



Physicochemical and Sensory Characteristics of Mixed Fruit Juices Prepared from Blend of Pineapple, Pawpaw and Watermelon Fruits Juices

Chibuike Kalu Egbuta ^{a*} and Judith Uchenna Chima ^a

^a *Department of Food Technology, Akanulbiam Federal Polytechnic, Unwana, Nigeria.*

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/AFSJ/2022/v21i12603

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/94243>

Original Research Article

Received: 01/10/2022

Accepted: 04/12/2022

Published: 10/12/2022

ABSTRACT

This study investigated the production of mixed fruit juices from pawpaw, watermelon and pineapple fruits, pawpaw and watermelon fruits. Fresh ripe fruits (pineapple, watermelon and pawpaw and watermelon) were washed, manually peeled, deseeded, sliced, squeezed and sieved to obtain pineapple, watermelon and pawpaw juice pawpaw and watermelon juice. The prepared juices were pasteurized at 85°C for 15 s,sec., packaged in air-tight plastic cans. The pineapple, watermelon and pawpaw juice and watermelon juice were blended in ratios of 100:0:0;0:100:0; 0:100:0; 20:20:60:20; 33.33:33.33:33.33; 60:20:20 and 40:30:30%. The juice samples were evaluated for physicochemical and sensory characteristics using standard procedures. The sensory results showed colour rating of (6.33 - 7.22), flavour (6.11 – 7.78), taste (6.44 – 7.78), and general acceptability of(6.89 – 8.00). Sample 100% PIN was rated the best (8.00) in general acceptability. However, all the juice samples were generally accepted. The physicochemical results showed the following range of values for titratable acidity (0.60 - 1.21%), total soluble solids

*Corresponding author: Email: chibuikcalu@gmail.com;

(8.10 - 15.55%), total sugar (7.22 - 9.51%), pH (4.05 - 5.30) and vitamin C (4.80-17.00 mg/100g). Generally, the juice samples were within the regulatory specifications, and acceptable. The study showed that fruit juice with good physicochemical and sensory qualities could be formulated using pineapple, watermelon and using pineapple, pawpaw and watermelon blend. The formulated fruit juices could find domestic and industrial applications.

Keywords: Fruit juice; pineapple pineapple; pawpaw physicochemical; sensory and watermelon; sensoryproperties.

1. INTRODUCTION

"Fruits are essential component of human diet because of their nutritional and health benefits. They are packed with vitamins, minerals, antioxidants and many phytonutrients" [1,2]. Fruits can be consumed fresh or squeezed into juice either for immediate consumption or for future use. They add variety to our menu and a delight to our sight because of their colour or flavor. Nigeria is blessed with variety of fruits such as pineapples, bananas, mangoes, oranges, watermelon, pawpaw, soursop among others. These fruits are highly perishable leading to post harvest loss. Hence, fruits are traditionally and commercially processed into products such as wine, fruit juice, jam, jelly and alcoholic drinks to extend the shelf-life.

"Fruit juices are liquid, non-alcoholic drink produced with a different degree of clarity and viscosity, obtained through squeezing of the fruits with or without addition of sugar or carbondioxide" [3]. "Fruit juices are low in calories and fat;they are excellent source of simple sugars, dietary fibre, essential vitamins, minerals, amino acids and bioactive phytonutrients" [1,2]. Mixed fruit juices are liquid, non-alcoholic drink produced from the blends of fresh fruit juicejuices such as orange, tangerine, banana, watermelon, pineapple and others. Low consumption of fruits could be due to lack of awareness of the nutritional and health benefits as well as lack of postharvest management to extend the shelf-life. Fruits are high in antioxidants. Daily intake of fruits is reported to protect the body and reduce the risk of cardiovascular disease, neurodegenerative disease, aging, cancer, skin related diseases, oxidative stress, and inflammation [4,5].

"Pineapple (*Ananascomosus*) is an economically important plant in the Bromelanceae family that includesaboutincludes about 50 genera and 2000 species" [1]. "Pineapple and its juice is non-alcoholic drink and the demand continues to rise mainly due to increasing awareness of its health

benefits" [6]. "Pineapple juice has a proximate composition of 81.2 – 86.2% moisture, 13 – 19% total solid of which sucrose, glucose and fructose are the main compositions, 0.4% fibre and a rich source of vitamin C" [1]. "Its pulp is juicy and fleshy with the stem serving as a supporting fibrous core. Pineapple contains polyphenolic compounds and possesses antioxidant activity. It is an excellent source of vitamin C which is vital for the collagen synthesis in the body" [1]. "Pineapple juice is popularly consumed worldwide, as canning industry by-products and in the blend composition to obtain new flavours in beverage and other products" [7].

