

*Asian Soil Research Journal*

*Volume 8, Issue 2, Page 20-28, 2024; Article no.ASRJ.115084 ISSN: 2582-3973*

# **Comparison of Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity under the Reclaimed Conditions of Salt Affected Soil**

# **Khin Mar Lwin a++\* , Yinn Mar Soe a# , Kyaw Ngwe a† and Kyi Moe b#**

*<sup>a</sup> Department of Soil and Water Science, YAU, Myanmar. <sup>b</sup> Department of Agronomy, YAU, Myanmar.*

#### *Authors' contributions*

*This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.*

#### *Article Information*

DOI: 10.9734/ASRJ/2024/v8i2148

#### **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/115084

*Original Research Article*

*Received: 28/01/2024 Accepted: 02/04/2024 Published: 05/04/2024*

# **ABSTRACT**

Solving the soil problems that affect negatively on growth of plants and reduce the soil permeability is necessary for the study site, Thein Gone Village in Yamethin Township, Myanmar. The objectives of this study were; to identify the soil whether it is salt-affected or not and to investigate the proper reclamation method with different rates of amendments by comparing the saturated conductivity (*Ks*). Both composite (disturbed) and core (undisturbed) soil samplings were collected at three profiles (0 - 60 cm soil depth) during March, 2023. Some soil properties such as texture, bulk density (g cm<sup>-3</sup>), moisture content (%), ECe (electrical conductivity, saturated extract; mS cm<sup>-1</sup>), pH (1:5 water), SAR (sodium absorption ratio) and pH and EC of leaching water were also measured. Firstly, the study site was problematic with high pH due to Na<sup>+</sup> distribution of underground water

\_

*† Professor and Head;*

*Asian Soil Res. J., vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 20-28, 2024*

*<sup>++</sup> Master Candidate;*

*<sup>#</sup> Associate Professor;*

*<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: E-mail: khinmarlwin2005sr@gmail.com;*

although there was some application of gypsum for a decade. Also, low permeability  $(K_s < 1x10^{-8})$ cm s<sup>-1</sup>) was another problem there. To leach the salt (EC < 1 mS cm<sup>-1</sup> in leachate), 1500 cm<sup>3</sup> of water was necessary for one core (98.21 cm<sup>3</sup> soil volume). It was relatively high amount for using the quality-water. For reclamation methods, gypsum 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, sulphur 1 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, and cow-dung manure 20 t ha-1 gave the minimum (- log *Ks*) value among different rates of each amendment. The less the - log *Ks*, the more permeable the soil becomes. Among different amendments, gypsum 5 t ha-1 showed the fastest saturated hydraulic conductivity. Moreover, it is necessary to drain out the leachate to prevent the formation of saline -sodic soil.

*Keywords: Saturated hydraulic conductivity; reclamation; salt-affected soil.*

# **1. INTRODUCTION**

Saturated hydraulic conductivity (*Ks*) is one of the soil quality indicators and measuring it is useful to identify whether the soil is salt affected or not. Moreover, it can be regarded as a crucial aspect of soil that controls its capacity to transfer water under saturated conditions [1].

In soil-plant-water relations and processes, decisions on water conservation, irrigation systems, fertilizer application, drainage, solute mitigation, and plant growth, estimation of *K<sup>s</sup>* performs as one of the desirable measurements [2]. *K<sup>s</sup>* is typically determined by using easy-tocomplete field and laboratory procedures [3].

The hydraulic conductivity is generally constant in saturated soil with a stable structure and in porous media like sandstone. In sandy soil, it ranges from approximately  $10^{-2}$  to  $10^{-3}$  cm s<sup>-1</sup>, whereas in clayey soil, it is between 10<sup>-4</sup> and 10<sup>-7</sup> cm s-1 . Hillel [4] noted that soil texture and structure could have an impact on hydraulic conductivity. Hydraulic conductivity varies with soil type in several cases due to a variety of chemical, physical, and biological compositions and phenomena [5]. Salt concentration or composition in the water solution can also alter the hydraulic conductivity of the soil [6].

Nowadays, many soils in the world become problematic as a result of various natural disasters and intensive farming methods. Among these, salt-affected soils (saline, saline-sodic, and sodic) are brought on by an excessive accumulation of soluble salts with different compositions, concentrations, and saturations of the soil exchange complex by sodium, which alters the soil's permeability and hinders healthy root development [7, 8]. Excess salts harm developing plants and cause the poor physical soil conditions. Low rainfall, saline or sodic subsoil exposure from erosion, parent soil material, high salt irrigation water uses,

prolonged use of certain fertilizers, poor drainage are some of the potential causes of salt affected soils. Therefore, the negative effect on growth of plants is the result of reduced permeability of the soil to both water and air that in turn restricts root development, establishment, growth and final yield of crops [9].

