

Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology

Volume 42, Issue 4, Page 107-114, 2024; Article no.AJAEES.113819 ISSN: 2320-7027

A Study on Agri-preneurial Behaviour of Kalanamak Rice Growers in Siddharth Nagar and Sant Kabir Nagar District of Uttar Pradesh, India

Suraj Kumar a++*, Pradumn Kumar Mourya b++, Jatin Kumar Singh b++, Amrendra Kumar Chaudhary c++, Vikas Upadhyay a ++ and Alimul Islam a#

^a Department of Ag. Extension, Institute of Agriculture and Natural Sciences (DDU Gorakhpur University, Gorakhpur), India.

^b Department of Ag. Entomology, Institute of Agriculture and Natural Sciences (DDU Gorakhpur University, Gorakhpur), India.

^c Department of Horticulture, Institute of Agriculture and Natural Sciences (DDU Gorakhpur University, Gorakhpur), India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. The paper has been read by all authors.

The contribution is the same of all author because everyone helped in the preparation of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/AJAEES/2024/v42i42400

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here:

https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/113819

Received: 01/01/2024 Accepted: 04/03/2024

Published: 11/03/2024

Original Research Article

++ M.Sc. Student;

*Corresponding author: E-mail: surajchaudhary10234@gmail.com;

Asian J. Agric. Ext. Econ. Soc., vol. 42, no. 4, pp. 107-114, 2024

[#] Assistant Professor;

ABSTRACT

Kalanamak rice, hailed for its nutritional potency and health benefits, presents a promising avenue for alleviating these challenges. This study, titled "A Study on Agri- preneurial Behaviour of Kalanamak Rice Growers in Siddharth Nagar and Sant Kabir Nagar District of Uttar Pradesh, India," delves into this potential within the precincts of Siddharth Nagar and Sant Kabir Nagar district. The investigation hones in on two blocks - Birdpur in Siddharth Nagar, and Santha in Sant Kabir Nagar. Methodologically rigorous, the study selected six villages from each block and engaged with a total of 120 Kalanamak growers. Data collection unfurled through personalized interviews, facilitated by a meticulously crafted questionnaire. To unravel patterns and nuances, statistical tools such as frequency, percentage, mean, and standard deviation orchestrated the classification of data. The coefficient of correlation `r` was invoked to fathom the interplay between Kalanamak growers` attributes, their knowledge, and their adoption of Kalanamak rice. Analysis of the respondent demographics spotlighted several noteworthy trends. A preponderance (70.83%) emerged from the middle age group, with educational attainments peaking at the middle school (34.17%). In terms of occupation, 45% were engaged in agriculture, The agrarian landscape was marked by a significant representation from the Other Backward Classes (OBC) category (49.17%), Landholding skewed towards the smaller end (49.17%), Family dynamics, as reflected in size and structure, were characterized by medium level (64.17% and 90.83%, respectively).

Keywords: Kalanamak; rice growers; Sant Kabir Nagar; socio-economic; psychological characteristics; Uttar Pradesh.

1. INTRODUCTION

Rice is the basic grain consumed as a food in India grown during kharif season which is found in almost every Indian kitchen and plays a very significant role in Indian food security. It is the most common grain and the most common food in India: however, India is not only a big consumer of rice but also it is the second-largest producer of rice in the world after China [1]. India also holds the largest agricultural land for paddy production in the world [2]. In 2022 total arable land for paddy in the world is 158,300,068 hectares with a total production of 685,240,469 tonnes of paddy, out of which 41850000 hectares of the area is held by India only, which produced 133,700,000 tonnes of paddy just second after 196681170 tonnes by China (Source: Directorate of Economics and Statistics, Department of Agriculture and Cooperation) [3]. India leads in terms of land holdings, while the production is led by China [4]. Kalanamak rice variety is the epitome of the best aromatic rice cultivated and consumed in the Northeastern part of Uttar Pradesh [5]. To the local palate, it was even classed superior to Indian mystery rice Basmati. Kalanamak rice was granted the Geographical Indication (GI) Tag in 2012 by the Government of India. It is a heritage rice variety, which has been under cultivation since time immemorial [6,7]. The exact history of its cultivation is not recorded but it is believed that Kalanamak was the