"Pawpaw (*Carica papaya*) is grown mostly for fresh consumption or for production of latex. Pawpaw plants produce natural compounds (annonaceous, acetogenins) in leaf bark and twig tissues that possess both highly anti-tumour and pesticidal properties" [6]. "The papaya fruit, as well as all other parts of the plant, contain a milky juice in which an active principle known as papain is present that has value as a remedy in dyspepsia and has been utilized for the clarification of beer. The juice has been in use on meat to make it tender" [7]. The unripe fruit is used as a remedy for ulcer and impotence. It cleans bacteria from the intestines and hence encourages the absorption of vitamins and minerals, especially vitamin B12.

"Watermelon (*Citrulluslanatus*) is a fruit which belongs to the family of Cucurbitaceae and contain about 95% water. The fruit is round with reddish mesocarp having a lot of seeds. There are various species with different colored endocarp, for example, red flesh, yellow flesh, and orange flesh" [8]. Watermelon contains vitamins B1 and B6, potassium, calcium, iron, zinc and magnesium Teraka and Khaled [9] in addition to vitamin A and C which are generally common to all fruits and vegetables [10]. "Watermelon (*Cochlioboluslanatus*) is rich in carotenoids some of which include lycopene, phytofluene, phytoene, beta-carotene, lutein and neurospnene" [8].

Pawpaw and watermelon have attractive colour while pineapple has a distinctive appealing flavor, taste and in addition to their health benefits. Due to an increase in the demand for fruit juice and vegetable beverage with the original characteristics of the fresh fruit beverage free from chemical additives in the potential food market. Blending of the three fruits could yield products with improved quality with a simple technology. So the aim of this paper investigated the is to investigate the physicochemical properties and consumer acceptability of mixed fruit juices from pineapple, pawpaw, and watermelon and pineapple.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Sample Collection

Sound and freshly Freshly harvested ripe fruits of pineapple, watermelon and pawpaw were and watermelon were obtained from an accredited farmer in Unwana Ebonyi state.

2.2 Processing of Raw Materials

The fruits (pawpaw and watermelon and pawpaw) were thoroughly washed with potable water to remove foreign extraneous materials. They were manually peeled using stainless kitchen knife and the seeds were separated from the pulp. The pulp was sliced and then mashed with a mechanical blender (Blixer 4 V.V., Robot Couple, France) to obtain a smooth paste. The blended pulp was transferred into a clean muslin cloth then pressed with a fruit pulp presser (50 PI, VoranMaschinen GmbH, Austria) to separate the juice from the pulp to obtain watermelon and pawpaw juice and watermelon juice. On the other hand, the pineapple was thoroughly washed with potable water, peeled with stainless knife and thereafter sliced. The sliced pulp was blended and sieved to obtain pineapple juice. The individual fruit juices were mixed blended at different ratio to obtain mixed fruit juices.

The blended juice ratio is as shown in Chart 1.

The mixed fruit juices were pasteurized at 9585°C for 15 minutes and subsequently filled into plastic cans. They were stored in the refrigerator for further analysis.

2.3 Sensory Evaluation

Sensory evaluation of all the juice samples was carried out by 30 semi-trained panelists of both genders selected from the Department of Food Technology, Akanu Ibiyam Federal Polytechnic Unwana according to Kalu et al. [11]. The panelists were briefed about the experiment before assessing the sensory characteristic of the mixed juice samples. The samples were evaluated for colour, flavour, taste, and overall acceptability. A 9-point hedonic scale where 9 = like extremely, 8 = like very much, 7 = like moderately, 6 = like slightly, 5 = neither like nor dislike, 4 = dislike slightly, 3 = dislike moderately, 2 = dislike very much, 1 = dislike extremely was used for the sensory attributes. The panelists were randomly served with about 30 mL of each juice mix in transparent plastic cups for evaluation. They were given water to rinse the mouth after each sampling.

2.4 Physicochemical Properties

From the sensory evaluation result results, fruit juices with juice samples with the best sensory attributes were selected and analyzed for their physicochemical properties.