Salts affected soil can be reclaimed by leaching salts out of the root zone through good quality irrigation water or by heavy rainfall, by creating good surface and internal drainage in which using tile drains and open ditches in the fields to increase drainage and to remove some of the salts, by breaking the compacted layers that occur near or at the soil surface and by applying organic matters (cow dung manures, poultry manures, green manures, farm yard manure, crop residue and compost) and chemical amendments (gypsum, lime, sulphuric acid, sulphur, hydrochloric acid and nitric acid etc.) [10, 11].

Currently, Myanmar faces soils with problems of approximately 1 million hectares (7.8%) of all cultivable land. Although most of these problem soils are currently under cultivation, salty and alkaline soils make up approximately 68.75% (660,000 ha) of the total area of problem soils. Therefore, salt affected soils becomes the most common issue to solve in [12]. Thein Gone Village, Yamethin Township, Mandalay Division, Myanmar, has 14.57 ha as a problematic soil. According to local administrative reports, this soil can be utilized as low land for paddy growing in the rainy season only. However, utilization of these soils returns uneconomical values for the cultivation of crops without adopting proper reclamation measures. Therefore, this study was conducted with the following objectives – (1) To identify the soil whether it's salt-affected or not and (2) To investigate the proper reclamation method with different rates of amendments by comparing the saturated conductivity (*Ks*). In this study, comparing saturated hydraulic conductivity under reclaimed condition of salt affected soils will fill the lack of scientific facts related to soil properties and will inform the soil status for current and long-term impact.

# **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

# **2.1 Site Description and Land Use History**

The study site was located at Thein Gone Village, Yamethin Township, Mandalay Division, Myanmar. It is situated at 20.45 °N latitude and 96.17 ºE longitude and the elevation of 431.10 m above the sea level. According to the local meteorological reports, average monthly minimum and maximum temperature of the study area were 13.8°C and 34.4ºC for the study year and the average rainfall was 5.84 mm per day during the wet season. There is no rainfall in the hot season. Farmer response of the land use history of the study site was shown in Table 1.

# **2.2 Soil and Water Samplings and their Analyses**

Both composite (disturbed) and undisturbed cores soil samples were collected at three profiles from 0-20 cm, 20-40 cm and 40-60 cm depths, respectively. Before analyzing, all composite soil samples were air-dried at room temperature for further physical and chemical soil analyses and undisturbed cores were measured for bulk density and saturated hydraulic conductivity. Water sample in the well at the nearest filed were also collected. Soil and water sampling time was in  $26<sup>th</sup>$  and  $27<sup>th</sup>$  March, 2023.

# **2.3 Soil, Water and Leachate Analyses**

Soil, water and leachate analyses were carried out at the laboratory of the Department of Soil and Water Science, Yezin Agricultural University. This study was performed with three steps to identify the soil problems, to calculate the leaching requirement and to reclaim the soil problems.

Firstly, in the identification of soil problem, the following parameter such as soil texture, soil bulk density, saturated hydraulic conductivity, soil pH, electrical conductivity of saturation extract (Ece), sodium adsorption ratio (SAR), soil organic matter and water quality of pH and EC were studied. Soil texture was classified by using sedimentation method [13]. Soil bulk density (BD) was determined with core sampler method [14]. Measurements of saturated hydraulic conductivity (*Ks*) of soils were based on the direct application of Darcy's equation (constant head method) to a saturated soil column of uniform cross-sectional area [15]. Soil pH was measured in a 1:5 soil water solution by a pH meter [16]. Soil electrical conductivity of saturation extract (ECe) was measured with an EC meter (CD 4307 SD) [17]. Sodium adsorption ratio (SAR) was determined by flame method using atomic absorption spectrophotometer (AAS) [18]. Soil organic carbon was determined by the method of Heanes wet oxidation. The percentage of organic carbon (Corg) in a soil is multiplied by 1.724 to obtain the percentage of soil organic matter (SOM). Well water quality was measured by using water quality meter (WQC-24). pH of leachate was measured with pH meter (AS 800). EC of leachate was measured with EC meter (CD 4307 SD).

In the second step, leaching requirement (LR) was calculated by constructing the curve of the relationship between cumulative leaching amount and EC values. This study was started with five frequencies of leaching water at 7 days interval using 500, 750, 1000, 1250, 1500 cm<sup>3</sup>, respectively. To wash salt,  $0.4 \mu S \text{ cm}^{-1}$  of deionized water was used.



