



The Development Minerals Value Chain Policy Model: The Case of Rwanda in the East African Region

Semboja Haji Hatibu Haji ^{a*}

^a *Department of Economics, Zanzibar University, P. O. Box 2440, Zanzibar, Tanzania.*

Author's contribution

The sole author designed, analyzed, interpreted and prepared the manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JGEESI/2021/v25i1030326

Editor(s):

(1) Dr. Wen-Cheng Liu, National United University, Taiwan.

Reviewers:

(1) Herry Achmad Buchory, Ekuitas Business School, Indonesia.

(2) Imam Mukhlis, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia.

Complete Peer review History, details of the editor(s), Reviewers and additional Reviewers are available here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/80254>

Original Research Article

Received 20 October 2021

Accepted 24 December 2021

Published 25 December 2021

ABSTRACT

The objective of this policy paper is to examine, assess and propose a solid theoretical model that will assist in [1] assessing the current status of development mineral value chains; [2] identifying social economic activities for mining systems, and [3] proposing consistent recommendations on policy options and other incentives necessary to enhance the contribution of the mining sector and their value chains to sustainable social economic development in Rwanda.

This is a theoretical paper based on literature reviews on policy models using classical Michael Porter's (1979) Value Chain Model, (VCM) articulating full range of social economic activities – including design, input sources, production, marketing and distribution – businesses conduct to bring a product or service from conception to delivery. Value chain analysis has been a strategic policy tool used to analyze internal firms, sectorial and national operational objectives and strategies given external environments.

The policy findings and solution emphasizes the importance of strengthening all optimal mineral-based linkages while resources are extant so as to maximize the developmental and inter-generational impact of those resources. The nation's mining policy objective is to ensure efficient and effective utilization of mineral deposits, explorations, extractions, processing, beneficiations, trades and marketing, and final consumptions systems by national social economic entities such as households, firms and communities.

The paper recommends that Rwanda government to develop and achieve the “transparent,

*Corresponding author: E-mail: haji@semboja.com;

equitable and optimal exploitation of mineral resources to underpin broad-based sustainable growth and socio-economic development. The Rwandese must ensure that all social economic entities have to share a common goal of achieving profitability, productivity and optimal cash flow for the entire development mineral value chain. It follows that national development mineral operations management, implemented through value chain management, requires a new, operational and different perspective of relationship management.

Keywords: Development minerals value additions Rwanda.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

1.1.1 Basic data and information

Small and landlocked, Rwanda is an open economy country, hilly, fertile and beautiful country with a population of about 12.5 million people (in 2018). Table 1 summarizes basic data and information for Rwanda. It borders the far larger and Democratic Republic of Congo, and East African neighbours, Tanzania, Uganda, and Burundi. Rwanda aspires to achieve the social economic living standards of upper middle- and high-income countries by 2035 and 2050 respectively. This will be attained through the seven-year National Strategies for Transformation (NST1), determined by sectoral strategies focused on achieving the Sustainable Development Goals (SGD-2030). The Rwanda Vision 2050 recognizes the role played by non-metallic mineral products, among other stand-out performers, in ensuring the country achieved an average about 10% growth per annum for sustainable development.

Rwanda is one of the mineral producers of tin, tantalum, and tungsten (3Ts) and also currently exports gold, gemstones and other minerals. Rwanda 3Ts minerals production was about 4,337.000 Metric Ton in 2019/2020. This records a decrease from the previous number of 5,237.000 Metric Ton for 2018/2019. Rwanda also has other minerals such as kaolin, silica sands, vermiculite, limestone, diatomite, clays, talcum, gypsum and pozzolana. Small-scale mining activities account for about 80 percent of the country's mineral output.

The current mining opportunities include expansion and development of mineral exploration (Tin, Tungsten, Coltan, and gemstones), acquisition and development of industrial mining capital equipment, machineries and technologies, targeting value-addition, enhancing partnerships with local mining companies, promoting and supporting trade in

minerals and intensifying smelting in Rwanda. Also, the government is eager to formalize the sector, attract international mining investors, and increase processing of minerals in the country.