2.4.1 Determination of titratable acidity

Titratable acidity of the fruit juice samples was determined using the method described by AOAC [12]. Exactly 5 mL of the mixed fruit juice was titrated with standardized 0.1 N sodium hydroxide (NaOH) solution. Phenolphthalein (0.5 mL) indicator was used for the titration to a pink end point, which persisted for 30 seconds.

Chart 1. Blended ratio

Treatment	Pineapple (%)	Watermelon (%)	Pawpaw (%)
A	100	0	0
B	0	100	0
C	0	0	100
D	20	60	20
E	33.33	33.33	33.33
F	60	20	20
G	40	30	30
Control (Commercial fruit Juice)			

2.4.2 Determination of Vitamin C

The 2,6-dichlorophenol titrimetric method as described by AOAC [12] was used. The sample (2 mL) was extracted by homogenizing sample in acetic acid solution. The standard solution was prepared by dissolving 50 mg of ascorbic acid in 100 mL of water. The solution was filtered to get a clear solution. Then, 10 mL of the filtrate was added into a flask in which 2.5 mL acetone had been added. This was titrated with indophenols solution (dye 2,6, dichlorophenol indophenols) to a faint pink color which persisted for 115 seconds. The standard was treated identically.

$$\text{Calculation mg ascorbic acid/ml} = C \times V \times \frac{DF}{WT}$$

Where;

C = mg ascorbic acid ml dye; V = volume of dye used for titrate of diluted sample; DF = Dilution factor; WT = volume of sample in mL.

2.4.3 Total soluble solids

Total soluble solids (TSS) in the fruit juice samples was measured as °Brix using a digital

refractometer (ATAGO, Japan) with TSS ranging between 0 and 88 °Brix and a precision of 0.1 °Brix. The refractometer was standardized before each TSS measurement.

2.5 pH Determination

The pH of the fruit juice samples was determined using an electronic pH meter (Model PHN-850, Villeur-Banne, France) with 0.01 precision according to the method of Gulzar [13]. The pH meter was standardized with buffers of pH 10.0, 7.0 and 4.0 prior to the determination. Into a clean beaker, 5 mL of the fruit juice samples were measured; a glass pH electrode was dipped into the beaker and the readings were taken.

2.6 Statistical Analysis

A completely randomized experimentation technique was utilized to avoid systematic errors. Evaluation of significant difference among means at a 5% significant level by the Duncan's Multiple Range Test was performed using the SPSS version 17 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Ill, USA).




Fig. 1. Flow chart for the production mixed fruits juice

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Sensory Properties of Mixed Fruit Juice

The sensory attributes of the mixed fruit juice are presented in Table 1. The results showed that There were no significant differences ($p < 0.05$) among the samples. The choice was based on how the colours appealed to the panelists. The colour ranged between 6.33 and 7.22. Sample 40%PIN+30%PAW+30%WAM had the highest mean score in colour (7.22) while 60%PIN+20%PAW+20%WAM had the least mean score (6.33). Blending the fruits juice may have improved the colour.

The sensory scores for flavor ranged from 6.11 to 7.78 with 40%PIN+30%PAW+30%WAM being the more preferred where 100%PAW was the least preferred. Few significant differences ($p < 0.05$) existed among the juice samples. The taste scores ranged between 6.44 and 7.78. The control (Commercial fruit juice) was most preferred by the panelists (7.78) while 40%PIN+30%PAW+30%WAM and 20%PIN+20%PAW+60%WAM were least preferred. Few significant differences ($p < 0.05$) existed among the samples. General acceptability rating was between 6.89 and 8.00. Sample 100%PIN had the highest mean score (8.00) while 60%PIN+20%WAM+20%PAW had the least mean score (6.89). There were no significant ($p > 0.05$) differences among the samples in terms general acceptability. It was found that, the 100%PIN, 100%PAW, 100% WAM and 33.3%PIN+33.3%PAW+33.3%WAM samples were the best fruit juice samples that had a good sensory attributes and were generally accepted by the panelists.

The results showed that the colour ranged between 6.33 and 7.22. Sample 40%PIN+30%WAM+30%PAW had the highest (7.22) mean score in colour while 60%PIN+20%WAM+20%PAW had the least (6.33) score. There were no significant differences ($p < 0.05$) among the samples. Blending the fruits may have improved the colour. The choice was based on how the colours appealed to the panelists.