#### **Table 1. Land use history of the study site**

In the third experiment for the reclamation of soil problem, comparison of saturated soil hydraulic conductivity under different rates of amendments used such as gypsum, sulphur and cow dung manure were carried out. Each study had four treatments and these were assigned as completely randomized design (CRD) with three replications. In the gypsum treatment, different rates of 0 t ha $^{-1}$ , 5 t ha $^{-1}$ , 10 t ha $^{-1}$  and 15 t ha-1 were used. In sulphur treatment, different rates of sulphur 0 t ha $^{-1}$ , 1 t ha $^{-1}$ , 2 t ha $^{-1}$ and 3 t ha-1 were used. In cow dung manure treatment, different rates of cow dung 0 t ha<sup>-1,</sup> 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, 20 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and 30 t ha<sup>-1</sup> were used.

#### **2.4 Statistical Analysis**

All collected data were analyzed by using the statistical software program (statisix version 8.0). Oneway ANOVA for CRD was constructed to test at *P <0.05*. All means were compared by using LSD test at 5% level.

#### **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### **3.1 Identification of the Study Soil Whether it is Salt Affected or Not**

Some measured soil characters were shown in Tables 2 and 3. Two textural classification was observed at three soil depths. Bulk density at 20- 40 cm soil depth was the maximum. It meant that

the plough layer was forming because of rice cultivation. Soil organic matter content was decreased in order from top to bottom of the soil profile. However, organic matter contents were too low there. According to Table 2, it could be recognized that three soil depths of the study site had pH of  $>8.5$ , ECe of around 2 mS cm<sup>-1</sup> and SAR of  $> 3$ . It indicated that the soil with high pH, slightly saline and slightly sodic. Throughout the soil column, exchangeable Na<sup>+</sup> was equally distributed and amount of exchangeable Ca2+ was the greatest at the surface (Table 3). It was the sign of salt affected soil with high pH [19]. Well water quality near the study site during the hot season also showed with high EC and pH (Table 4). The reason of high Na<sup>+</sup> amount there was evaporation from ground water (Table 4) and high  $Ca^{2+}$  amount was the application of gypsum for a decade by native farmers (Table 1). In Table (2), *K<sup>s</sup>* was varied from  $10^{-6}$  to  $10^{-8}$  cm s<sup>-1</sup>. So, the soil was low permeable. In sodium affected soils, the soil permeability was usually poor. In addition, the soil texture and bulk density of upper layer (0-20 cm) and lower layers (20-40 cm and 40-60 cm) were completely different. Textural differentiations and high bulk density made the soil permeability poor. Likely, [19] reported that many sensitive crops such as some vegetable and ornamentals showed symptoms and reduced yields at ECs of 2 - 4 mS cm-1 . In addition, many soils would begin to have reduced infiltration and increased crusting at SAR levels well Below 13.





SAR means sodium adsorption ratio using the equation of  $SAR = \int_{0}^{2} \frac{(Ca2 + + Mg2 + )}{N}$ 

 $Na+$ 

*K<sup>s</sup> means saturated hydraulic conductivity. SOM means soil organic matter.*

<b>Soil Properties</b>	<b>Soil Depth</b>			
(Sodium Absorption Ratio)	$0-20$ cm	$20 - 40$ cm	40-60 cm	
Exchangable Na (megL-1)	22.10	22.60	22.30	
Exchangable Ca (meqL-1)	70.93	54.07	52.80	
Exchangable Mg(meqL-1)	9.9	11.30	12.27	

**Tables 3. Exchangeable soil Na<sup>+</sup> , Ca2+ and Mg2+ contents of the study site**





#### **3.2 Calculation of Leaching Amount**

To calculate the leaching amount for reclaiming this problem soil, the relationship between cumulative amount of leached water  $(cm<sup>3</sup>)$  and the electrical conductivity (EC) value (mS  $cm^{-1}$ ) of the drained water was calibrated in Fig 1. The use of leached water quality was  $0.4 \mu S \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ). In the drained water, water quality was reduced from 1.2 mS  $cm^{-1}$  to less than 0.8 mS  $cm^{-1}$  when 500- 1500 cm<sup>3</sup> of deionized water was used for leaching the salts. At the amount of  $750 \text{ cm}^3$ leaching water, EC value showed the lowest and after that, EC values became higher. It was due to water soluble Na<sup>+</sup> and reduced Ca<sup>2+</sup> and Mq<sup>2+</sup> made the poor soil structure [20]. At 1500 cm<sup>3</sup> of

leached water, the electrical conductivity (EC) value was stable. Therefore, leaching volume of 1500 cm<sup>3</sup> of deionized water was used for reclaiming this problem soil using different rates of amendments such as gypsum, sulphur and cow dung manure and for comparing *Ks*. Actually, this use amount of quality water is relatively high.