Based on the existing mineral resources, systems and the envisaged potential role in the domestic and regional economies, Rwanda's current 30-year Vision (Vision 2050) has identified development of mineral resources as one of the strategic pathways of social economic transformation. Also, the Government of Rwanda (GoR) intends to diversify mineral production from the dominant 3Ts to develop minerals which have critical roles in infrastructure development as well as spawning other industries in the domestic economy.

Although there are several national economic policies and strategies for promoting value addition, there is a lack of a sector specific policy and strategies for promoting value addition for the development of minerals. The current Made in Rwanda Policy strategies are too generic and not specific for development of the mining sector. Like other African countries, Rwanda has to improve the current policy, legal, regulatory and institutional frameworks of the mining sector in order to increase efficiency and effectiveness for transformation of the sector to internationally acceptable levels.

1.1.2 Review of Rwanda development mineral policy issues

Development minerals are natural resource minerals that are mined, processed, manufactured, used and domestically playing an oversized role in development processes, particularly in the areas of infrastructure, housing building, road constructions, agriculture and infrastructure reconstruction, as well as supporting a large number of formal and informal micro, small and medium-sized domestic enterprises, [1,2]. There are three current, interesting and linked policy issues on the role and importance of the mining sector in Africa.

Table 1. Rwanda basic data and information

Location	Central Africa, east of the Democratic Republic of the Congo, north of Burundi
Geographic Coordinates	2 00 S, 30 00 E
Total Area	About: 26,338 sq km
Land Boundaries	Border countries (4): Burundi 315 km, Democratic Republic of the Congo 221 km, Tanzania 222 km, Uganda 172 km
Natural Resources	Gold, cassiterite (tin ore), wolframite (tungsten ore), methane, hydropower, arable land
Land Use	Agricultural land: 74.5% Arable land: 47% Other: 7.5%
Environment Issues	Deforestation results from uncontrolled cutting of trees for fuel; overgrazing; land degradation; soil erosion; a decline in soil fertility (soil exhaustion); wetland degradation and loss of biodiversity; widespread poaching
GDP real Growth Rate	2.0% (2020 est.) 9.4% (2019 est.) 8.3% (2017 est.)
GDP per capita	\$2,225 (2020 est.) \$2,100 (2019 est.) \$1,975 (2018 est.)
GDP Composition by Sector of Origin	Agriculture: 30.0% (2020 est.) Industry: 17.6% (2020 est.) Services: 52.4% (2020 est.)
Labour Force	6.325 million (2020 est.)

Date Sources: CIA 2021, Rwanda Development Board, 2021 and AfDB, 2021

The first mining policy issue is that many African countries are said to be richly endowed with varieties of extractive natural resources, although their viable potentials are yet to be fully explored and established, [3]. Formal mineral industries in many African countries are very minimum, accounting for small share of gross domestic product. In most cases mineral commodities are exploited and exported in raw forms; limited or low levels of production technologies and the workforce is dominated by informal and semi-skilled artisan labour, [4].

The second mining policy issue is a fact that many African countries boast diversities and potentialities of these development minerals, [3]. The extractive natural resource sectors have got potential to be one of the strategic pillars of social economic transformation because of its

high potentialities for increased income, economic growth contributions and employment and forward linkages that will overall generate substantial social economic benefits to the people, [5,6].

The third mining policy issue is about increasing competitiveness in global mineral market places. The establishment of new trade agreements between countries, firms, and improvements in financial resource, technologies, communication, transport and other resource systems are just a few of the factors that have enabled nationals and firms to develop optimal global value chains. The openings of the global marketplaces have served to introduce more competitors and make competition intense, thus making strategic value chain design even more important for achieving success.

Like other African countries, Rwanda is confronted with these issues and operates in the first mining stage where the minimum value is added and even then not significantly and the larger proportion of the added value is done outside the country, (MTL Consulting Company Limited: 2020). Key constraints in the mining sector include low level of mining technologies utilized in the explorations, extractive and mining processes, resulting in limited discoveries and recoveries of ores; insufficient energy supplies; continued raw mineral exports; minimum linkages to the economy, high beneficiation costs, especially for the 3Ts products; shortage of technical skills, decades-long focus on exploitation of the 3Ts minerals, [6].

