



Character Association and Path Coefficient Analysis to Determine Interrelationships among Grain Yield and its Components in Maize Genotypes (*Zea mays* L.)

G. Praveen Kumar ^{a*}, N. Sunil ^b, J. C. Sekhar ^c
and D. Srinivasa Chary ^d

^a Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Agricultural Research Station, Adilabad, 504 002, PJTSAU, Telangana, India.

^b Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Winter Nursery Centre, ICAR-Indian Institute of Maize Research, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, 500 030, Telangana, India.

^c Department of Entomology, Winter Nursery Centre, ICAR-Indian Institute of Maize Research, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, 500 030, Telangana, India.

^d Department of Statistics and Mathematics, College of Agriculture, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, 500 030, Telangana, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JSRR/2024/v30i31878

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/112858>

Original Research Article

Received: 11/12/2023
Accepted: 16/02/2024
Published: 19/02/2024

*Corresponding author: E-mail: gaini.praveenbr@gmail.com;

ABSTRACT

Aim: A study was carried out to evaluate the magnitude of correlation between grain yield and its various contributing characters.

Methodology: 39 genotypes of maize, comprising 31 hybrids and 8 parents, were assessed using randomized block design with three replications. The data recorded on twelve quantitative characters including days to 50 percent tasseling, days to 50 percent silking, days to maturity, plant height (cm), ear height (cm), ear length (cm), ear diameter (cm), number of kernel rows per ear, number of kernels per row, 100 kernel weight, shelling percentage and grain yield per plant.

Results: The results of this study showed higher genotypic correlation coefficient for all the characters studied compared to the corresponding phenotypic correlation coefficient. This suggests that, despite the strong inherent association between the traits under study, environments' influence masked its expression. Ear diameter was found to have a strong and significant positive correlation with grain yield per plant at phenotypic (0.9016) and (0.9540) genotypic level followed by ear length (0.8976, 0.9360), number of kernels per row (0.8905, 0.9247), plant height (0.8399, 0.8697), 100 seed weight (0.8070, 0.8544), ear height (0.7170, 0.7550) and number of kernel rows per ear (0.3240, 0.3901). Days to 50% silking and days to 50% tasselling, however, exhibited significant negative association with grain yield, while days to maturity showed non-significant negative. In path coefficient analysis the maximum positive direct effect on grain yield per plant was found by the ear diameter (0.3505), followed by the 100 seed weight (0.2362), ear length (0.2273), number of kernels per row (0.1827), days to 50% silking (0.1269), and shelling percentage (0.1223).

Conclusion: The strong correlation of ear diameter, 100 seed weight, ear length and number of kernels per row (0.2971) with grain yield per plant appears to be mostly due to their high direct effects. Therefore, improving yield could be achieved through direct selection for these attributes.

Keywords: Correlation coefficient; path coefficient; hybrids; grain yield; maize.

1. INTRODUCTION

“Maize (*Zeamays L.*) is one of the important cereal crops and occupies a prominent position in global agriculture, grown in an area of 206.16 million hectares globally and produces 1215.90 million tonnes with a productivity of 5.90 tons per hectare (USDA data, 2021-22). In India it is cultivated in 9.95 million hectares area with 33.72 million tonnes production and 3.38 tonnes of productivity” [1]. “India is the fourth-largest in area and seventh in production among countries which grow maize; it accounts for around 4% of the world's total maize area and 2% of its total production. In Telangana state, the area under maize cultivation is 0.41 million hectares with production and productivity of 2.22 million tonnes and 5.40 tonnes per hectare, respectively” [2]. The maize plant has been valued for its various uses for ages. Maize is used as fuel, food for humans, feed for cattle, building material. It is also used to produce alcohol and non-alcoholic drinks [3]. “All maize improvement programs aim to produce new inbred and hybrids that will surpass the current inbred and hybrids in terms of grain yield and yield traits, keeping with the widespread use of maize. In order to accomplish this goal, particular

focus has to be paid for grain yield as the most crucial agronomic trait. Grain yield is a complex quantitative character which depends on multiple factors. Therefore, the information on interrelationships among grain yield and its contributing attributes would enhance the effectiveness of breeding programs through the execution of suitable selection indices” [4]. In crop breeding, path coefficient analysis is employed to ascertain the nature of the relationship among grain yield and its contributing traits. The traits that have a large direct effect on grain yield have the potential to serve as selection criteria. Both direct and indirect effects of cause variables on effect variables were measured using path analysis. The correlation coefficient between two characters is divided into the components which measures the direct and indirect effects in path coefficient analysis. In general, path coefficient analysis, gives more information among variables than do correlation coefficients. Since this analysis shows the direct effect of particular yield components on yield as well as the indirect effects through other yield components. This could be used to determine the target characters for selection criteria in order to enhance maize yields.