# **3.3 Reclamation of the Problem Soil**

#### **3.3.1 Effects of different rates of gypsum on saturated hydraulic conductivity (***Ks***)**

Mean comparisons of *K<sup>s</sup>* under different rates of gypsum application were shown in Fig 1.



**Fig. 1. Relationship between cumulative leaching amount (cm3) and EC (mS cm-1) of drained water**



**Fig. 2. Effects of different rates of gypsum application on saturated hydraulic conductivity (-log Ks) of high pH soil**

Decreasing in -log *K<sup>s</sup>* means the soil become more permeable. Statistically, there was no significantly difference among the treatments. However, there was numerically decreased in log K<sub>s</sub>. When different rate of gypsum 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup>and 15 t ha<sup>-1</sup> were applied with 1500  $\text{cm}^3$  of deionized water for salt leaching,  $K_s$  in  $G_5$  was faster than other treatments. It indicated that the soil became more permeable at - log *K<sup>s</sup>* value between 4 and 5. The reason of  $G<sub>5</sub>$  showing the more permeable than G<sub>10</sub> and G<sub>15</sub> was that exchangeable calcium in G5 could balance with exchangeable sodium in soil. Permeability of G<sup>5</sup> was about 100 times greater than that of no addition. Therefore, gypsum  $5$  t ha<sup>-1</sup> should be used when using gypsum for solving this problem soil.

#### **3.3.2 Effects of different rates of sulfur on saturated hydraulic conductivity (***Ks***)**

Effects of different rates of sulphur application on *K<sup>s</sup>* were shown in Fig 3. There was no statistically difference among the treatments. However, numerically decrease in - log *K<sup>s</sup>* occurred at 1 t ha<sup>-1</sup> of sulphur application. It was nearly 100 times reduce in - log *K<sup>s</sup>* when compared to control treatment. Similarly, 1 t ha<sup>-1</sup> sulphur application gave the more permeability than other treatments because of the balancing effect of anions  $SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2</sup>$  in the soil- water solution. Therefore, sulphur 1 t ha<sup>-1</sup> should be used when using sulphur for solving the problem soil.



**Fig. 3. Effects of different rates of sulphur application on saturated hydraulic conductivity ( log Ks) of high pH soil**

#### **3.3.3 Effects of different rates of cow dung manure application on saturated hydraulic conductivity (***Ks***)**

Effects of different rates of cow dung manure on - log *K<sup>s</sup>* were described in Fig (4). Statistically, there was no significant effects on - log *K<sup>s</sup>* among the treatments. However, there was a numerically decrease in - log *K<sup>s</sup>* due to the application of cow dung manure. In comparison of - log  $K_s$ , CD<sub>20</sub> treatment (20 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) gave the minimum value and it showed the soil as the faster permeability than other treatments. Permeability of CD<sub>20</sub> was about 10 times greater than that of  $CD_{30}$  treatment (30 t ha<sup>-1</sup>). Therefore, cow dung manure 20 t ha-1 should be used when using cow dung manure for solving this problem soil. Addition of organic residues on *K<sup>s</sup>* varied

with soil texture, structure and there were some changes in hydraulic characteristics on saltaffected soil [21].

#### **3.3.4 Comparison of Saturated hydraulic conductivity (***Ks***) using different amendments**

Based on the three above experiments, it was found that among different amendment applications, gypsum  $5$  t ha<sup>-1</sup> gave the best permeability (the fastest saturated hydraulic conductivity) (in Fig 5). Permeability of  $G<sub>5</sub>$  was about 10 times greater than that of  $S_1$  and  $CD_{20}$ treatments and it was also about 100 times greater than that of no addition. Therefore, the study site could be reclaimed using gypsum 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> with quality water to leach out the



**Fig. 4. Effects of different rates of cow dung manure application on saturated hydraulic conductivity (- log Ks) of high pH soil**



**Fig. 5. Effects of saturated hydraulic conductivity (-log Ks) among different amendments of high pH soil**

salt and it is necessary to drain out. Here, it could be expected that application of cow dung manure on - log *K<sup>s</sup>* had less effect than other amendments (Fig 2 and 3).