Currently, many African countries are conducting assessment studies on the transformation and management of development minerals, (MTL Consulting Company Limited: 2020). The objectives of these studies are to improve the policy, regulatory and institutions systems harnessing natural resources for accelerated sustainable social economic development in Africa, [1].

The objectives of these policy oriented studies are accomplished through consultation with key development minerals including from mines to final markets. Both primary and secondary data and information are collected, managed and analyzed. Existing secondary data and information are used to complement the basic primary data collected during the field research surveys. Primary data and information collection consist of site assessments, telephone consultations, focus group discussions and indirect interviews at mining and quarry sites, markets, and consumers of development minerals. Consultative meetings with local and central stakeholders are conducted, [1].

However, these development mining studies used variation value chain and ad hoc policy analysis models, [1,2]. The government of Rwanda adopts moderate policy framework whereby the mineral value chains include: (i) extraction and its related processes (ii) value additions within processing, smelting, refining, beneficiation and final product manufacture, and (iii) trade, uses, trade, marketing, and consumptions in their final form, [6].

It is unfortunate to note that many of these African mining policy studies either are [1] not founded on solid Value Chain Models or and [2]

not conversant on the sequencing and interdependent steps, chains of core mining systems operations, [1,2]. Concepts of Values, Value Chain, Supply Chains and Global Value Chains were mentioned or used to different meanings and often misused. *Many of final mining policy findings are too general, complex; covering and mixing almost all standard policy mining issues and have no strategic and purposively African policy implications, directions and recommendations*, [3].

1.2 Objective of the Paper

The objective of this policy paper to examine, assess and propose a solid theoretical model that will assist in [1] assessing the current status of development mineral value chains; [2] identifying social economic activities, strengths, weaknesses, opportunities and challenges for mining systems, and [3] proposing consistent recommendations on policy options and other incentives necessary to enhance the contribution of the mining sector and their value chains to sustainable social economic development in Rwanda.

1.3 Outline of the Paper

The paper has six main sections. Section 1 was the introduction consisting of the background and objectives of the paper. Section 2 summarizes the basic value chain model- Michael Porter's, (1979). Section 3 defines basic mining concepts: elements, chains and interdependencies. Section 4 presents the main mining sector value chain model consisting of mining system operations, none-core mining systems and operations, core mining operational stages, phases and activities. Section 5 presents main paper findings namely; policy model solutions, options and targets. The last section 6 is a conclusion consisting of policy model solutions, options and targets and proposed Rwanda national mining policy perceptive.

2. BASIC VALUE CHAIN MODEL: MICHAEL PORTER'S (1979)

This paper is founded on a classical Michael Porter's (1979) value chain model which articulates a full range of social economic activities – including design, preparations, arrangements, production processes, trade, marketing and distribution services, [7]. The value of any product or service is the result of its ability to meet a customer's priorities as

important things to customers and that they will pay a premium for them. The purpose of a value-chain analysis is to increase production effectiveness and efficiency so that a corporate firm can maximize optimal profits.

Value chain analysis is a strategic policy instrument used to utilize internal firms, sectorial and national operational objectives and strategies given external social economic environments, [8]. Its policy target is to identify which activities are the most valuable (i.e. are the sources of cost or differentiation advantage) to the firm and which ones could be enhanced to provide relative competitive advantage, [9]. With this analysis, analysis can easily identify those interdependent activities where they can quickly reduce costs, optimize efforts, eliminate wastes, and increase profitability. Analyzing these activities also gives insights into specific strategies that improve greater value to the end users, [7].

Any product or service is essentially the product of a complex set of interdependent activities: mixed social economic transactions and institutional systems among all interdependent social economic entities, groups of technical and organisational specialists. The concept of the value chain is founded on the process view of organizations, the ideas of seeing a manufacturing (or service) organization as a system, made up of subsystems each with inputs, transformation processes and outputs, [8].