Therefore, the current study was conducted to determine the magnitude of the relations between yield and its contributing characters in order to improve the effectiveness of selection.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was conducted with a total of 39 maize genotypes which includes eight parents and 31 hybrids. These genotypes were evaluated in RBD (randomized block design) with three replications at Winter Nursery Centre, ICAR-Indian Institute of Maize Research, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad during *kharif*, 2019. Each entry was planted in two four-meter-long rows, with a 20 cm spacing between each plant and a 75 cm spacing between each row. The data on 12 characters namely, days to 50 percent tasseling, days to 50 percent silking, days to maturity, plant height (cm), ear height (cm), ear length (cm), ear diameter (cm), number of kernel rows per ear, number of kernels per row, 100 kernel weight, shelling percentage and grain yield per plant. Five randomly selected competitive plants in each replication were used to record the data on characters, plant height (cm), ear height (cm), ear length (cm), ear diameter (cm), number of kernel rows per ear, number of kernels per row, 100 kernel weight, shelling percentage, and grain yield per plant. Data was recorded on plot basis for days to 50% tasseling, days to 50% silking, and days to maturity. With vernier calipers, the ear's diameter without husk was measured in cm at middle of the ear at harvest time. Analysis of variance was done by statistical analysis for partitioning the total variation into due to treatments and replications as per Panse and Sukhatme [5]. The correlation coefficients between all the characters were calculated according to Singh and Chaudhary's [6]. Path coefficients were calculated using method given by Dewey and Lu's [7] recommended methodology. Indostat software (Indostat Inc. Hyderabad, India) was used to analyze the data.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Genotypic correlations show the existence of true interactions, while phenotypic correlations could happen by chance. A significant genotypic correlation and a non-significant phenotypic correlation suggest that the relationship is being obscured by an environmental impact, whereas significant phenotypic correlations with non significant genotypic associations are of no

value. The phenotypic and genotypic correlations estimated on yield and other its contributing characters in 39 maize genotypes are presented in Table 1. The findings showed that for each trait under study, the genotypic correlation coefficient is higher than the corresponding phenotypic correlation coefficient, demonstrating a strong inheritant relation between the different characters. Ram Reddy and Jabeen [8] and Chourasia et al. [9] also found similar results. "Grain yield per plant exhibited a highly significant and positive correlation, both at the genotypic and phenotypic levels, with plant height, ear height, ear length, ear diameter, number of kernel rows per ear, number of kernels per row, 100-kernel weight, and shelling percentage. These findings suggest that these traits are important for direct selection in any breeding program aimed at improving maize grain yield. Character association studies indicated that grain yield per plant had a highly significant and positive correlation with plant height, ear height, ear length, ear diameter, number of kernel rows per ear, number of kernels per row, 100-kernel weight and shelling percentage at the genotypic and phenotypic level, suggesting that the importance of these traits for direct selection in any breeding program designed to increase grain yield in maize" [8]. Similarly, Ram Reddy and Jabeen [8], Kandel et al. [9], Prakash et al. [10], Chaurasia et al. [9] and Akshaya et al. [12] reported "a significant positive association between grain yield and its component traits, namely, ear length, ear diameter, number of kernels per row, plant height, ear height, and 100 kernel weight". Yahaya et al. [13] for plant height, ear length, ear diameter and 100 kernel weight and Priyanto et al. [14] for shelling percentage and other yield attributes. The highest significant positive correlation with grain yield was found by ear diameter at both the phenotypic (0.9016) and genotypic (0.9540) levels among the studied characters followed by ear length (0.8976, 0.9360), number of kernels per row (0.8905, 0.9247), plant height (0.8399, 0.8697), 100 seed weight (0.8070, 0.8544), ear height (0.7170, 0.7550), and number of kernel rows per ear (0.3240, 0.3901). Hence, in order to increase maize grain yields these traits should be emphasized during the selection process. Akshaya et al. [12] and Priyanto et al. [14] reported "high positive correlation with grain yield by ear diameter, ear length, number of kernels per row, plant height and 100 seed weight" and Yahaya et al. [13] by plant height, ear length, ear diameter and 100 seed weight. Days to 50