preferred variety for offerings given to Lord Buddha some 3,000 years ago. Kalanamak has been in cultivation mainly in the northeastern part of Uttar Pradesh and the western and central part of Nepal Tarai [8]. Over centuries under cultivation, farmers way of handling seeds, neglect by rice research institutions and double onslaught on the economic front by high-yielding varieties (HYV), deterioration in its quality and the area under cultivation has reduced [9]. Knowing the importance of the Kalanamak in the region to the farmers and retarding condition of Kalanamak it becomes important to understand the status of the farmers in Sant Kabir Nagar and Sidharth Nagar district and the correlation of various factors with knowledge and extent of adoption to the farmers.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was conducted in Siddharth Nagar and Sant Kabir Nagar district which is the eastern part of Uttar Pradesh. Siddharth Nagar and Sant Kabir Nagar district is the most important district of the state, for Kalanamak rice growers which is located in the eastern part of Uttar Pradesh. From 14 blocks in Siddharth Nagar and 9 blocks in Sant Kabir Nagar district of Uttar Pradesh, one block from each district was purposively selected which are Birdpur and Santha block. From these blocks, six villages from each block were selected which are from





Fig. 1. Data collection during the survey work

Birdpur block (Badhaya, Ghoswa, Mahadewa, Motipu, Navdihwa, and Visunpur) and Santha (Banethu, Bharwaliya, Badgo, Jigina, Parsa Shukla and Parasia). The district, block, and village were selected purposively as it has the maximum number of Kalanamak rice growers and the maximum area covered under this area by Kalanamak rice growers. A purposive sampling method was used for the selection of the district, block, and villages. From each village, 10 respondents were selected by random sampling technique for the research work. The sample size taken was 120 respondents. The data was collected with the help of a personal interview technique with the help of an interview schedule. The recorded responses from the respondents were converted into scores for tabulation and analyses were done with appropriate statistical tools. The following statistical tools and formulas like- arithmetic mean, standard deviation, percentage analysis, and Pearson's correlation coefficient were used with the help of MS Excel and OPSTAT software in the study based on the nature of the data.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 The Socio-Economic and Psychological Characteristics of Kalanamak Rice Growers

The data presented in Table 1 indicates that a majority (70.83%) of the Kalanamak rice growers belong to the middle age group. This is followed by 15.00% of the farmers who fall into the old age category, while 14.17% of the farmers are in the young age group. These findings align with

the results of Singh et al. [10]. Additionally, the study revealed that the highest proportion (70.83%) of respondents of this age category was observed in Siddharth Nagar district, as compared to Sant Kabir Nagar district. Referring to Table 1, it is evident that the majority of respondents (34.17 per cent) possessed a middle school education. This was followed by 17.5 per cent who were categorized as illiterate, 13.33 per cent with a primary school education, 12.5 per cent with a high school education, 11.67 per cent with intermediate education, and 10.83 per cent holding a graduate degree or higher. Furthermore, the study revealed that the highest percentage (34.17%) of respondents with middle school education was recorded in Siddharth Nagar district, in comparison to Sant Kabir Nagar district [11]. Based on the data presented in Table 1, the majority of respondents (45%) were involved in agriculture, followed by (29.16%) engaged in both agriculture + labour, and (25.84%) involved in a combination of agriculture + business activities. Furthermore, the study found that the highest proportion (45%) of respondents engaged in agriculture was recorded in Siddharth Nagar district, as compared to Sant Kabir Nagar district Dhanotiya [12]. According to Table 1, the majority of Kalanamak rice growers (49.16 per cent) belonged to the OBC caste category, followed by the General caste category (30.00 %), and SC (20.84 %). One cause could be that the majority of the respondents were from a lower socioeconomic status. In the study maximum (49.00%) of OBC caste category respondents were recorded in Siddharth Nagar compression to Sant Kabir Nagar district. The information provided in Table 1 reveals that among the total of 120 respondents, the majority (49.17 per cent) possessed land holdings of up to 1 hectare. Following this. 40 per cent of the respondents had land holdings ranging from 1 to 2 hectares, while 10.83 per cent held more than 2 hectares of land. Moreover, the study highlighted that the highest percentage (49.17%) of respondents with land holdings were observed in Siddharth Nagar district, as compared to Sant Kabir Nagar district. The data presented in Table 1 indicates that out of the 120 respondents, 64.66 per cent belonged to medium-sized families with 8 to 13 members. 19.17 per cent belonged to large families with more than 14 members, and 16.66 per cent belonged to small families with over 15 members. Among the studied districts, the maximum number of respondents with family size (64.17%) was recorded in Siddharth Nagar, compared to Sant Kabir Nagar district Trivedi and Pareek [13]. Table 1 shows that the majority of Kalanamak rice growers (90.83 %) came from joint families, while (9.17 %) came from nuclear families. The fragmentation or separation of rural families could be the major cause of this finding. In the study maximum (90.83%) of family respondents were recorded in Siddharth Nagar compression to Sant Kabir Nagar district Trivedi Pareek [13]. The classification and respondents according to their annual income has been presented in Table 1 it indicates that out of total 120 respondents (45 per cent) middle level of income in 1 to 2 lakhs, whereas (41.67 %) farmers were having low level in between up to 1 lakh, followed by (13.33 %) were having high-level income above 2 lakhs respectively. Table 1 shows that the majority (65.84 %) had a medium level of farming experience ranking from 15 to 34 years, followed by a high level (28.34 %) of more than 35 years. However, (18.33 %) of respondents had low experience Kalanamak rice cultivation respectively. In the study maximum (65.84%) of farming experience respondents were recorded in Siddharth Nagar compression to Sant Kabir Nagar district. Nisha and Rajasekaran [14]. According to Table 1 shows that the majority (36.67 per cent) had a low level of mass media ranking from up to 10, followed by medium level (35 per cent) of 11 to 12. However, (28.33%) of the respondents had high-level mass media of Kalanamak rice cultivation respectively. In the study maximum (36.67%) of farming mass media respondents were recorded in Siddharth Nagar compression to Sant Kabir Nagar district Amitha et al. [15]. According to Table 1 shows that the majority (71.67%) had a medium level of social