The classical concept of value chain as management decision support tools was added onto the industrial organization and competitive market strategies paradigm developed by Porter (1979). In Porter's value chains, inbound logistics, operations, outbound logistics, marketing and sales, and service are categorized as primary activities, [9]. Secondary activities include procurement of basic inputs such as capital equipment machinery and technologies, human resource development and management, technological acquisition and development and infrastructure systems and facilities, (Porter 1985, pp. 11–15).

The emergence of global value chains (GVCs), information communication technologies, and liberalization provided a catalyst for accelerated change in the landscape of international finance, investment and trade, with major, far-reaching consequences on all social economic entities,

[10]. Final customers 'buy' the value offered (through the value proposition), while reseller and manufacturing and logistics stakeholders' objectives are met in terms of their own productivity, profitability and revenue flow objectives. The principle of value chain system, strategy, structure and operations is that all stakeholders benefit from their participations: either they cannot compete as independent units, because they are not in position to command the competencies (or resource capacities), or membership changes the 'shape and size' of the offers such that their individual gains are improved from cooperating within the value chain system, [8].

3. DEFINITIONS OF BASIC MINING CONCEPTS: ELEMENTS, CHAINS AND INTERDEPENDENCIES

Section 3 reviews basic value adding mining concepts and systems to include [1] development mineral deposits; [2] development mineral exploration, [3] mining extraction operations and activities, [4] mineral processing, [5] mineral beneficiation and manufacturing processes, and [6] mineral trade, uses, consumption and markets.

3.1 Development Mineral Deposits

The review of development mineral value chains starts with assessment of initial (*element or base*) available types, quantities and qualities of mineral deposits, (MO) in Rwanda. The development mineral deposits are naturally occurring accumulations or concentrations of metals or minerals of sufficient size and concentration that might, under favourable circumstances, have economic and market values, [11]. From a geological point of view, a simple genetic classification of mineral deposits encompasses various groups: magmatic, hydrothermal, sedimentary, and metamorphic/metamorphosed, each of them with several types and subtypes. A field is a mineral deposit containing metal or other valuable resources in a cost-competitive concentration ([12]. It is usually used in the framework of a mineral deposit from which it is convenient to extract its metallic element. The ore deposits are exploited by mining in the case of solid mineral deposits (such as iron or coal) and extraction wells in case of fluids (such as oil, gas, or brines), [12].

3.2 Development Mineral Exploration in Rwanda

Operationally, mineral exploration, (*ME*) is a complete sequence of scientific and technical activities, [11]. It ranges between searching for a new mineral prospect (reconnaissance) and evaluation of the property for potential economic mining (feasibility study). It also includes augmentation of additional ore reserves and resources in the mine and total mining area, [11].

Various exploration techniques are used in the initial data and information searches in order to *add values for mineral deposits*, an activity called *prospecting*. Once a discovery has been made, the property containing a deposit, called the *prospect*, is explored to determine some of the more important characteristics of the deposits. Among these are its *known* size, shape, orientation in space and location with respect to the surface, as well as the mineral quality and quality distribution and the quantities of these different mineral qualities, [12].

In searching for valuable minerals in many developing economies, the “*traditional prospector*” or and artisanal miners relied primarily on the direct observations of mineralization in outcrops, sediments, and soil. Although direct observations are still widely practiced, modern prospecting is necessary and sufficient conditions for accessing financial resources, searching and mobilizations. The basic mineral prospecting uses a combination of geological surveys, geophysical, and geochemical tools to provide indirect indications for reducing the search radius. On the basis of such preliminary exploration studies, a number of prospects and or concessions are identified, [11].

The most important of these *value addition services* become the main concern of RMB exploration programs and activities, [13]. The Rwandese geological survey efforts have included identifications areas with mineral potentials and promote them for investment, produce basic geo-data for use in mineral exploration and find new mineral resources for sustainability of the mineral sector, emphasis on the responsible environmental practices and to include environmental reporting based on sustainable development as part of the exploration, survey and evaluation process and RMB has actively provided information for the general public and decision makers on the importance of mineral resources, [13].