percent tasseling and silking were recorded significant negative correlation with plant height, number of kernel rows per ear, number of kernels per row, shelling percentage and grain yield at both genotypic and phenotypic level. Mogesse et al. [15] and Akshaya et al. [12] were found “a negative correlation between grain yield and the number of days to 50% tasseling and silking. The high significant positive correlation exhibited by ear diameter with grain yield per plant as well as its other components viz., number of kernels per row, plant height, ear height and 100-kernel weight”. In the earlier studies of Sumalini et al (2015), Ravindra Kumar and Karan Chaudhary [16] and Singh et al [17] a similar significant positive correlation between grain yield and ear diameter is reported. Plant height recorded a significant and positive correlation with grain yield and its other components except days to 50 percent silking and tasseling and it shown non significant positive correlation with days to maturity at genotypic level and phenotypic level. Prakash et al [11] observed a significant positive correlation of plant height with grain yield in maize. Similarly negative correlation of plant height with days to 50 percent silking and tasseling was also reported earlier by kshaya et al. (2022). “Path analysis separates correlation coefficients as direct and indirect effects, which assess the cause and effect relationship” [18]. Hence, in order to determine the direct and indirect effects the path coefficient analysis was conducted on maize. The direct and indirect individual effects of studied characters on grain yield, both phenotypic and genotypic levels are given in Table 2. The direct effects in path coefficient analysis indicated that, the ear diameter (0.3505) exhibited the largest direct effect on grain yield per plant followed by 100-kernel weight (0.2362), ear length (0.2273), number of kernels per row (0.2971), days to 50 percent silking (0.1269), shelling percentage (0.1223), ear height (0.0621), number of kernel rows per ear (0.0036), plant height (-0.0429), days to 50 percent tasseling (-0.0578) and days to maturity (-0.0701) at the phenotypic level. At genotypic level the character days to 50 percent silking (0.9258) recorded the maximum direct effect on grain yield per plant followed by 100-kernel weight (0.7919), days to maturity (0.7860), number of kernel rows per ear (0.5757), ear length (0.4718), shelling percentage (0.1282), ear height (0.2136), number of kernels per row (-0.0850), ear diameter (-0.2045), plant height (-0.5760) and days to 50 percent tasseling (-1.5721). For such traits, direct selection in the desired direction can be useful in increasing

yield. The main cause for strong correlation of characters ear diameter, 100-kernel weight, ear length and number of kernels per row with grain yield per plant appears to be high direct effect on grain yield per plant. Thus, in order to increase grain yield, consideration should be given to these characters during selection. Akshaya et al. [12]. also reported similar findings of a high positive direct effect of characters ear diameter, 100-kernel weight, ear length, and the number of kernels per row on grain yield. Priyanto et al. [14] reported high positive direct effects of shelling percentage, ear diameter, ear height and test weight on grain yield. At phenotypic level Days to 50 percent tasseling (-0.0578), days to maturity (-0.0701) and plant height (-0.0429) recorded negative direct effect on grain yield. While Plant height (-0.5760), Days to 50 percent tasseling (-1.5721), ear diameter (-0.2045) and number of kernels per row (-0.0850) exhibited negative genotypic direct effect on grain yield. The negative direct effect on grain yield was reported by Devasree et al. [19] for days to 50 percent tasseling, Shikha et al. [20] for plant height, Netaji [21] for days to maturity, Akshaya et al. [12] for days to maturity, plant height and number of kernels per row. Days to 50 percent tasseling showed a direct negative effect on grain yield per plant and negative indirect effect via days to 50 percent silking and days to maturity, while positive indirect effect via ear height, 100-kernel weight, plant height, ear length, number of kernel rows per ear, ear diameter, number of kernels per row and shelling percentage at phenotypic and genotypic levels. Previous researchers Venugopal et al. [22], Raghu et al. [23], and Devasree et al. [19] indicated similar results of negative direct effect of days to 50% tasseling on grain yield. Days to 50 percent silking shown a genotypic direct positive effect on grain yield per plant. It had indirect negative contribution through all the traits studied except two traits days to 50 percent silking and days to maturity both were shown positive indirect contribution. Earlier, Viola et al. [24] and Devasree et al. [19] showed a positive direct influence by days to 50% silking on grain yield. Ear length had direct influence in desirable direction on grain yield, while its correlation with grain yield per plant was positive and significant. The positive and significant association with grain yield was mainly due to its indirect positive effects via days to maturity, plant height, ear height, ear diameter, ear length, number of kernels per ear, number of kernels per row, 100-kernel weight and shelling percentage at phenotypic and genotypic level. it indirectly contributed negatively by tasseling and