participation ranking from 2 to 9, followed by a high level (15 %) of above 10. However, (13.33 of the respondents had low social participation in Kalanamak rice cultivation respectively. In the study maximum (71.67%) of social participation respondents were recorded in Siddharth Nagar compression to Sant Kabir Nagar district. Trivedi and Pareek [13]. Table 1 shows that the majority (65 %) had a medium level of extension contact ranking from 4 to 8, followed by low level (20.83 per cent) of up to 3. However, (14.17 %) of the respondents had highlevel extension contact of Kalanamak rice cultivation respectively. In the study maximum (65%) of extension contact respondents were recorded in Siddharth Nagar compression to Sant Kabir Nagar district. Amitha et al. (2014).

3.2 Knowledge of Farmers About Kalanamak Rice

It refers to the knowledge of the respondents about the recommended cultivation practices of Kalanamak rice. Knowledge plays a key role in the maximization of the profit by adopting new improved technology.

3.3 Adoption of Farmer About Kalanamak Rice

It refers to the adoption of the respondents about the recommended cultivation practices of Kalanamak rice. The knowledge plays a key role in maximization of the profit by adopting new and improved technology.

It is observed from Table 2 that the majority of the respondents (53.33%) had medium level of knowledge about the recommended farming of Kalanamak whereas, (26.67%) and (20%) of the respondents had low and high levels of knowledge about the recommended farming of Kalanamak rice growers. It was observed from Table 2 that the majority (59.17%) of the respondents had medium-level adoption of recommended farming practices of Kalanamak rice. The percentage of respondents having low adoption was (23.33%) whereas only (17.5 %) of respondents had a high level of adoption.

The age of respondents had non -a significant relationship with Knowledge. There was a non-significant statistical relationship between the knowledge and age of Kalanamak (r = 0.085). It

Table 1. The socio-economic and psychological characteristics of Kalanamak rice growers

	Sr. No.	Category	Frequency	Percentage
Age	1.	Young (Below 31 years)	17	14.17
	2.	Middle (32 to 55 years)	85	70.83
	3.	Old (Above 56 years)	18	15.00
Educational status	1.	Illiterate	21	17.50
	2.	Primary school	16	13.33
	3.	Middle school	41	34.17
	4.	High school	15	12.50
	5.	Intermediate	14	11.67
	6.	Graduate & above	13	10.83
Occupational	1.	Agriculture	54	45.00
status	2.	Agriculture + Labour	35	29.16
	3.	Agriculture + Business	31	25.84
Caste	1.	General	36	30.00
	2.	OBC	59	49.16
	3.	SC	25	20.84
Size of land	1.	Up to 1 ha	59	49.17
holding	2.	1 to 2 ha	48	40.00
J	3.	More than 2 ha	13	10.83
Family size	1.	Small (Up to 7 members)	20	16.66
•	2.	Medium (8 to 13 members)	77	64.17
	3.	Large (Above 14 members)	23	19.17
Family type	1.	Joint	109	90.83
, ,,	2.	Nuclear	11	9.17
Annual Income	1.	< Rs. 1,00,000	50	41.67
	2.	Rs.1,00,001-Rs. 2,00,000	54	45.00
	3.	> Rs. 2,00001	16	13.33
Farming	1.	Low (up to 14 years)	22	18.33
Experience	2.	Medium (15 to 34 years)	79	65.84
•	3.	High (above 35 years)	19	15.83
Mass media	1.	Low (up to 10)	44	36.67
exposure	2.	Medium (11-12)	42	35.00
	3.	High (more than 13)	34	28.33
Social	1.	Low (up to 1)	16	13.33
Participation	2.	Medium (2 to 9)	86	71.67
	3.	High (Above 10)	18	15.00
Extension agent	1.	Low (up to 3)	25	20.83
contact	2.	Medium (4 to 8)	78	65.00
	3.	High (Above 9)	17	14.17