The sensory scores for flavor ranged from 6.11 to 7.78 with 40%PIN+30%WAM+30%PAW being more preferred and 100%PAW as the least preferred. Few significant differences ($p < 0.05$) existed among the juice samples. The taste

scores ranged between 6.44 and 7.78. The control was most (7.78) preferred by the panelists while 40%PIN+30%WAM+30%PAW and 20%PIN+60%WAM+20%PAW were least preferred. Few significant differences ($p < 0.05$) existed among the samples. General acceptability rating was between 6.89 and 8.00. Sample 100%PIN had the highest (8.00) mean score while 60%PIN+20%WAM+20%PAW had the least (6.89) score. There were no significant ($p > 0.05$) differences among the samples in terms general acceptability. All the juice samples had good sensory attributes and were generally accepted by the panelists.

3.2 Physicochemical Properties of Fruit Juice Samples

The physicochemical parameters of the formulated fruit juices are presented in Table 2. The pH of the juice samples ranged between 4.05 and 5.30. The physicochemical parameters of the best fruit juice samples are presented in Table 2. Sample PAW recorded the highest pH value of 5.30 while PIN had the lowest value of 4.05. The values were comparable to values (3.23-4.50) reported by Agbaje et al. [1] on different fruit juices and the range of 3.71– 4.15 reported by Onyekwelu [8] for mixed fruit juices. pH measures the degree of acidity or Alkalinity of a product. pH value of 3 to 4 could inhibit the growth of spoilage microorganisms thereby extend the shelflife of the product. From data in Table 2 the pH of the fruit juice samples ranged between 4.05 and 5.30. There were significant differences ($p < 0.05$) among the samples. Sample 100%PAW recorded the highest pH value of 5.30 while 100% PIN sample had the lowest pH value (4.05). The pH values of fruit juice samples were comparable to both pH values (3.23-4.50) reported by Agbaje et al. [1] and pH values of 3.71– 4.15 reported by Onyekwelu [8] for mixed fruit juices.

The total soluble solids are used as indicators in the fruit juice; it characterizes the quality of juice and other beverage products [14,15]. The total soluble solid content of the fruit juices ranged from 8.10-15.55 % (Table 2). The values were higher than values (4.20-8.20 %) reported by Agbaje et al. [1] and the range 8.50 to 10.50 % by Onyekwelu [8] on different fruit juices but lower than values (14.50-23.60%) reported by Matabura and Kibazohi [16] on fruit juices. The difference could be attributed to varietal and environmental differences. (2010). The relatively high sugar content of the formulated juice is an

indication of the maturity of the fruits used since reducing sugars are the main constituents of soluble solids. Ghana standard Board specifies that non-alcoholic beverage should have a refractive value of not less than 8°Brix. According to Adedeji and Oluwalana [17], degree brix of common fruits ranges between 9 and 150. The total soluble solid content of the fruit juices studied were within this range.

Total acidity of the fruit juice samples ranged from 0.06-1.21 % (Table 2). The result compares with of Nwozo et al. [18] and Onyekwelu [8] who reported the range of (0.32-1.37 %) and (0.42-1.26 %) on different juice samples and mixed fruit juices respectively. Ghana standard Board [19] documented that non-alcoholic beverage should have acidity between 0.50% and 1.90% which is calculated as anhydrous citric acid. The values reported in this work were within the acceptable limit.

The total sugar in this study was between the range of 7.22 to 9.51 % with sample 33.3%PIN +33.3%PAW+33.3%WAM+33.3%PIN having the highest value (9.51%) and where the 100%PAW

sample had the lowest value (7.22%). However, Agbaje et al. [1] reported a range of 8.35 to 13.20 % on different juice samples. The total sugars in juices determine the sweetness of such juices and beverages. The total sugars could as well mask the astringent effect of organic acids [20].

The vitamin C content ranged from 5.30 to 17.00 mg/100 g. Significant difference ($p < 0.05$) existed among the samples except between WAMPIN and PINWAM. Sample 33.3%PIN+33.3%PAW+33.3%WAM+33.3%PIN had the highest vitamin C content (17.00 mg/100 g) while PIN the 100%PIN sample had the lowest values of 4.80 mg/100 g. The ascorbic acid content of the juices studied was higher than the range (1.22-1.79 mg/100 g) on mixed fruit juices [8] but lower than the range (13.20-44.03 mg/100 g) reported on different fruit juices [1]. Fruit juices are essential in supplying body fluid and, important minerals and vitamins [21]. "Moreover, vitamin C content of fruit juices is an essential quality index of fruit juices due to its health significance as a vitamin and cellular antioxidant" [21].