Table 1. Phenotypic (P) and Genotypic (G) correlations for yield and its other attributes in maize

Source		Days to 50% tasseling	Days to 50% silking	Days to maturity	Plant height (cm)	Ear height (cm)	Ear length (cm)	Ear diameter (cm)	Number of kernel rows per ear	Number of kernels per row	100-kernel weight (g)	Shelling percent (%)	Grain yield per plant (g)
Days to 50% tasseling	P	1.0000	0.9695**	0.8519**	-0.2304*	-0.0807	-0.1825*	-0.3058**	-0.4601**	-0.3681**	-0.1735	-0.3669**	-0.2930**
	G	1.0000	0.9846**	0.9164**	-0.2588**	-0.0672	-0.2163*	-0.4076**	-0.5903**	-0.4143**	-0.1991*	-0.4100**	-0.3390**
Days to 50% silking	P		1.0000	0.8722**	-0.2369*	-0.0820	-0.1664	-0.2813**	-0.4641**	-0.3456**	-0.1622	-0.3947**	-0.2730**
	G		1.0000	0.9111**	-0.2673**	-0.0726	-0.1987*	-0.3843**	-0.6118**	-0.4008**	-0.1968*	-0.4616**	-0.3157**
Days to maturity	P			1.0000	0.0147	0.1513	0.0588	-0.0425	-0.3854**	-0.1281	0.0766	-0.2698**	-0.0411
	G			1.0000	0.0284	0.2173*	0.0718	-0.0986	-0.5596**	-0.1545	0.0650	-0.3182**	-0.0253
Plant height (cm)	P				1.0000	0.8903**	0.8474**	0.8063**	0.2693**	0.7827**	0.7844**	0.3341**	0.8399**
	G				1.0000	0.9254	0.8866**	0.858**	0.3220**	0.8159**	0.8262**	0.4159**	0.8697**
Ear height (cm)	P					1.0000	0.7459**	0.7230**	0.2092*	0.6536**	0.6522**	0.1005	0.7170**
	G					1.0000	0.7945**	0.7843**	0.2540*	0.6932**	0.6880**	0.1470	0.7550**
Ear length (cm)	P						1.0000	0.8585**	0.2885**	0.8646**	0.7248**	0.3596**	0.8976**
	G						1.0000	0.9141**	0.3188**	0.9025**	0.7729**	0.4756**	0.9360**
Ear diameter (cm)	P							1.0000	0.4604**	0.8589**	0.6727**	0.3536**	0.9016**
	G							1.0000	0.5037**	0.9271**	0.7229**	0.4909**	0.9540**
Number of kernel rows per ear	P								1.0000	0.4332**	-0.0319	0.2108*	0.3240**
	G								1.0000	0.5048**	-0.0305	0.3404**	0.3901**
Number of kernels per row	P									1.0000	0.6777**	0.4517**	0.8905**
	G									1.0000	0.7303**	0.5293**	0.9247**
100-kernel weight (g)	P										1.0000	0.4555**	0.8070**
	G										1.0000	0.5654**	0.8544**
Shelling percent (%)	P											1.0000	0.5008**
	G											1.0000	0.6097**
Grain yield per plant (g)	P												1.0000
	G												1.0000

P = Phenotypic Correlation Coefficient; G = Represents Genotypic Correlation Coefficient.