3.3 Mining Extraction Operations and Activities in Rwanda

Mining is the extraction of valuable natural resources or other geological materials from the Earth, usually from an ore body, lode, vein, seam and reef or placer deposit. These natural extractive natural resources form a mineralized package that is of economic interest to the miner, [14]. Mineral extraction is the method, approach and system of excavation and recuperation of mineralization and associated waste rocks, [14]. In these processes, mineralizations are produced from the ground using surface and/or underground mining methods. Natural extractive industries represent a large growing social economic activity in many rural areas but the wealth generated does not always lead to rural sustainable development and inclusive growth. Many developing countries use two types of mineral ore extraction. *There are as follows*;

Open cast mining is the first and foremost method for the extraction of ore from deposits that are close to the surface, since the costs of ore mined are lower than that for underground mining, [11]. Other factors that may influence the choice and decision about whether mining in open cast or using underground methods includes the ore grade, the geometry of the deposit and other physical characteristics such as topography.

In underground mines, the ore is extracted through a series of vertical shafts and ramps and horizontal drifts and adits, [14]. Extraction is more selective than in open pit mining, and the ratio of waste rock to ore generated is much lower. Waste rocks are used as mine backfill to provide roof and wall support underground. Waste rock, that is, not used for construction or as backfill may be disposed of on the surface, [14].

The current extraction methods and approaches used depend on the type of mineral resource that is mined, its location at or beneath the surface, extraction technologies and whether the resource is worth enough financial resources to justify extracting it. Each mining method also has varying degrees of impact on the surrounding landscape and environment. The location, size and shape of the deposit, strength of the rock, ore grade, mining costs, and global market prices of the commodity are some of the determining factors for selecting which mining method to use.

3.4 Mineral Processing

“As-mined” or “run-of-mine” or “crude ore” consists of valuable minerals and gangue. Primary mineral processing, also known as *ore dressing, ore beneficiation, mineral dressing, or milling*, is an act of treating crude ores and mineral products in order to separate the valuable minerals from the waste rock, or gangue, [15]. The primary mineral processing is the first process that most ores undergo after extraction in order to provide a more concentrated material for the procedures of extractive metallurgy. Ore is an aggregate of economically important minerals from which a valuable metallic constituent can be profitably mined and extracted. Most of the rock deposits contain metals or minerals, [15].

When the concentration of valuable minerals or metals is too low to justify mining, it is considered to be a waste or gangue material. Within an ore body, the valuable minerals are surrounded by gangue minerals. It is due to this primary reason we need to transform mineral processing systems and technologies. It is necessary to liberate and concentrate those valuable minerals from the bulk mass through a suitable mechanical treatment, [15,14].

3.5 Mineral Beneficiation and Manufacturing Processes

Beneficiation is the processing of a mineral, or a combination of minerals, into higher-value products, which can either be used locally or exported, [4]. Beneficiation is the process where ore is reduced in size and ganger separated from the ore. Since all mineral deposits have unique mineralogy, the beneficiation process is specific to each deposit. Beneficiation entails the transformation of a mineral (or a combination of minerals) to a higher value product, which can either be consumed locally or exported. The term is used interchangeably with “value-addition. Beneficiation of domestic mineral resources is a major objective as it pursues higher income status. A local mineral processing industry would raise the value of exports, generating higher employment levels and incomes for the industry and also raise mining contribution to gross domestic product (GDP), [4]. However, a semi-skilled workforce, limited access to infrastructure, and insufficient capital investment continues to impede modernization of mining operations and limit mineral value additions

3.6 Mineral Trade and Markets

Mineral trade and markets consists of the transfer of goods and services connected with raw extractive natural resources, semi-processed, processed and final consumable products from one person, country or entity to another, often in exchange for money. Economists refer to a system or networks that allow trade as a market system, [16]. And the "market" is a physical, ideal or and hypothetical places where sellers, and sellers of a given commodity consult and or meet to determine prices, quantities and exchange systems.