Table 1. Sensory evaluation of juice fruit juice samples

PIN%	PAW%	WAM%	Colour	flavour	Taste	General acceptability
100	0	0	6.56±0.52 ^a	7.44±0.52 ^{ab}	7.33±0.86 ^{ab}	8.00±0.00 ^a
0	100	0	6.67±1.32 ^a	7.00±0.00 ^{bc}	7.00±0.00 ^{ab}	7.00±0.70 ^b
0	0	100	7.00±0.00 ^a	6.11±0.78 ^e	6.89±1.16 ^{ab}	7.00±0.00 ^b
20	60	20	7.00±0.70 ^a	6.33±0.86 ^{de}	6.44±1.42 ^b	7.00±0.70 ^b
0	0	100	6.67±1.32 ^a	7.00±0.00 ^{bc}	7.00±0.00 ^{ab}	7.00±0.70 ^b
0	100	0	7.00±0.00 ^a	6.11±0.78 ^e	6.89±1.16 ^{ab}	7.00±0.00 ^b
20	20	60	7.00±0.70 ^a	6.33±0.86 ^{de}	6.44±1.42 ^b	7.00±0.70 ^b
33.33	33.33	33.33	7.11±1.26 ^a	6.78±0.83 ^{cd}	6.67±1.22 ^b	7.00±0.00 ^b
60	20	20	6.33±0.86 ^a	6.22±0.44 ^{de}	6.56±0.52 ^b	6.89±1.16 ^b
40	30	30	7.22±0.44 ^a	7.78±0.44 ^a	6.44±0.52 ^b	7.00±0.00 ^b
CONTROL			6.56±0.52 ^a	7.22±0.44 ^{ab}	7.78±0.44 ^a	7.44±0.52 ^b

Where PIN – pineapple; PAW – pawpaw juice; WAM – watermelon juice; PIN – pineapple; CONTROL – commercial fruit juice. Values are means ± standard deviation (n=5). Values with the same superscript on the same row are not significantly different ($p > 0.05$)

Table 2. Physicochemical characteristics of juice samples

PIN%	WAM%	PAW%	pH	TSS° Brix	TTA %	Total sugar %	Vitamin C mg/100 g
0	0	100	5.30±0.14 ^a	15.55±0.35 ^a	0.90±0.00 ^c	7.22±0.02 ^a	7.20±0.00 ^b
0	100	0	4.65±0.07 ^c	11.50±0.71 ^b	1.12±0.01 ^b	9.16±0.63 ^b	5.30±0.00 ^c
100	0	0	4.05±0.07 ^d	10.85±0.21 ^b	1.21±0.01 ^a	9.28±0.03 ^c	4.80±0.00 ^c
33.3	33.3	33.3	4.95±0.07 ^b	8.10±0.14 ^c	0.60±0.00 ^d	9.51±0.00 ^b	17.00±0.00 ^a

PAW – pawpaw juice; WAM – watermelon juice; PIN – pineapple;

Table 3. Physicochemical characteristics of juice samples

PIN%	PAW%	WAM%	pH	TSS°Brix	TTA %	Total sugar %	VitaminC mg/100 g
0	100	0	5.30±0.14 ^a	15.55±0.35 ^a	0.90±0.00 ^c	7.22±0.02 ^a	7.20±0.00 ^b
0	0	100	4.65±0.07 ^c	11.50±0.71 ^b	1.12±0.01 ^b	9.16±0.63 ^b	5.30±0.00 ^c
100	0	0	4.05±0.07 ^d	10.85±0.21 ^b	1.21±0.01 ^a	9.28±0.03 ^c	4.80±0.00 ^c
33.3	33.3	33.3	4.95±0.07 ^b	8.10±0.14 ^c	0.60±0.00 ^d	9.51±0.00 ^b	17.00±0.00 ^a

PIN – pineapple; PAW – pawpaw juice; WAM – watermelon juice; CONTROL – commercial fruit juice. Values are means ± standard deviation (n=5). Values with the same superscript on the same row are not significantly different (p>0.05)

