 C_{x}-2 V_{1} C_{x}^{2} C_{y} \rho\right) \leq f_{1} \hat{Y}^{2}\left(C_{y}^{2}+\theta_{14}^{2} C_{x}^{2}-2 \theta_{14} C_{x} C_{y} \rho\right) \\
& \Rightarrow\left(1-C_{x}\right)^{2}-C_{y}^{2}\left(1-C_{x}^{2}\right)+2 V_{k} C_{x} C_{y} \rho_{x y}\left(1+C_{x}-2 C_{x}^{2}\right)-V_{k}^{2} C_{x}^{2}\left(1+2 C_{x}-3 C_{x}^{2}\right) \leq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

The percent relative efficiency (PRE) of the proposed estimators $\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{k}\right)$ with respect to the existing estimators $\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{j}\right)$ by Upadhyaya and Singh [1], Singh [2], Lu and Yan [3], and Yan and Tian [4] are computed as $\% R E\left[\hat{\bar{Y}}_{k}\right]=\frac{\operatorname{MSE}\left[\hat{\bar{Y}}_{j}\right]}{\operatorname{MSE}\left[\hat{\bar{Y}}_{k}\right]} \times 100$

## Table 1. Existing ratio estimators and the proposed ratio estimators

| Existing Estimators ( $\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{j}}$ ) | Proposed Estimators $\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{k}\right)$ | A | B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{1}=\bar{y}\left[\frac{\beta_{2(x)} \bar{X}+C_{x}}{\beta_{2(x)} \bar{x}+C_{x}}\right]$ <br> Upadhyaya and Singh [1] | $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{1}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i} y_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i} \bar{X}} S_{x}\left[\frac{\beta_{2} \bar{X}+C_{x}}{\beta_{2} \bar{x}+C_{x}}\right]$ | $\beta_{2(x)}$ | $C_{x}$ |
| $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{2}=\bar{y}\left[\frac{C_{x} \bar{X}+\beta_{2(x)}}{C_{x} \bar{x}+\beta_{2(x)}}\right]$ <br> Upadhyaya and Singh [1] | $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{2}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i} y_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i} \bar{X}} S_{x}\left[\frac{C_{x} \bar{X}+\beta_{2}}{C_{x} \bar{x}+\beta_{2}}\right]$ | $C_{x}$ | $\beta_{2(x)}$ |
| $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{3}=\bar{y}\left[\frac{\beta_{1(x)} \bar{X}+C_{x}}{\beta_{1(x)} \bar{x}+C_{x}}\right]$ <br> Yan and Tian [4] | $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{3}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i} y_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i} \bar{X}} S_{x}\left[\frac{\beta_{1} \bar{X}+C_{x}}{\beta_{1} \bar{x}+C_{x}}\right]$ | $\beta_{1(x)}$ | $C_{x}$ |
| $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{4}=\bar{y}\left[\frac{C_{x} \bar{X}+\beta_{1(x)}}{C_{x} \bar{x}+\beta_{1(x)}}\right]$ <br> Yan and Tian [4] | $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{4}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i} y_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i} \bar{X}} S_{x}\left[\frac{C_{x} \bar{X}+\beta_{1}}{C_{x} \bar{x}+\beta_{1}}\right]$ | $C_{x}$ | $\beta_{1(x)}$ |
| $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{5}=\bar{y}\left[\frac{\rho_{x y} \bar{X}+C_{x}}{\rho_{x y} \bar{x}+C_{x}}\right]$ <br> Lu and Yan [3] | $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{5}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i} y_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i} \bar{X}} S_{x}\left[\frac{\rho \bar{X}+C_{x}}{\rho \bar{x}+C_{x}}\right]$ | $\rho$ | $C_{x}$ |