* Significant at 5 percent level; ** significant at 1 percent level

Table 2. Direct and indirect effects of various yield and its contributing traits in maize

Source		Days to 50% tasseling	Days to 50% silking	Days to maturity	Plant height (cm)	Ear height (cm)	Ear length (cm)	Ear diameter (cm)	Number of kernel rows per ear	Number of kernels per row	100-kernel weight (g)	Shelling percent (%)	Grain yield per plant (g)
Days to 50% tasseling	P	-0.0578	-0.0561	-0.0493	0.0133	0.0047	0.0106	0.177	0.0266	0.0213	0.0100	0.0212	-0.2930**
	G	-1.5721	-1.5479	-1.4406	0.4068	0.1056	0.3400	0.6408	0.9280	0.6513	0.3129	0.6445	-0.3390**
Days to 50% silking	P	0.1231	0.1269	0.1107	-0.0301	-0.0104	-0.0211	-0.0357	-0.0589	-0.0439	-0.0206	-0.0501	-0.2730**
	G	0.9116	0.9258	0.8436	-0.2475	-0.0672	-0.1840	-0.3558	-0.5664	-0.3711	-0.1822	-0.4274	-0.3157**
Days to maturity	P	-0.0597	-0.0611	-0.0701	-0.010	-0.0106	-0.0041	0.0030	0.0270	0.0090	-0.0054	0.0189	-0.0411
	G	0.7203	0.7162	0.7860	0.0223	0.1708	0.0564	-0.0775	-0.4399	-0.1215	0.0511	-0.2501	-0.0253
Plant height (cm)	P	0.0099	0.0102	-0.0006	-0.0429	-0.0382	-0.0363	-0.0346	-0.0116	-0.0336	-0.0336	-0.0143	0.8399**
	G	0.1491	0.1540	-0.0164	-0.5760	-0.5331	-0.5107	-0.4942	-0.1855	-0.4700	-0.4759	-0.2396	0.8697**
Ear height (cm)	P	-0.0050	-0.0051	0.0094	0.0553	0.0621	0.0463	0.0449	0.0130	0.0406	0.0405	0.0062	0.7170**
	G	-0.0143	-0.0155	0.0464	0.1976	0.2136	0.1697	0.1675	0.0542	0.1481	0.1469	0.0314	0.7550**
Ear length (cm)	P	-0.0415	-0.0378	0.0134	0.1926	0.1695	0.2273	0.1951	0.0656	0.1965	0.1647	0.0817	0.8976**
	G	-0.1020	-0.0938	0.0339	0.4183	0.3748	0.4718	0.4312	0.1504	0.4258	0.3646	0.2244	0.9360**
Ear diameter (cm)	P	-0.1072	-0.0986	-0.0149	0.2826	0.2534	0.3009	0.3505	0.1614	0.3010	0.2357	0.1239	0.9016**
	G	0.0834	0.0786	0.0202	-0.1755	-0.1604	-0.1869	-0.2045	-0.1030	-0.1896	-0.1478	-0.1004	0.9540**
Number of kernel rows per ear	P	-0.0016	-0.0017	-0.0014	0.0010	0.0007	0.0010	0.0016	0.0036	0.0015	-0.0001	0.0007	0.3240**
	G	-0.3399	-0.3522	-0.3222	0.1854	0.1462	0.1836	0.2900	0.5757	0.2906	-0.0176	0.1960	0.3901**
Number of kernels per row	P	-0.0673	-0.0631	-0.0234	0.1430	0.1194	0.1579	0.1569	0.0791	0.1827	0.1238	0.0825	0.8905**
	G	0.0352	0.0341	0.0131	-0.0694	-0.059	-0.0767	-0.0788	-0.0429	-0.0850	-0.0621	-0.0450	0.9247**
100-kernel weight (g)	P	-0.0410	-0.0383	0.0181	0.1853	0.1541	0.1712	0.1589	-0.0075	0.1601	0.2362	0.1076	0.8070**
	G	-0.1576	-0.1558	0.0515	0.6542	0.5448	0.6120	0.5724	-0.0242	0.5783	0.7919	0.4478	0.8544**
Shelling percent (%)	P	-0.0449	-0.0483	-0.0330	0.0409	0.0123	0.0440	0.0432	0.0258	0.0552	0.0557	0.1223	0.5008**
	G	-0.0526	-0.0592	-0.0408	0.0533	0.0188	0.0610	0.0629	0.0436	0.0679	0.0725	0.1282	0.6097**

