



Comparative Efficacy of Selected Chemicals and Biopesticides against Fall Army Worm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J.E. Smith) on Maize (*Zea mays* L.)

M. Ramesh ^{ao*} and Anoorag R. Tayde ^{a#}

^a *Department of Entomology, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences (SHUATS), Prayagraj, India.*

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2022/v34i2331611

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/91902>

Original Research Article

Received 15 July 2022
Accepted 19 September 2022
Published 07 October 2022

ABSTRACT

A field trial was conducted at Cental Research Farm, SHUATS, Naini, Prayagraj, U.P. during *kharif* season of 2021 in Randomised Block Design with eight treatments were evaluated against, *Spodoptera frugiperda* i.e., Spinetoram 11.7%SC @0.9ml/lit, Chlorantraniliprole 18.5%SC @0.4ml/lit, Flubendiamide 39.35%SC @0.24ml/lit, Emamectin benzoate 5%SG @0.4g/lit, Neem oil 2% @5ml/lit, NPV @0.5ml/lit, Novaluron 10%EC @1ml/lit and control plot. First and second spray were carried with 15 days interval, the results revealed that, among the different treatments Spinetoram 11.7%SC, (4.93) was most effective treatment over the control followed by Chlorantraniliprole 18.5%SC (6.24), Flubendiamide 39.35% SC (6.42), Emamectin benzoate 5%SG (6.90), Novaluron 10%EC (8.13), NPV (8.28) and Neem oil 2% (8.37). Spinetoram 11.7%SC showing a highest yield of (41.10q/ha) against the control yielding up to (22.31q/ha) at the same time among the treatments studied, the best and most economical treatment was Spinetoram 11.7%SC (1:1.79), followed by Chlorantraniliprole 18.5%SC (1:1.78), Flubendiamide 39.35%SC (1:1.77), Emamectin benzoate 5%SG (1:1.74), Novaluron 10%EC (1:1.68), NPV (1:1.60) and Neem oil 2% (1:1.44) when compared to control plot (1:1.25).

^o M.Sc. Scholar;

[#] Assistant Professor;

*Corresponding author: E-mail: rameshnaik881@gmail.com;

Keywords: Chemical insecticides; cost benefit ratio; efficacy; *Spodoptera frugiperda*; yield.

1. INTRODUCTION

Maize, *Zea mays L.* is a member of the family: Poaceae also known as corn. It is one of the most flexible growing crops with greater adaptability to different agro-climatic conditions. Because of higher genetic yield potential among the cereals, this crop is globally popular as the "Queen of cereals" (Jeyaraman, 2017).

Although about 139 insect pests cause varying degree of damage to maize crop, but only about a dozen of these are quite serious and require control measures, i.e., maize stalk borer, pink stem borer, and shoofly are the insects of national importance, while the armyworm, jassid, thrips, pyrrilla, grasshopper, white grub, cut worm hairy caterpillar, termite, and the leaf miner are more serious pest of regional level (NIPMP, 2001). Amongst all. Shoot fly. *Atherigona orientalis*, Maize stem borer, *Chilo partellus* (Swinhoe) and Pink stem borer, *Sesamia inferens* (Walker) are the most serious pest in India. In past few years a new pest fall armyworm became an invasive challenge across the world. However, the relatively high damage by fall armyworm is occasionally reported [1].

Fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J.E. Smith) belongs to the order Lepidoptera and family Noctuidae is native to tropical and subtropical regions of the Americas. It was reported for the first time from the African continent, in Nigeria, Sao Tomé. Benin and Togo region [2]. In India, fall armyworm (FAW) was firstly reported in the research fields of maize at the University of Agricultural and Horticultural Sciences, Shimoga, Karnataka. In Chhattisgarh the *Spodoptera frugiperda* was first reported at Raipur [3].

Fall armyworm is a highly polyphagous insect pest that attacks more than 80 plant species, including maize, sorghum, millet, sugarcane, and vegetable crops nevertheless, maize is the main crop affected by FAW in Africa. Given the importance of maize in Africa as a primary staple food crop, the recent invasion of FAW threatens the food security of millions of people in a region that will likely have an aggravated drought due to climate change/El Nino in SSA [4].

The FAW moth populations are capable of migrating very fast (almost 100 km per night and nearly 500 km before laying eggs) and thus, can invade new areas quickly (Johnson, 1987). The

pest completes its life cycle in about 30-45 days (depending on weather conditions). In cooler temperatures the life cycle may extend up to 60-90 days. The female moth lays on an average about 1500 eggs attaching them to the foliage. The egg stage lasts for only 2 to 3 days in warmer weather. The FAW in general has six larval instars (stages) before it goes for pupation. The entire larval stage lasts for 14 to 30 days depending on the weather conditions especially temperature and humidity [5].