| Existing Estimators ( $\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{j}}$ ) | Proposed Estimators $\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{k}\right)$ | $A$ | $B$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{6}=\bar{y}\left[\frac{C_{x} \bar{X}+\rho}{C_{x} \bar{x}+\rho}\right]$ <br> Lu and Yan [3] | $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{6}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i} y_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i} \bar{X}} S_{x}\left[\frac{C_{x} \bar{X}+\rho}{C_{x} \bar{x}+\rho}\right]$ | $C_{x}$ | $\rho$ |
| $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{7}=\bar{y}\left[\frac{S_{x} \bar{X}+C_{x}}{S_{x} \bar{x}+C_{x}}\right]$ <br> Singh [2] | $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{7}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i} y_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i} \bar{X}} S_{x}\left[\frac{S_{x} \bar{X}+C_{x}}{S_{x} \bar{x}+C_{x}}\right]$ | $S_{x}$ | $C_{x}$ |
| $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{8}=\bar{y}\left[\frac{C_{x} \bar{X}+S_{x}}{C_{x} \bar{x}+S_{x}}\right]$ <br> Singh [2] | $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{8}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i} y_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i} \bar{X}} S_{x}\left[\frac{C_{x} \bar{X}+S_{x}}{C_{x} \bar{x}+S_{x}}\right]$ | $C_{x}$ | $S_{x}$ |
| $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{9}=\bar{y}\left[\frac{\beta_{1(x)} \bar{X}+\beta_{2(x)}}{\beta_{1(x)} \bar{x}+\beta_{2(x)}}\right]$ <br> Yan and Tian [4] | $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{9}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i} y_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i} \bar{X}} S_{x}\left[\frac{\beta_{1} \bar{X}+\beta_{2}}{\beta_{1} \bar{x}+\beta_{2}}\right]$ | $\beta_{1(x)}$ | $\beta_{2(x)}$ |
| $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{10}=\bar{y}\left[\frac{\beta_{2(x)} \bar{X}+\beta_{1(x)}}{\beta_{2(x)} \bar{x}+\beta_{1(x)}}\right]$ <br> Yan and Tian [4]) | $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{10}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i} y_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i} \bar{X}} S_{x}\left[\frac{\beta_{2} \bar{X}+\beta_{1}}{\beta_{2} \bar{x}+\beta_{1}}\right]$ | $\beta_{2(x)}$ | $\beta_{1(x)}$ |

## Existing Estimators $\left(\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{j}}\right)$

$\hat{\bar{Y}}_{11}=\bar{y}\left[\frac{\rho \bar{X}+\beta_{2(x)}}{\rho \bar{x}+\beta_{2(x)}}\right]$
Lu and Yan [3]
$\hat{\bar{Y}}_{12}=\bar{y}\left[\frac{\beta_{2(x)} \bar{X}+\rho}{\beta_{2(x)} \bar{x}+\rho}\right]$
Lu and Yan [3]
$\hat{\bar{Y}}_{13}=\bar{y}\left[\frac{S_{x} \bar{X}+\beta_{2(x)}}{S_{x} \bar{x}+\beta_{2(x)}}\right]$
Singh [2]
$\hat{\bar{Y}}_{14}=\bar{y}\left[\frac{\beta_{2(x)} \bar{X}+S_{x}}{\beta_{2(x)} \bar{x}+S_{x}}\right]$
Singh [2]
$\hat{\bar{Y}}_{15}=\bar{y}\left[\frac{S_{x} \bar{X}+\beta_{1(x)}}{S_{x} \bar{x}+\beta_{1(x)}}\right]$
Singh [2]
$\hat{\bar{Y}}_{16}=\bar{y}\left[\frac{\beta_{1(x)} \bar{X}+S_{x}}{\beta_{1(x)} \bar{x}+S_{x}}\right]$
Singh [2]

Proposed Estimators $\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{k}\right)$
$\hat{\bar{Y}}_{11}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i} y_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i} \bar{X}} S_{x}\left[\frac{\rho \bar{X}+\beta_{2}}{\rho \bar{x}+\beta_{2}}\right]$
$\hat{\bar{Y}}_{12}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i} y_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i} \bar{X}} S_{x}\left[\frac{\beta_{2} \bar{X}+\rho}{\beta_{2} \bar{x}+\rho}\right]$
$\hat{\bar{Y}}_{13}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i} y_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i} \bar{X}} S_{x}\left[\frac{S_{x} \bar{X}+\beta_{2}}{S_{x} \bar{x}+\beta_{2}}\right]$
$\hat{\bar{Y}}_{14}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i} y_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i} \bar{X}} S_{x}\left[\frac{\beta_{2} \bar{X}+S_{x}}{\beta_{2} \bar{x}+S_{x}}\right]$
$\hat{\bar{Y}}_{15}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i} y_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i} \bar{X}} S_{x}\left[\frac{S_{x} \bar{X}+\beta_{1}}{S_{x} \bar{x}+\beta_{1}}\right]$
$\hat{\bar{Y}}_{16}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i} y_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i} \bar{X}} S_{x}\left[\frac{\beta_{1} \bar{X}+S_{x}}{\beta_{1} \bar{x}+S_{x}}\right]$
$\beta_{2(x)}$
$S_{x} \quad \beta_{1(x)}$
B
$\beta_{2(x)}$
$\rho$
$\beta_{2(x)}$
$S_{x}$
$\beta_{2(x)}$
$S_{x}$
$\beta_{1(x)}$