Phenotypic residual effect = 0.2658; Genotypic residual effect = 0.1679

* Significant at 5 percent level; ** significant at 1 percent level; Bold values are direct effects

silking at 50% and 50%. Begumet al. [25], Roy et al. [26], and Shikha et al. [20] recorded "direct effect in positive direction of ear length on maize grain yield. Ear diameter had genotypic negative direct influence on grain yield per plant and indirect positive influence through days to 50 percent tasseling, days to 50 percent silking and days to maturity. It had negative indirect effect on grain yield through plant height, number of kernels per ear, ear height, number of kernels per row, ear diameter, 100-kernel weight, ear length and shelling percentage. At phenotypic level ear diameter recorded direct effect in positive direction on grain yield per plant and an indirect positive influence through all the traits under study except days to 50 percent tasseling, silking and maturity which were shown negative indirect effects on grain yield per plant". Direct effect in positive direction of ear diameter with grain yield was observed earlier by Kote et al [27] and Ram Reddy and Jabeen [8]. The trait, number of kernel rows per ear recorded a positive direct influence on grain yield. It had an positive indirect contribution via ear diameter, plant height, ear length, ear height, number of kernels per ear, shelling percentage and number of kernels per row, while negative indirect effect through days to 100-kernel weight, days to maturity, 50 percent tasselling and silking at the phenotypic and genotypic level. The direct influence in positive direction on grain yield by number of the kernel rows per ear was also observed by Nataraj et al [28], Ravindra kumar and Karan Choudhary [16] and Woldu Mogesse (2021).

100-kernel weight recorded direct influence in desirable direction on grain yield per plant at genotypic level. It shown positive indirect effect through plant height, number of kernels per row, ear diameter, ear length, ear height and shelling percentage and an indirect effect in negative direction through days to 50 percent tasseling, days to 50 percent silking and number of kernels rows per ear. Direct effect of 100-kernel weight on grain yield in positive was reported earlier researchers Roy et al. [26] and Yahaya et al. [13]. The shelling percentage exhibited a direct positive influence on grain yield per plant at genotypic and indirect effect through plant height, ear height, ear diameter, ear length, number of kernels per ear, number of kernels per row and 100 kernel weight in positive direction while negatively through days to 50 percent tasseling, days to 50 percent silking and days to maturity. Previously Soumya and Kamatar [29], Prakash et al [11], singhet al [17] and Devasree et al [19]

observed the direct influence in positive direction on grain yield by shelling percentage. The residual effect in phenotypic path coefficient analysis was 0.2658 and for genotypic path coefficient analysis it was 0.1679 (Table 2). The lower residual effect indicates, the traits consider under study were contributed to maize grain yield.

4. CONCLUSION

The correlation study indicated that the selection for ear diameter, ear length, number of kernels per row, plant height, 100-kernel weight, and ear height would increase maize grain yield because these traits showed a strong positive correlation with grain yield. The results of the path coefficient analysis emphasized the need for selection of plant type with greater ear diameter, 100 kernel weight, ear length, days to 50 percent silking, and number of kernel rows per ear. These characters were found to be the key factors that directly affect grain yield.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. India Stat. Ministry of Agriculture and farmers welfare, Govt. of India; 2021-22 Available: <https://www.indiastat.com/table/agriculture/selected-state-season-wise-area-product/on-product/1440451>.
2. India stat,. Ministry of Agriculture and farmers welfare, Govt. of India; 2021-22 Available: <https://www.indiastat.com/table/telangana-state/agriculture/selected-state-season-wise-area-production-product/1440451>.
3. Bekric V, Radosavljevic M. Savre menipristupiupotrebe kukuruza. PTEP. 2008;12:93–96. Available: <http://scindeks-clanci.ceon.rs/data/pdf/1450-5029/2008/1450-50290803093B.pdf>
4. Mohammadi SA, Prasanna BM, Singh NN. 2003. Sequential path model for determining interrelationships among grain yield and related characters in Maize. Crop Science. 42003;3:1690-1697.
5. Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical methods for agricultural workers, Indian Council of Agricultural Research, 4th edition, New Delhi; 1985.