1.1 Objectives

1. To evaluate the efficacy of synthetic insecticides, neem oil and NPV on percentage incidence of maize fall army worm, *Spodoptera frugiperda*.
2. To calculate the cost-benefit ratio of the treatments.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted at " Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences (SHUATS), Department of Entomology, Central Research Farm" Naini, Prayagraj, during the *kharif* season of 2021. The research field is situated at 25.47° North latitude 81.19° East longitudes and at an altitude of 96 meters above sea level. On sandy loam soil, having moderately basic PH (7.2), available N (106.0kg/ha), P (22.7kg/ha), K (260.0kg/ha), EC (0.14 dSm⁻¹), S (16.8.00 ppm) and Zn (0.51 ppm). The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with 3 replications and 8 treatments using variety GA-85 in a plot size of (2m x 2m) at a spacing of (60 x 20) with a recommended package of practices excluding plant protection.

The observations on pest population of *Spodoptera frugiperda* were recorded visually per plant from five randomly selected plants and tagged plants in each plot. The insecticides were sprayed at recommended doses when larval population reaches ETL level. The Observations were recorded on larval population in each plot a day before, 3rd, 7th and 14th days after spraying on selected plants in a plot.

The healthy marketable yield obtained from different treatments was collected separately and weighed. The cost of insecticides used in this experiment was recorded during season of *kharif* 2021. The cost of biopesticides used was

obtained from nearby market. The total cost of plant protection consisted of cost of treatments, sprayer rent and labour charges for the spray. There were two sprays throughout the research period and the overall plant protection expenses were calculated. Total income was realized by multiplying the total yield per hectare by the prevailing market price, while the net benefit is obtained by subtracting the total cost of plant protection from total income. Benefit over the control for each sprayed treatment was obtained by subtracting the income of the control treatment from that of each sprayed treatment.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The result revealed that the pre count of fall armyworm, *spodoptera frugiperda* was non-significant showed that all the treatments were significantly superior in reducing the larval population resulting in increasing the yield, significantly as compared to control. On third day after spraying the minimum larval population of fall armyworm, (9.53) was recorded in Spinetoram 11.7%SC followed by Chlorantraniliprole 18.5%SC (10.80) and Flubendiamide 39.35%SC (11.00) treated plots, respective that differed significantly with other treatment plots but statistically at par with each other. The lowest larval population was recorded in Spinetoram 11.7%SC (6.93 and 7.73) treated plots followed by Chlorantraniliprole 18.5%SC (8.20 and 9.00) and Flubendiamide 39.35%SC (8.40 and 9.20) respectively on 7th and 14th day after spray (Table 1).

Spinetoram 11.7%SC treated plots was found to reduce the fall armyworm, larval population in all observations on 3rd, 7th and 14th day after spray with 6.13, 3.33 and 5.33 followed by Chlorantraniliprole 18.5%SC (7.40, 4.73 and 6.60). These results are supported by Dileep et al., [6], Murali et al., (2020) and Bharadwaj et al. [7] reported that Spinetoram 11.7%SC proved superior over other insecticides in reducing larval population infestation of *spodoptera frugiperda*. Jayarajan et al. [8] and Thumar et al. [9] found Chlorantraniliprole 18.5%SC as the most effective treatment.

The highest yield of grain was recorded in the plot, treated with Spinetoram 11.7%SC (41.10 q/ha), which was followed by Chlorantraniliprole 18.5%SC (35.91 q/ha), Flubendiamide 39.35%SC (34.95 q/ha), Emamectin benzoate 5%SG (32.18 q/ha), Novaluron 10%EC (31.88 q/ha), NPV (29.44 q/ha) and Neem oil 2% (26.26 q/ha) as compared to control plot (22.31 q/ha) this findings are supported by Dileep et al. [6], Sangle et al. [10] and Mallapur et al. [11].

Among the treatment studied, the best and most economical treatment was Spinetoram 11.7%SC (1:1.79), which was followed by Chlorantraniliprole 18.5%SC (1:1.78), Flubendiamide 39.35%SC (1:1.77), Emamectin benzoate 5%SG (1:1.74), Novaluron 10%EC (1:1.68), NPV (1:1.60) and Neem oil 2% (1:1.44), as compared to control (1:1.25) this findings are supported by Deshmukh et al. [12], Metzler et al. [13], Sharma et al. [14] and Martin et al. (2020).

Table 1. Efficacy of insecticides and biopesticides against *Spodoptera frugiperda*