Table 2. The constant and bias of the Existing and Proposed ratio estimators $\left(f_{j}=\frac{1-f}{n}\right)$

| Constants $\left(\theta_{i}\right)$ | Existing Bias B(.) | Constants $V_{i}$ | Proposed Bias B(.) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\theta_{1}=\frac{\beta_{2(x)} \bar{X}}{\beta_{2(x)} \bar{X}+C_{x}}$ | $f_{1} \bar{Y}\left(\theta_{1}^{2} C_{x}^{2}-\theta_{1} C_{x} C_{y} \rho\right)$ | $V_{1}=\frac{\beta_{2} \bar{X}}{\beta_{2} \bar{X}+C_{x}}$ | $f_{j} \bar{Y}\left(C_{x}-V_{1} C_{x}^{2} C_{y} \rho+V_{1}^{2} C_{x}^{3}-1\right)$ |
| $\theta_{2}=\frac{C_{x} \bar{X}}{C_{x} \bar{X}+\beta_{2(x)}}$ | $V_{2}=\frac{C_{x} \bar{X}}{C_{x} \bar{X}+\beta_{2}}$ | $f_{j} \bar{Y}\left(C_{x}-V_{2} C_{x}^{2} C_{y} \rho+V_{2}^{2} C_{x}^{3}-1\right)$ |  |
| $\theta_{3}=\frac{\beta_{1(x)} \bar{X}}{\beta_{1(x)} \bar{X}+C_{x}}$ | $V_{3}=\frac{\beta_{1} \bar{X}}{\beta_{1} \bar{X}+C_{x}}$ | $f_{j} \bar{Y}\left(C_{x}-V_{3} C_{x}^{2} C_{y}^{2} \rho+V_{3}^{2}-\theta_{2} C_{x} C_{y}^{3} \rho\right)$ | $V_{4}=\frac{C_{x} \bar{X}}{C_{x} \bar{X}+\beta_{1}}$ |
| $\theta_{4}=\frac{C_{x} \bar{X}}{C_{x} \bar{X}+\beta_{1(x)}}$ | $f_{1} \bar{Y}\left(\theta_{3}^{2} C_{x}^{2}-\theta_{3} C_{x} C_{y} \rho\right)$ | $f_{j} \bar{Y}\left(C_{x}-V_{4} C_{x}^{2} C_{y} \rho+V_{4}^{2} C_{x}^{3}-1\right)$ |  |
| $\theta_{5}=\frac{\rho \bar{X}}{\rho \bar{X}+C_{x}}$ | $f_{1} \bar{Y}\left(\theta_{4}^{2} C_{x}^{2}-\theta_{4} C_{x} C_{y} \rho\right)$ | $V_{5}=\frac{\rho \bar{X}}{\rho \bar{X}+C_{x}}$ | $f_{j} \bar{Y}\left(C_{x}-V_{5} C_{x}^{2} C_{y} \rho+V_{5}^{2} C_{x}^{3}-1\right)$ |
| $\theta_{6}=\frac{C_{x} \bar{X}}{C_{x} \bar{X}+\rho}$ | $V_{6}=\frac{C_{x} \bar{X}}{C_{x} \bar{X}+\rho}$ | $f_{j} \bar{Y}\left(C_{x}-V_{6} C_{x}^{2} C_{y} \rho+V_{6}^{2} C_{x}^{3}-1\right)$ |  |
| $\theta_{7}=\frac{S_{x} \bar{X}}{S_{x} \bar{X}+C_{x}}$ | $V_{7}=\frac{S_{x} \bar{X}}{S_{x} \bar{X}+C_{x}}$ | $f_{j} \bar{Y}\left(C_{x}-V_{7} C_{x}^{2} C_{y}^{2} \rho+V_{7}^{2} C_{x}^{3}-\theta_{5} C_{x} C_{y} \rho\right)$ | $V_{8}=\frac{C_{x} \bar{X}}{C_{x} \bar{X}+S_{x}}$ |
| $\theta_{8}=\frac{C_{x} \bar{X}}{C_{x} \bar{X}+S_{x}}$ | $f_{1} \bar{Y}\left(\theta_{6}^{2} C_{x}^{2}-\theta_{6}^{2} C_{x} C_{y}^{2} \rho\right)$ | $f_{j} \bar{Y}\left(C_{x}-V_{8} C_{x}^{2} C_{y} \rho+V_{8}^{2} C_{x}^{3}-1\right)$ |  |
| $\theta_{9}=\frac{\beta_{1(x)} \bar{X}}{\beta_{1(x)} \bar{X}+\beta_{2(x)}}$ | $f_{1} \bar{Y}\left(\theta_{8}^{2} C_{x}^{2}-\theta_{8} C_{x} C_{y} \rho\right)$ | $V_{9}=\frac{\beta_{1} \bar{X}}{\beta_{1} \bar{X}+\beta_{2}}$ | $f_{j} \bar{Y}\left(C_{x}-V_{9} C_{x}^{2} C_{y} \rho+V_{9}^{2} C_{x}^{3}-1\right)$ |