Table 2. Classification of the respondents according to their level of knowledge and level of adoption (n=120)

Variables	Category	Frequency	Percentage
Level of	Low (up to 11)	24	20.00
knowledge	Medium (12 to 13)	64	53.33
	High (above 14)	32	26.67
Level of	Low (up to 17)	28	23.33
adoption	Medium (18 to 20)	71	59.17
	High (above 21)	21	17.5

means that the age was not influenced of knowledge the Kalanamak rice growers. The relation between the education of the Kalanamak rice growers is non-significant with their knowledge about recommender farming practices of Kalanamak rice (r = 0.010). The caste of Kalanamak rice growers is non-significant and positively related to their knowledge level about recommended farming

practices of Kalanamak rice (r = 0.008). Land holding of Kalanamak rice growers was found to be non-significant with their knowledge about recommended farming practices of Kalanamak rice (r = 0.099). The family size of Kalanamak rice growers was found to be negatively non-significant with their knowledge about recommended farming practices of Kalanamak rice (r = -0.289). Family type of Kalanamak rice

growers was found to be negatively nonsignificant with their knowledge about recommended farming practices of Kalanamak rice (r = -0.189). The annual income of the Kalanamak rice growers is non-significant with their knowledge about recommender farming practices of Kalanamak rice (r = 0.113). Farming experience in Kalanamak cultivation Kalanamak rice growers was observed to be highly non-significant and negatively related with their knowledge about recommended farming practices of Kalanamak rice (r = -0.068). Mass media exposure of Kalanamak rice growers is non-significant and positively related with their knowledge level about recommended farming practices of Kalanamak rice (r = 0.313). Social participation of Kalanamak rice growers was found to be non-significant with their knowledge about recommended farming practices Kalanamak rice (r = 0.173). Extension contact of Kalanamak rice growers is non-significantly and positively related with their knowledge level about recommended farming practices Kalanamak rice (r = 0.409) Table 3.

The age of respondents had a non-significant relationship with the adoption. There was a non-significant statistical relationship between the adoption and age of Kalanamak (r = 0.070). It means that the adoption was not influenced by and age of the Kalanamak rice growers. The relation between the education of the Kalanamak rice growers is non-significant with their adoption of recommender farming practices of Kalanamak rice (r = 0.032). Relation between the occupation of the Kalanamak rice growers is non-significant and positively related with their adoption of

recommender farming practices of Kalanamak rice (r = 0.188). The caste of Kalanamak rice growers is non-significant and negatively related with their adoption level of recommended farming practices of Kalanamak rice (r = -0.204). Land holding of Kalanamak rice growers was found to be non-significant and positively related with their adoption of recommended farming practices of Kalanamak rice (r = 0.176). The family size of Kalanamak rice growers was found to be negatively non-significant with their adoption of recommended farming practices of Kalanamak rice (r = -0.148). The family type of Kalanamak rice growers was found to be nonsignificant with their adoption of recommended farming practices of Kalanamak rice (r = 0.011). The annual income of the Kalanamak rice growers is non-significant with their adoption level about recommender farming practices of Kalanamak rice (r = 0.143). Farming experience in Kalanamak cultivation of Kalanamak rice growers was observed to be negatively nonsignificant and positively related with their adoption of recommended farming practices of Kalanamak rice (r = -0.068). Mass media exposure of Kalanamak rice growers is nonsignificant and positively related with their adoption level of recommended farming practices Kalanamak rice (r = 0.177). participation of Kalanamak rice growers was found to be non-significant with their adoption of recommended farming practices of Kalanamak rice (r = 0.292). Extension contact of Kalanamak rice growers is non-significant and positively related with their adoption level of recommended farming practices of Kalanamak rice (r = 0.314)Table 3.