S. No.	Treatments	Larval population							Yield (q/ha)	B:C ratio	
		First spray				Second spray					
		1DBS	3DAS	7DAS	14DAS	3DAS	7DAS	14DAS			
T ₁	Chlorantraniliprole 18.5% SC	14.20	10.80 ^{de}	8.20 ^{cd}	9.00 ^c	7.40 ^{de}	4.73 ^d	6.60 ^c	7.78 ^b	35.91	1:1.78
T ₂	Flubendiamide 39.35% SC	12.46	11.00 ^d	8.40 ^{cd}	9.20 ^c	7.60 ^d	4.86 ^d	6.80 ^c	7.97 ^b	34.95	1:1.77
T ₃	Emamectin Benzoate 5% SG	12.00	11.53 ^{cd}	8.93 ^{bc}	9.73 ^c	8.13 ^{cd}	5.26 ^{cd}	7.33 ^c	8.48 ^b	32.18	1:1.74
T ₄	Spinetoram 11.7 % SC	14.80	9.53 ^e	6.93 ^d	7.73 ^d	6.13 ^e	3.33 ^e	5.33 ^d	6.49 ^b	41.10	1:1.79
T ₅	Neem oil @ 2%	11.53	13.00 ^b	10.40 ^b	11.20 ^b	9.60 ^b	6.73 ^b	8.80 ^b	9.95 ^b	26.66	1:1.44
T ₆	NPV	11.46	12.93 ^b	10.33 ^b	11.13 ^b	9.53 ^b	6.60 ^b	8.73 ^b	9.87 ^b	29.44	1:1.60
T ₇	Novaluron 10% EC	11.33	12.80 ^{bc}	10.20 ^b	11.00 ^b	9.40 ^{bc}	6.40 ^b	8.60 ^b	9.73 ^b	31.88	1:1.68
T ₀	Control	15.4	17.40 ^a	18.86 ^a	20.00 ^a	22.73 ^a	23.20 ^a	24.60 ^a	21.13 ^a	22.31	1:1.25
	F-test	NS	S	S	S	S	S	S	S
	S. Ed (±)	21.63	0.580	0.962	0.505	0.542	0.545	0.500	3.908
	C.D. (P = 0.5)	1.334	1.717	1.245	1.289	1.293	1.238	4.675

4. CONCLUSION

On the basis of results of present investigation, it can be concluded that for management of fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* the insecticide Spinetoram 11.75 SC shown most effective in controlling larval population by fall armyworm. Followed by Chlorantraniliprole 18.5% SC and the both insecticides shown minimum cost benefit ratio as compared to rest of the treatments. While Flubendiamide 39.35%SC, Emamectin benzoate 5% SG, Novaluron 10%EC has shown average results has to be least effective chemicals. NPV and Neem oil found to be least effective in managing *Spodoptera frugiperda*. Since the findings are based on the field experiment done for one time it may be repeated for further confirmation and recommendation.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Porter P, Cronholm GB, Parker RD, Troxclair N, Bynum E, Patrick CD, Biles SP. Managing Insect and Mite Pests of Texas Corn. *Agri Life Extension*. 2010;7(10): 22-24.
- George G, Kumar L, Sagnia B, Sankung, Abou T, Manuele T. First Report of Outbreaks of the Fall Armyworm *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J E Smith) (Lepidoptera, Noctuidae), a New Alien Invasive Pest in West and Central Africa. *International Institute of Tropical Agriculture*. 2016;1-9.
- Deole S, Paul N. First report of fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J.E. Smith) their nature of damage and biology on Maize crop at Raipur, Chhattisgarh, *Journal of Entomology and Zoological Studies*. 2018;6:219-221.
- Birhanu S, Tadele T, Mulati W, Gashivbeza A, Esays M. The Efficiency of Selected Synthetic Insecticides and Botanicals against fall army worm, *Spodoptera frugiperda*, in Maize. *Journal of Insect*. 2019;8(4):174-179.
- Padhee AK, Prasanna BM. The emerging threat of Fall Armyworm in India. *Indian Farming*. 2019;69(01):51-54.
- Dileep NT, Murali K. Bio-efficacy of selected insecticides against fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J.E. Smith) (Noctuidae: Lepidoptera), in maize. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*. 2020; 8(4):1257-1261.
- Bharadwaj GS, Mutkule DS, Thakre BA, Jadhav AS. Bio-efficacy of different insecticides against fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J.E. Smith) on Maize. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*. 2020;9(5):603-607.
- Jeyarajan S, Elango K, Malathi P. Bioefficacy of chlorantraniliprole 18.5% w/w SC against fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J. E. Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in maize. Department of Agricultural Entomology, Centre for Plant Protection Studies. 2021;7:86-87.
- Thumar RK, Zala MB, Varma HS, Dhobi CB, Patel BN, Patel MB, Borad PK. Evaluation of insecticides against fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J. E. Smith) infesting maize. *International Journal of Chemical Studies*. 2020;SP-8(4):100-104.
- Sangle, Jayewar NE, Kadam DR. Efficacy of insecticides on larval population of fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* on maize. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*. 2020;8(6):1831-1834.
- Mallapur CP, Naik AKS, Hagari T, Praveen, Naik M. Laboratory and field evaluation of new insecticide molecules against fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J. E. Smith) on maize. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*. 2019; 7(5):729-733.
- Deshmukh, Sharanabasappa, Pavithr AHB, Kalleshwaraswamy CM, Shivanna BK, Maruth MS. Field Efficacy of Insecticides for Management of Invasive Fall Armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J. E. Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) on Maize in India. *Florida Entomological Society*. 2020;103(2): 221-227.
- Metzler HB, Mora J. Evaluation of botanical insecticides in controlling the population of fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Smith) present on corn crops (*Zea mays*) located in Santa Cruz, Guanacaste, iop conference series: Earth and Environmental Science. 2017;215.

14. Sharma GS, Bhandari S, Neupane A, Pathak, Tiwari S. Bio-rational management of armyworm (*Mythimna separata*) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in Chitwan condition of Nepal. Journal of Insect Agriculture Animal Science. 2018;35:143-150.

© 2022 Ramesh and Tayde; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/91902>