| Constants $\left(\theta_{\mathbf{i}}\right)$ | Existing Bias B(.) | Constants $V_{i}$ | Proposed Bias B(.) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\theta_{10}=\frac{\beta_{2(x)} \bar{X}}{\beta_{2(x)} \bar{X}+\beta_{1(x)}}$ | $f_{1} \bar{Y}\left(\theta_{10}^{2} C_{x}^{2}-\theta_{10} C_{x} C_{y} \rho\right)$ | $V_{10}=\frac{\beta_{2} \bar{X}}{\beta_{2} \bar{X}+\beta_{1}}$ | $f_{j} \bar{Y}\left(C_{x}-V_{10} C_{x}^{2} C_{y} \rho+V_{10}^{2} C_{x}^{3}-1\right)$ |
| $\theta_{11}=\frac{\rho \bar{X}}{\rho \bar{X}+\beta_{2(x)}}$ | $f_{1} \bar{Y}\left(\theta_{11}^{2} C_{x}^{2}-\theta_{11} C_{x} C_{y} \rho\right)$ | $V_{11}=\frac{\rho \bar{X}}{\rho \bar{X}+\beta_{2}}$ | $f_{j} \bar{Y}\left(C_{x}-V_{11} C_{x}^{2} C_{y} \rho+V_{11}^{2} C_{x}^{3}-1\right)$ |
| $\theta_{12}=\frac{\beta_{2(x)} \bar{X}}{\beta_{2(x)} \bar{X}+\rho}$ | $f_{1} \bar{Y}\left(\theta_{12}^{2} C_{x}^{2}-\theta_{12} C_{x} C_{y} \rho\right)$ | $V_{12}=\frac{\beta_{2} \bar{X}}{\beta_{2} \bar{X}+\rho}$ | $f_{j} \bar{Y}\left(C_{x}-V_{12} C_{x}^{2} C_{y} \rho+V_{12}^{2} C_{x}^{3}-1\right)$ |
| $\theta_{13}=\frac{S_{x} \bar{X}}{S_{x} \bar{X}+\beta_{2(x)}}$ | $f_{1} \bar{Y}\left(\theta_{13}^{2} C_{x}^{2}-\theta_{13} C_{x} C_{y} \rho\right)$ | $V_{13}=\frac{S_{x} \bar{X}}{S_{x} \bar{X}+\beta_{2}}$ | $f_{j} \bar{Y}\left(C_{x}-V_{13} C_{x}^{2} C_{y} \rho+V_{13}^{2} C_{x}^{3}-1\right)$ |
| $\theta_{14}=\frac{\beta_{2(x)} \bar{X}}{\beta_{2(x)} \bar{X}+S_{x}}$ | $f_{1} \bar{Y}\left(\theta_{14}^{2} C_{x}^{2}-\theta_{14} C_{x} C_{y} \rho\right)$ | $V_{15}=\frac{S_{x} \bar{X}}{S_{x} \bar{X}+S_{x}}$ | $f_{j} \bar{Y}\left(C_{x}-V_{14} C_{x}^{2} C_{y} \rho+V_{14}^{2} C_{x}^{3}-1\right)$ |
| $\theta_{15}=\frac{S_{x} \bar{X}}{S_{x} \bar{X}+\beta_{1(x)}}$ | $f_{1} \bar{Y}\left(\theta_{15}^{2} C_{x}^{2}-\theta_{15} C_{x} C_{y} \rho\right)$ | $f_{j} \bar{Y}\left(C_{x}-V_{15} C_{x}^{2} C_{y} \rho+V_{15}^{2} C_{x}^{3}-1\right)$ |  |
| $\theta_{16}=\frac{\beta_{1(x)} \bar{X}}{\beta_{1(x)} \bar{X}+S_{x}}$ | $f_{1} \bar{Y}\left(\theta_{16}^{2} C_{x}^{2}-\theta_{16} C_{x} C_{y} \rho\right)$ | $V_{16}=\frac{\beta_{1} \bar{X}}{\beta_{1} \bar{X}+S_{x}}$ | $f_{j} \bar{Y}\left(C_{x}-V_{16} C_{x}^{2} C_{y} \rho+V_{16}^{2} C_{x}^{3}-1\right)$ |

Table 3. The constant and mean square errors of the Existing and Proposed ratio estimators $\left(f_{j}=\frac{1-f}{n}\right)$