Table 3. Relationship between socio-economic and psychological characteristics of Kalanamak rice growers with knowledge and adoption

Sr. No.	Variables	Correlation coefficient (r) with level of knowledge	Correlation coefficient (r) with level of adoption
1.	Age	0.085	0.070
2.	Education qualification	0.010	0.032
3.	Occupational	0.116	0.188**
4.	Caste	0.008	-0.204**
5.	Landholding	0.099	0.176
6.	Family Size	-0.289**	-0.148
7.	Family Type	-0.189**	0.011
8.	Annual Income	0.113	0.143
9.	Farming Experience	-0.068	-0.068
10.	Mass media exposure	0.313**	0.177
11.	Social participation	0.173	0.292**
12.	Extension contacts	0.409**	0.314**

4. CONCLUSION

The majority of the Kalanamak rice growers belonged to the middle age group, most of them had received education qualification in middle school and were illiterate, most of them had occupations in agriculture, most of them were of OBC caste, having small land holding, medium family size, joint family type, medium annual income, medium farming experiences, low mass media, medium social participation, medium extension contact. It was observed that the majority of the Kalanamak rice growers had in medium level of knowledge regarding the recommended farming of Kalanamak rice, while a medium level of adoption category regarding the recommended farming of Kalanamak rice. It concluded that Kalanamak growers' characteristics viz. Age, educational qualification, occupation, land holding, annual income, mass media exposure, and extension contact were positively and non-significantly related with the knowledge of recommended cultivation and level of adoption for Kalanamak rice, while family size, family type, farming experience were negatively and correlated non-significantly with knowledge whereas caste, family size, farming experience was negatively non-significant with level of adoption.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I would like to express my heartfelt gratitude to the Department of Agricultural Extension, Institute of Agriculture and Natural Sciences, (DDU Gorakhpur University, Gorakhpur) for their valuable guidance and support during my research.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Bandumula N. Rice production in Asia: Key to global food security. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, India Section B: Biological Sciences. 2018;88: 1323-1328.
- 2. Mahajan G, Kumar V, S Chauhan BS. Rice production in India. Rice Production Worldwide. 2017;53-91.

- Directorate of Economics and Statistics, Ministry of Agriculture, Government of India. (n.d.); 1967.
 - Available:https://eands.dacnet.nic.in/
- 4. Pandey S, Bhandari H, Ding S, Prapertchob P, Sharan R, Naik D, Sastri A. Coping with drought in rice farming in Asia: Insights from a cross-country comparative study. Agricultural Economics. 2007;37: 213-224.
- Chaudhary RC, Mishra SB, Yadav SK, ALI J. Extinction to distinction: Current status of Kalanamak, the heritage rice of eastern Uttar Pradesh and its likely role in farmers' prosperity. Gene. 2012; 16:16.
- Chaudhary RC, Tran DV. Speciality Rices of the World: Breeding, Production and Marketing; Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy. 2001;358.
- Chaudhary RC, Mishra SB. Collection of unique rice germplasm from the cradle of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) in Eastern Uttar Pradesh. In: Genetic Resources of Rice in India: Past and Past and Present; Ed. SD Sharma. Today & Tomorrow's Printers, New Delhi. 2010;587-594.
- 8. Chaudhary RC, Mishra SB. Collection of unique rice germplasm from the cradle of rice (Oryza sativa L.) in Eastern Uttar Pradesh. In: Genetic Resources of Rice in India: Past and Past and Present; Ed. SD Sharma. Today & Tomorrow's Printers, New Delhi. 2010;587-594.
- 9. Kumar S, Singh PK, Jagannath MA. Breeding Bauna Kalanamak 101 as a new aromatic variety of heritage rice for Uttar Pradesh: A review. The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2023;12(6): 986-990.
- Singh SP, Stephen AJ, Noel AS, Jhariya PN. Study on socio-economic profile, economic potential of paddy seed, potentiality of selected verities and constraints for adaptation of high-end quality rice in Siddharth Nagar district of Uttar Pradesh; 2022.
- 11. Venkataramaiah P. Development of socioeconomic status scale (Doctoral dissertation, Ph. D. Thesis; 1990.
- Dhanotiya B. Study on women entrepreneurial behaviour in self-help group through KVK Kasturba Gram Indore district of MP, M. Sc. (Ag.) Thesis Submitted to Rajmata Vijayaraje Scindia Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya; 2012.

- 13. Pareek U, Trivedi G. Factor analysis of socioeconomic status of farmers in India. Rural Sociology. 1965;30(3).
- 14. Nisha SM, Rajasekaran V. Employability skills: A review. IUP Journal of Soft Skills. 2018;12(1):29-37.
- Amitha CD, Karthikeyan C, Mansingh JP, Theodre RK, Kumar DS, Patil SG. Socioeconomic categorization-A New classification for the farm households. Indian Journal of Extension Education. 2023;59(3):38-42.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/113819