# **4. CONCLUSION**

The study site faces with high soil pH problem due to Na<sup>+</sup> distribution in the ground water as well as application of gypsum there. To reduce the EC value in the drained water  $\left($  < 1 mS cm<sup>-1</sup>), leaching requirement was 1500cm<sup>3</sup> per core. Among different rates of gypsum application, 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> gave the fastest rate of saturated hydraulic conductivity. Among different rates of sulphur application, 1 t ha<sup>-1</sup> gave the fastest rate of saturated hydraulic conductivity. Among different rates of cow dung manure application, 20 t ha<sup>-1</sup> also showed the fastest rate of saturated hydraulic conductivity. Among different amendment applications, gypsum 5 t ha-1 favoured the soil becomes more permeable that was about 100 times greater than that of no addition.

# **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

I would like to thank Dr. Swe Swe Mar, Professor, Department of Soil and Water Science, Yezin Agricultural University for her continuous encouragement during my research work. I am very thankful to Dr. Aung Kyaw Thu and Daw Hla Win Htay, Water Utilization Research Section, Department of Agricultural Research (DAR) at Yezin, Nay Pyi Taw, Myanmar for their unconditional and invaluable supports during my post graduate study. I would like to definite special thanks to all staffs of Department of Agriculture (DOA) for their impressive helps when surveying and collecting soil samples. I extremely thank to my friends for their gratefully helps when collecting soil samples, soil analysis and some special advice in data analysis. I would like to express my sincere thankfulness to Yuntianhua Cooperation that offered the scholarship permission to conduct this research.

# **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

# **REFERENCES**

1. Klute A, Dirksen C. Hydraulic conductivity and diffusivity: Laboratory

methods. In Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 1. Agronomy No. 9; Klute,<br>A... Ed.: American Society of A., Ed.; American Society of Agronomy: Madison, WI, USA. 1986;687– 734.

- 2. Gamie R, De Smedt F. Experimental and statistical study of saturated hydraulic conductivity and relations with other soil properties of a desert soil. European Journal of Soil Science. 2018; 69(2):256-264.
- 3. Gootman KS, Kellner E, Hubbart JA. A comparison and validation of saturated hydraulic conductivity models. Water. 2020;12(7):2040.
- 4. Hillel D. Soil and water: Physical principles and processes. Elsevier; 1971.
- 5. Reeve RC, Bower CA, Brooks RH, Gschwend FB. A comparison of the effects of exchangeable sodium and potassium upon the physical condition of soils. Soil Science Society of America Journal. 1954;18(2): 130-132.
- 6. Hillel D. Introduction to environmental soil physics. Elsevier; 2003.
- 7. Tsegaye T. Effect of soluble salt on some mechanic properties of soil (Doctoraldissertation, A Thesis submitted to the University of Aberden for the degree of Master of Science. Scotland. Studies of Alemaya University of Agriculture. 1984; 182.
- 8. Szabolcs I. Salt-affected soils. CRC Press, Inc; 1989.
- 9. James DW, Hanks RJ, Jurinak JJ. Modern irrigated soils. John Wiley & Sons; 1982.
- 10. Mohamed Salih DM. How to handle salt affected water in clay soil. University of Khartoum; 2016.
- 11. Shaygan, M., & Baumgartl, T. Reclamation of salt-affected land: A review. Soil Systems. 2022;6(3):61.
- 12. U Kyaw San Naing. Barrier Analysis and enabling framework report for climate change Adaptation; 2021.
- 13. Andrew Alden. Quick Sediment Testing: Particle Size; 2019.
- 14. Blake GR. Bulk Density in Methods of Soil Analysis. In C. A. Black (ed.,) Agronomy, Part11. 1965;9:374-390.
- 15. Klute A. Laboratory measurements of hydraulic conductivity of saturated soil. In C.A. Black et al. (ed.) Methodsof soil analysis. Part1. Agron. Monogr. ASA,Madison, WI. 1965;9:253- 261.
- 16. Rayment GE, Lyons DJ. Soil chemical methods: Australasia CSIRO Publishing. 2011;3.
- 17. Van Rust E, Verloo M, Demeyer A, Pauweis JM. Analytical methods for soils and plants: Equipment and management of consumaables. University of Ghent, Belgium; 2011.
- 18. Hazelton and Murphy. Interpreting soil test results: What do all numbers

mean? CSIRO publishing, Collingwood; 2007.

- 19. Waskom RM, Bauder T, Davis JG, Andales AA. Diagnosing saline and sodic soil problems. Colorado State University; 2012.
- 20. Hillel D. Introduction to environmental soil physics. Elsevier Science, New York. 2004;494.
- 21. Crescimanno G, Iovino M, Provenzano G. Influence of salinity and sodicity on soil structural and hydraulic characteristics. Soil Science Society of America Journal. 1995;59:1701 –1708.

*Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/115084*

\_ *© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.*