| Constants( $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\mathbf{i}}$ ) | Existing Mean Square Error MSE(.) | Constants $V_{i}$ | Proposed Mean Square Error MSE(.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\theta_{1}=\frac{\beta_{2(x)} \bar{X}}{\beta_{2(x)} \bar{X}+C_{x}}$ | $f_{1} \hat{\bar{Y}}^{2}\left(C_{y}^{2}+\theta_{1}^{2} C_{x}^{2}-2 \theta_{1} C_{x} C_{y} \rho\right)$ | $V_{1}=\frac{\beta_{2} \bar{X}}{\beta_{2} \bar{X}+C_{x}}$ | $f_{j} \hat{Y}^{2}\binom{1+C_{x}^{2}+C_{x}^{2} C_{y}^{2}+3 V_{1}^{2} C_{x}^{4}-2 V_{1}^{2} C_{x}^{3}}{-4 V_{1} C_{x}^{3} C_{y} \rho-2 C_{x}-2 V_{1} C_{x}^{2} C_{y} \rho}$ |
| $\theta_{2}=\frac{C_{x} \bar{X}}{C_{x} \bar{X}+\beta_{2(x)}}$ | $f_{1} \hat{\bar{Y}}^{2}\left(C_{y}^{2}+\theta_{2}^{2} C_{x}^{2}-2 \theta_{2} C_{x} C_{y} \rho\right)$ | $V_{2}=\frac{C_{x} \bar{X}}{C_{x} \bar{X}+\beta_{2}}$ | $f_{j} \hat{\bar{Y}}^{2}\binom{1+C_{x}^{2}+C_{x}^{2} C_{y}^{2}+3 V_{2}^{2} C_{x}^{4}-2 V_{2}^{2} C_{x}^{3}}{-4 V_{2} C_{x}^{3} C_{y} \rho-2 C_{x}-2 V_{2} C_{x}^{2} C_{y} \rho}$ |
| $\theta_{3}=\frac{\beta_{1(x)} \bar{X}}{\beta_{1(x)} \bar{X}+C_{x}}$ | $f_{1} \hat{\bar{Y}}^{2}\left(C_{y}^{2}+\theta_{3}^{2} C_{x}^{2}-2 \theta_{3} C_{x} C_{y} \rho\right)$ | $V_{3}=\frac{\beta_{1} \bar{X}}{\beta_{1} \bar{X}+C_{x}}$ | $f_{j} \hat{\bar{Y}}^{2}\binom{1+C_{x}^{2}+C_{x}^{2} C_{y}^{2}+3 V_{3}^{2} C_{x}^{4}-2 V_{3}^{2} C_{x}^{3}}{-4 V_{3} C_{x}^{3} C_{y} \rho-2 C_{x}-2 V_{3} C_{x}^{2} C_{y} \rho}$ |
| $\theta_{4}=\frac{C_{x} \bar{X}}{C_{x} \bar{X}+\beta_{1(x)}}$ | $f_{1} \hat{\bar{Y}}^{2}\left(C_{y}^{2}+\theta_{4}^{2} C_{x}^{2}-2 \theta_{4} C_{x} C_{y} \rho\right)$ | $V_{4}=\frac{C_{x} \bar{X}}{C_{x} \bar{X}+\beta_{1}}$ | $f_{j} \hat{\bar{Y}}^{2}\binom{1+C_{x}^{2}+C_{x}^{2} C_{y}^{2}+3 V_{4}^{2} C_{x}^{4}-2 V_{4}^{2} C_{x}^{3}}{-4 V_{4} C_{x}^{3} C_{y} \rho-2 C_{x}-2 V_{4} C_{x}^{2} C_{y} \rho}$ |
| $\theta_{5}=\frac{\rho \bar{X}}{\rho \bar{X}+C_{x}}$ | $f_{1} \hat{\bar{Y}}^{2}\left(C_{y}^{2}+\theta_{5}^{2} C_{x}^{2}-2 \theta_{5} C_{x} C_{y} \rho\right)$ | $V_{5}=\frac{\rho \bar{X}}{\rho \bar{X}+C_{x}}$ | $f_{j} \hat{\bar{Y}}^{2}\binom{1+C_{x}^{2}+C_{x}^{2} C_{y}^{2}+3 V_{5}^{2} C_{x}^{4}-2 V_{5}^{2} C_{x}^{3}}{-4 V_{5} C_{x}^{3} C_{y} \rho-2 C_{x}-2 V_{5} C_{x}^{2} C_{y} \rho}$ |
| $\theta_{6}=\frac{C_{x} \bar{X}}{C_{x} \bar{X}+\rho}$ | $f_{1} \hat{\bar{Y}}^{2}\left(C_{y}^{2}+\theta_{6}^{2} C_{x}^{2}-2 \theta_{6} C_{x} C_{y} \rho\right)$ | $V_{6}=\frac{C_{x} \bar{X}}{C_{x} \bar{X}+\rho}$ | $f_{j} \hat{\bar{Y}}^{2}\binom{1+C_{x}^{2}+C_{x}^{2} C_{y}^{2}+3 V_{6}^{2} C_{x}^{4}-2 V_{6}^{2} C_{x}^{3}}{-4 V_{6} C_{x}^{3} C_{y} \rho-2 C_{x}-2 V_{6} C_{x}^{2} C_{y} \rho}$ |
| $\theta_{7}=\frac{S_{x} \bar{X}}{S_{x} \bar{X}+C_{x}}$ | $f_{1} \hat{\bar{Y}}^{2}\left(C_{y}^{2}+\theta_{7}^{2} C_{x}^{2}-2 \theta_{7} C_{x} C_{y} \rho\right)$ | $V_{7}=\frac{S_{x} \bar{X}}{S_{x} \bar{X}+C_{x}}$ | $f_{j} \hat{\bar{Y}}^{2}\binom{1+C_{x}^{2}+C_{x}^{2} C_{y}^{2}+3 V_{7}^{2} C_{x}^{4}-2 V_{7}^{2} C_{x}^{3}}{-4 V_{7} C_{x}^{3} C_{y} \rho-2 C_{x}-2 V_{7} C_{x}^{2} C_{y} \rho}$ |