4. CONCLUSION

The study showed that juice with good physicochemical and sensory qualities could be formulated using pineapple, pawpaw and watermelon and pawpaw; which can compare favourably with commercial juice products. The formulated fruit juices could find domestic and industrial applications.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Agbaje RB, Ibrahim TA, Raimi OT. Physico-chemical properties and sensory qualities of juices extracted from five selected fruits and their peels; 2020.
2. Bhardwaj RI, Nandal U, Pal A, Jain S. Bioactive compounds and medicinal properties of fruit juices review. *Fruits*. 2014;69:391-412.
3. Opeifa AO, Olatidoye OP, Adesala SO, Ehiabhi WO. Quality evaluation of juice produced from blend of velvet tamarind and pineapple. *Proceedings of 39th Conference and Annual General Meeting of Nigerian Institute of Food Science and Technology*. 2015:189-190.
4. Awsi Jan ER, Dorcus M. Development and quality evaluation of pineapple juice blend with carrot and orange juice. *Int. Jour. of Scientific and Res. Publication*. 2012:2.
5. Chong MF, Macdonald R, Lovegrove JA. Fruit polyphenols and CVD risk: A review of human intervention studies. *Br.J.Nutr.* 2010;3:28-39.
6. Nwachuku M, Ezejiaku AM. Nutritional and chemical evaluation for pomegranate (*Punica granatum* L.) fruit peel and seeds powders by products. *Middle East J. Appl. Sci.* 2014;3:169-179.
7. De-Galvalho D, Ertekin C, Menges HO, Guzel E, Ekin K. Physical and nutritional properties of oleaster (*Elaeagnus angustifolia* L.) growing in Turkey. *Asian J. Chem.* 2007;20(3):2358-2366.
8. Onyekwelu CN. Physicochemical properties and sensory evaluation of mixed fruit juice (orange, watermelon, and tangerine) using date syrup as a sweetener. *Innovare Journal of Food Sci.* 2017;5(1):1-4.
9. Teraka AE, Khaled MT. Characteristics and composition of watermelon, pumpkin and parika seed oil and flour. *J Agric Food Chem.* 2001;49(3):1253-9.
10. Abdelwahab SI, Hassan LE Sirat HM. Anti-inflammatory activities of cucurbitacin E isolated from *Citrullus lanatus*: Role of reactive nitrogen species and cyclooxygenase enzyme inhibition. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* 2011;364(1):181-6.
11. Kalu CE, Alaka CK, Ekwu FC. Sensory evaluation of noodles Analogue from yellow maize, wateryam and African yam bean. *Proc. of NIFST Zonal Conf. Enugu.* 2020;110-120.
12. AOAC. *Official Methods of Analysis*. 18th ed. Maryland, USA: Association of Official Analytical Chemists; 2010.
13. Gulzar MA. Evaluation of weaning foods formulated from germinated wheat and mungbean from Bangladesh. *African Journal of Food Science.* 2011;5(17):897-903.
14. Egbekun MK, Akubor PI. Chemical composition and sensory properties of melon seed- orange juice beverage. *Nig. Food J.* 2007;24(1):42-45.
15. Adubofuor J, Amankwah E, Arthur B, Appiah F. Comparative study related to physico-chemical properties and sensory qualities of tomato juice and cocktail juice produced from oranges, tomatoes and

- carrots. Afr. J. Food Sci. 2010;4(7):427-433.
16. Matabura VV, Kibazohi O. Physicochemical and sensory evaluation of mixed juices from banana, pineapple and passion fruits during storage. Tanzania Journal of Science. 2021;47(1):332-343.
 17. Adedeji TO Oluwalana IB. Development and quality evaluation of non-alcohol beverage from cocoyam (*Xanthosoma sagittifolium* and *Colocasia esculenta*). J Food Technol. 2014;32:10-20.
 18. Nwozo SO, Aladesuru OO, Hammed WA. Evaluation of the proximate, mineral and vitamin content of juices produced from imported and local lesser known fruits in Nigeria. Annals. Food Science and Technology. 2017;18(3):402-412.
 19. Ghana Standard Board. Specification for Fruit Squashes and Fruit Cordials. GS 168; 1995.
 20. Adeola AA, Awor OC. Development and sensory evaluation of an improved beverage from Nigeria's tamarind (*Tamarindus indica* L.) fruit. Journal of Food Agric. Nutr. Dev. 2010;10(9):4079-4093.
 21. Landon S. Fruit juice nutrition and health (review). Food Australia. 2007;59(11): 533538.

© 2022 Egbuta and Chima; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/94243>