6. Singh RK, Chaudhary BD. Biometrical methods in quantitative genetic analysis. Kalyani Publishers, Ludhiana; 1979.
7. Dewey DR, Lu KH. A correlation and path coefficient analysis of components of crested wheat grass seed production. *Agronomy Journal*. 1959;51:515-518.
8. Reddy VR, Jabeen F. Narrow sense heritability, correlation and path analysis in maize (*Zea mays* L.). *SABRAO Journal of Breeding and Genetics*. 2016;48(2):120-126.
9. Chaurasia NK, Nirala RBP, Singh B, Mandal SS. Trait association and path coefficient analysis in maize (*Zea mays* L.) for grain yield and its attributes. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*. 2020;9(6):527-531.
10. Kandel BP, Poude A, Sharma S, Subedi M. Correlation and path coefficient analysis of early maize genotype in Western Hill of Nepal. *Nepalese Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 2017;15.
11. Prakash R, Ravikesavan, R, Vinodhana NK, Senthil A. 2019. Genetic Variability, Character Association and Path Analysis for Yield and Yield Component Traits in Maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*. 2019;10(2):518-524.
12. Akshaya M, Shantakumar G, Sridevi O, Sangamesh Nevani. Correlation and path coefficient analysis for determining interrelationships among grain yield and yield related characters in maize hybrids (*Zea mays* L.). *Pharma Innovation*. 2022; 11(3):1343-1346.
DOI: 10.22271/tpi.2022.v11.i3r.11477
13. Yahaya MS, Bello I Uguwanrimi AY. Correlation and Path-Coefficient Analysis for Grain Yield and Agronomic Traits of Maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Science World Journal*. 2021;16(1).
14. Priyanto SB, Prayitno OD, Efendi R.. Correlation and path analysis maize hybrid yield. *Jurnal Lahan Suboptimal: Journal of Suboptimal Lands*. 2023;12(1):80-87.
DOI: 10.36706/JLSO.12.1.2023.629
15. Mogesse W. Correlation and path coefficient analysis for grain yield and yield related trait of maize (*Zea mays* L.) Inbreed Lines. *International Journal of Current Research and Academic Review*. 2021;9(02):138-150.
16. Kumar R, Choudhary K. Variability, heritability, character association and path analysis in maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Agricways*. 2018;6(1):1-8.
17. Singh R, Sinha SK, Ekka RE, Dinesh T. Genetic variability and association path analysis in maize (*Zea mays* L.) genotypes. *International Journal of Current Advanced Research*. 2019;8(2):17511–17514.
18. Wright S. Correlation and Causation. *Journal of Agricultural Research*. 1921;20: 557-585.
19. Devasree S, Ganesan. KN, Ravikesavan R, Senthil N, Paranidharan V. Relationship between yield and its component traits for enhancing grain yield in single cross hybrids of maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*. 2020;11(3):796-802.
20. Shikha K, Shahi JP, Singh S. Path Coefficient Analysis in Maize (*Zea mays* L.) hybrids. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*. 2020;9(2):278-282.
21. Netaji SVR. Heterosis and combining ability studies on grain yield and its component characters in medium duration selected inbred lines of maize. M.Sc.(Ag.) Thesis. Acharya N.G. Ranga Agricultural University, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad; 1998
22. Venugopal M, Ansari NA, Rajani Kanth T. Correlation and path analysis in maize. *Crop Research*. 2003;25(3):525-529.
23. Raghu B, Suresh J, Sudheer Kumar S, Saidaiah P. Character association and path analysis in maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Madras Agricultural Journal*. 2011;98(1-3):7-9.
24. Viola G, Ganesh M, Reddy SS, Kumar CVS. Studies on correlation and path coefficient analysis of elite baby corn (*Zea mays* L.) lines. *Progressive Agriculture*. 2003;3(1/2):22-24.
25. Begum S, Ahmed A, Omy SH, Rohman MM, Amiruzzaman M. Genetic variability, character association and path analysis in maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Bangladesh Journal of Agricultural Research*. 2016;41(1):173-182.
26. Roy PR, Haque Md A, Ferdousi A, Abdullah Md, Al Bari. Genetic variability, correlation and path co-efficient analyses of selected maize (*Zea mays* L.) genotypes. *Fundamental and Applied Agriculture*. 2018;3(1):382–389.
27. Kote UB, Kumar PVB, Ahamed ML, Rani YA, Rao VS, Adilakshmi D. Correlation and Path Analyses in Maize (*Zea mays* L.).

- Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding. 2014;5(3):538-544.
28. Nataraj V, Shahi JP, Vandana D. Character Association and Path Analyses in Maize (*Zea mays* L.). Environment and Ecology. 2015;33(1):78-81.
29. Soumya HH, Kamatar MY. Correlation and path analysis for yield and yield components in single cross maize hybrids (*Zea mays* L.). Journal of Farm Sciences. 2017;30(2):153-156.

© 2024 Kumar et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/112858>