| Constants( $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\mathbf{i}}$ ) | Existing Mean Square Error MSE(.) | Constants $V_{i}$ | Proposed Mean Square Error MSE(.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\theta_{8}=\frac{C_{x} \bar{X}}{C_{x} \bar{X}+S_{x}}$ | $f_{1} \hat{\bar{Y}}^{2}\left(C_{y}^{2}+\theta_{8}^{2} C_{x}^{2}-2 \theta_{8} C_{x} C_{y} \rho\right)$ | $V_{8}=\frac{C_{x} \bar{X}}{C_{x} \bar{X}+S_{x}}$ | $f_{j} \hat{\bar{Y}}^{2}\binom{1+C_{x}^{2}+C_{x}^{2} C_{y}^{2}+3 V_{8}^{2} C_{x}^{4}-2 V_{8}^{2} C_{x}^{3}}{-4 V_{8} C_{x}^{3} C_{y} \rho-2 C_{x}-2 V_{8} C_{x}^{2} C_{y} \rho}$ |
| $\theta_{9}=\frac{\beta_{1(x)} \bar{X}}{\beta_{1(x)} \bar{X}+\beta_{2(x)}}$ | $f_{1} \hat{\bar{Y}}^{2}\left(C_{y}^{2}+\theta_{9}^{2} C_{x}^{2}-2 \theta_{9} C_{x} C_{y} \rho\right)$ | $V_{9}=\frac{\beta_{1} \bar{X}}{\beta_{1} \bar{X}+\beta_{2}}$ | $f_{j} \hat{\bar{Y}}^{2}\binom{1+C_{x}^{2}+C_{x}^{2} C_{y}^{2}+3 V_{9}^{2} C_{x}^{4}-2 V_{9}^{2} C_{x}^{3}}{-4 V_{9} C_{x}^{3} C_{y} \rho-2 C_{x}-2 V_{9} C_{x}^{2} C_{y} \rho}$ |
| $\theta_{10}=\frac{\beta_{2(x)} \bar{X}}{\beta_{2(x)} \bar{X}+\beta_{1(x)}}$ | $f_{1} \hat{\bar{Y}}^{2}\left(C_{y}^{2}+\theta_{10}^{2} C_{x}^{2}-2 \theta_{10} C_{x} C_{y} \rho\right)$ | $V_{10}=\frac{\beta_{2} \bar{X}}{\beta_{2} \bar{X}+\beta_{1}}$ | $f_{j} \hat{\bar{Y}}^{2}\binom{1+C_{x}^{2}+C_{x}^{2} C_{y}^{2}+3 V_{10}^{2} C_{x}^{4}-2 V_{10}^{2} C_{x}^{3}}{-4 V_{10} C_{x}^{3} C_{y} \rho-2 C_{x}-2 V_{10} C_{x}^{2} C_{y} \rho}$ |
| $\theta_{11}=\frac{\rho \bar{X}}{\rho \bar{X}+\beta_{2(x)}}$ | $f_{1} \hat{\bar{Y}}^{2}\left(C_{y}^{2}+\theta_{11}^{2} C_{x}^{2}-2 \theta_{11} C_{x} C_{y} \rho\right)$ | $V_{11}=\frac{\rho \bar{X}}{\rho \bar{X}+\beta_{2}}$ | $f_{j} \hat{Y}^{2}\binom{1+C_{x}^{2}+C_{x}^{2} C_{y}^{2}+3 V_{11}^{2} C_{x}^{4}-2 V_{11}^{2} C_{x}^{3}}{-4 V_{11} C_{x}^{3} C_{y} \rho-2 C_{x}-2 V_{11} C_{x}^{2} C_{y} \rho}$ |
| $\theta_{12}=\frac{\beta_{2(x)} \bar{X}}{\beta_{2(x)} \bar{X}+\rho}$ | $f_{1} \hat{\bar{Y}}^{2}\left(C_{y}^{2}+\theta_{12}^{2} C_{x}^{2}-2 \theta_{12} C_{x} C_{y} \rho\right)$ | $V_{12}=\frac{\beta_{2} \bar{X}}{\beta_{2} \bar{X}+\rho}$ | $f_{j} \hat{Y}^{2}\binom{1+C_{x}^{2}+C_{x}^{2} C_{y}^{2}+3 V_{12}^{2} C_{x}^{4}-2 V_{12}^{2} C_{x}^{3}}{-4 V_{12} C_{x}^{3} C_{y} \rho-2 C_{x}-2 V_{12} C_{x}^{2} C_{y} \rho}$ |
| $\theta_{13}=\frac{S_{x} \bar{X}}{S_{x} \bar{X}+\beta_{2(x)}}$ | $f_{1} \hat{\bar{Y}}^{2}\left(C_{y}^{2}+\theta_{13}^{2} C_{x}^{2}-2 \theta_{13} C_{x} C_{y} \rho\right)$ | $V_{13}=\frac{S_{x} \bar{X}}{S_{x} \bar{X}+\beta_{2}}$ | $f_{j} \hat{Y}^{2}\binom{1+C_{x}^{2}+C_{x}^{2} C_{y}^{2}+3 V_{13}^{2} C_{x}^{4}-2 V_{13}^{2} C_{x}^{3}}{-4 V_{13} C_{x}^{3} C_{y} \rho-2 C_{x}-2 V_{13} C_{x}^{2} C_{y} \rho}$ |
| $\theta_{14}=\frac{\beta_{2(x)} \bar{X}}{\beta_{2(x)} \bar{X}+S_{x}}$ | $f_{1} \hat{\bar{Y}}^{2}\left(C_{y}^{2}+\theta_{14}^{2} C_{x}^{2}-2 \theta_{14} C_{x} C_{y} \rho\right)$ | $V_{14}=\frac{\beta_{2} \bar{X}}{\beta_{2} \bar{X}+S_{x}}$ | $f_{j} \hat{Y}^{2}\binom{1+C_{x}^{2}+C_{x}^{2} C_{y}^{2}+3 V_{14}^{2} C_{x}^{4}-2 V_{14}^{2} C_{x}^{3}}{-4 V_{14} C_{x}^{3} C_{y} \rho-2 C_{x}-2 V_{14} C_{x}^{2} C_{y} \rho}$ |
| $\theta_{15}=\frac{S_{x} \bar{X}}{S_{x} \bar{X}+\beta_{1(x)}}$ | $f_{1} \hat{\bar{Y}}^{2}\left(C_{y}^{2}+\theta_{15}^{2} C_{x}^{2}-2 \theta_{15} C_{x} C_{y} \rho\right)$ | $V_{15}=\frac{S_{x} \bar{X}}{S_{x} \bar{X}+\beta_{1}}$ | $f_{j} \hat{Y}^{2}\binom{1+C_{x}^{2}+C_{x}^{2} C_{y}^{2}+3 V_{15}^{2} C_{x}^{4}-2 V_{15}^{2} C_{x}^{3}}{-4 V_{15} C_{x}^{3} C_{y} \rho-2 C_{x}-2 V_{15} C_{x}^{2} C_{y} \rho}$ |
| $\theta_{16}=\frac{\beta_{1(x)} \bar{X}}{\beta_{1(x)} \bar{X}+S_{x}}$ | $f_{1} \hat{\bar{Y}}^{2}\left(C_{y}^{2}+\theta_{16}^{2} C_{x}^{2}-2 \theta_{16} C_{x} C_{y} \rho\right)$ | $V_{16}=\frac{\beta_{1} \bar{X}}{\beta_{1} \bar{X}+S_{x}}$ | $f_{j} \hat{Y}^{2}\binom{1+C_{x}^{2}+C_{x}^{2} C_{y}^{2}+3 V_{16}^{2} C_{x}^{4}-2 V_{16}^{2} C_{x}^{3}}{-4 V_{16} C_{x}^{3} C_{y} \rho-2 C_{x}-2 V_{16} C_{x}^{2} C_{y} \rho}$ |

Table 4. The results of the biases and the mean square errors from the two populations

| Estimators | Population 1 |  |  |  |  | Population 2 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Existing |  | Proposed |  | PRE | Existing |  | Proposed |  | PRE |
|  | Bias | MSE | Bias | MSE |  | Bias | MSE | Bias | MSE |  |
| $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{1}$ | 0.914 | 30.016 | 0.722 | 22.749 | 131.945 | 47.135 | 59264.90 | 8.651 | 16369.88 | 362.036 |
| $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{2}$ | 0.569 | 17.528 | 0.394 | 13.269 | 132.097 | 40.816 | 52156.15 | 4.208 | 15604.22 | 334.244 |
| $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{3}$ | 0.574 | 17.699 | 0.399 | 13.397 | 132.112 | 46.797 | 58886.22 | 8.414 | 16329.45 | 360.614 |
| $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{4}$ | 0.910 | 29.844 | 0.718 | 22.617 | 131.954 | 43.648 | 55347.10 | 6.200 | 15949.71 | 347.010 |
| $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{5}$ | 0.725 | 23.046 | 0.543 | 17.437 | 132.167 | 49.675 | 62113.26 | 10.437 | 16672.82 | 372.542 |
| $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{6}$ | 0.765 | 24.484 | 0.581 | 18.529 | 132.139 | 49.149 | 61524.10 | 10.068 | 16610.33 | 370.397 |
| $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{7}$ | 1.234 | 42.377 | 1.025 | 32.245 | 131.422 | 47.516 | 59692.84 | 8.919 | 16415.53 | 363.636 |
| $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{8}$ | 0.200 | 6.249 | 0.045 | 4.995 | 125.105 | 13.582 | 20810.02 | -14.940 | 11954.80 | 174.073 |
| $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{9}$ | 0.402 | 12.023 | 0.236 | 9.164 | 131.198 | 44.470 | 56271.57 | 6.777 | 16049.24 | 350.618 |
| $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{10}$ | 1.062 | 35.673 | 0.863 | 27.085 | 131.708 | 46.585 | 58648.34 | 8.265 | 16304.03 | 359.717 |
| $\hat{\bar{Y}}{ }_{11}$ | 0.549 | 16.846 | 0.376 | 12.757 | 132.053 | 57.713 | 71092.61 | 16.088 | 17615.55 | 403.579 |
| $\hat{\bar{Y}}{ }_{12}$ | 0.932 | 30.705 | 0.739 | 23.276 | 131.917 | 47.921 | 60146.61 | 9.204 | 16463.87 | 365.325 |
| $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{13}$ | 1.110 | 37.538 | 0.908 | 28.518 | 131.629 | 47.399 | 59561.49 | 8.837 | 16401.52 | 363.146 |
| $\hat{\hat{Y}_{14}}$ | 0.345 | 10.294 | 0.183 | 7.894 | 130.403 | 32.206 | 42399.55 | 1.845 | 14526.26 | 291.882 |
| $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{15}$ | 1.332 | 46.281 | 1.118 | 35.258 | 131.264 | 47.468 | 59638.74 | 8.885 | 16409.76 | 363.435 |
| $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{16}$ | 0.088 | 3.854 | 0.061 | 3.408 | 113.087 | 24.745 | 33855.13 | 7.091 | 13547.83 | 249.893 |

### 3.2 Empirical study

Two different populations were considered in this work to assess the performances of the proposed and existing ratio estimators.

The data used for population 1 was obtained from (Murthy, 1967, p. 228). The population parameters and constants computed from the data are given as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N=80, n=15, \mu_{y}=51.8264, \mu_{x}=2.8513, \rho_{x y}=0.9150, \sigma_{x}=2.7042, \sigma_{y}=18.3569, C_{x}=0.9484, \\
& C_{y}=0.3542, \beta_{1(x)}=0.6978, \beta_{2(x)}=1.3005
\end{aligned}
$$

The data for population 2 is a Household Kerosene (HHK) distribution statistics for Enugu State taken from the Nigerian Bureau of Statistics website https://bit.ly/2JBk24f. The data represent the price of one gallon (4.5ltrs) of the product ( $Y$ variable) and the number of trucks loaded out to the state ( $X$ variable). Data from four years are considered for this work (Jan., 2016 to Dec., 2019). The population constants computed from the data are given as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N=48, n=10, \mu_{y}=1,041.8980, \mu_{x}=49.4375, \rho_{x y}=-0.6124, \sigma_{x}=34.7593, \sigma_{y}=198.8129, C_{x}=0.7031, \\
& C_{y}=0.1908, \beta_{1(x)}=1.6432, \beta_{2(x)}=2.9879
\end{aligned}
$$

Based on the two data sets considered, the computation of the biases and the mean square errors of the estimators in Tables 1 were obtained. The results of the computation are presented in Table 4.

## 4 Discussion of Results

From Table 3, the proposed ratio estimators have smaller mean square errors and a higher percent relative efficiency when compared to the existing ratio estimators by Upadhyaya and Singh [1], Singh [2], Lu and Yan [3], and Yan and Tian [4] in the two populations. Also in population 1, the biases of the proposed estimators are smaller than that of the existing estimators. In population 2, the biases of the proposed estimators are smaller to that of the existing estimators, except for estimator $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{8}$ where the bias of proposed estimator is negative.

## 5 Conclusion

In this paper, a class of ratio-type estimators $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{k}$ for estimating the population mean using two parameters of the auxiliary variable are proposed and evaluated. From the results obtained, the mean square errors of the proposed ratio-type estimators $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{k}$ are less than the mean square errors of the existing ratio-type estimators considered in this paper. This shows that all the proposed ratio estimators have a significant improvement on the existing ratio estimators. The results proved that the proposed estimators are better when we have two known parameters of the auxiliary variable.
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