In reality, mineral markets are goods markets and many are regarded as global markets because of the easy negotiability of the traded commodities in the world markets. Strictly speaking, trade and markets for any particular mineral products may exist at several stages of exploration, extraction, process, beneficiation and for several levels of qualities and quantities, [17]. In practice, however, the market usually referred to is that of the standard trade quality for the notable mineral commodities most important in world trade: today for industrial, construction, dimension and semi-gemstone development minerals.

These are products are homogeneous, fungible commodities negotiable on exchanges at an approximately uniform national, regional and world market price.

The total mineral trade in Rwanda consists of both domestic and foreign trade, [6]. The domestic development mineral trade consists of final consumptions, retail and wholesale trade. The domestic retail trade consists of the sale of mineral goods or mechanized from a very fixed location (such as a mining and quarries), transport, shipments and online or by mail, in small or individual lots for direct consumption or use by the purchasers. The domestic wholesale trade is defined as traffic in relative large quantities of development minerals that are sold as merchandise to retailers, or to industrial, commercial, institutional, or other professional business users, or to other wholesalers and related subordinated services.

3.6.1 Development Mineral Market levels

The literature reviews suggest existence of three levels of the Rwanda development minerals

markets, namely the mining and quarry area, the primary market in nearby villages and towns, the secondary or and export markets in Kigali, [6]. Each of these has its own features. Modern financing and information communications technologies are telescoping the local and international developing minerals market chains, eliminating many bureaucratic levels.

First Development Mineral Market Level: The mining and quarry areas

The first sale of raw development minerals in many cases happen at the mining and quarry sites. At this first level market stage, artisanal miners and small scale mine workers and owners are the main market suppliers, buyers and other economic entities. The organization of artisanal mining and small scale quarries favors this arrangement. Rwanda artisanal, small scale miners and workers reject to work on salaries, preferring the current system of immediate, negotiable and direct production sharing between workers and the mine and quarry licence holder and/or pit owners. Engaged workers could market their “shares” openly in the mining villages and rural areas. These many economic prices and quantities are market determined.

Second Development Mineral Market Level: The Primary Market

In many nearby mining and quarry areas, we can identify *second and primary markets where production enters quasi legal market channels*. Most buyers and sellers have all necessary legal and regulatory documents and licences. The degree of primary market activities in development mining and quarry areas is a complex function of quantity and quality of the collected minerals. The participants in this market level are legal social economic entities owning, managing and operating minerals and or quarry licences. They later frequently shuttle between the mining and quarry sites, the primary markets, processing plants and secondary markets. For the case of amethysts, local mine workers are also active, selling small parcels of good semi-gem quality stones. Mining licence holders are from urban areas frequently visit mining areas. The market sizes are complex function of the type of mineral production, the level of domestic and international demands. Other specific factors include good quality, color, high and current international orders.

Third Development Mineral Market Level: The Secondary Markets

The third development mineral markets are secondary markers where high valued mineral traders have all necessary legal and regulatory documents and abide to trade, business, social security, occupational health, safety and environment and have established permanent offices. These secondary markets for all development minerals are now in Kigali, but few newly established urban areas trying to actively participate in the final value chain systems. In such secondary markets, the existing transport, communication infrastructure systems and facilities allow for easy domestic trade, export and access to foreign buyers. That is these established market areas need to have good road access, market promotions, ICT and financing systems, which are essential for dealers to communicate with their potential buyers, consumers and regulatory agencies. The core participants in the secondary markets are mining and trade licence holders, financial markets, consumers, general trades, promoting and regulatory agencies.

The export market is part of the secondary market levels and generally it depends on the position and institutional capacities of transactions as well as the destinations of the purchased minerals. The export market can appear in several forms. These markets can appear when a foreign buyer is purchasing from mining and trade licence holders at their offices or during mineral trade shows. Some illegal foreign buyers also like to travel to mining areas to buy directly from miners, although this is not preferable in Rwanda.

Rwanda market is integral part of an East Africa Community, (EAC) regional network that covers all three Great Lakes countries (Burundi, Kenya, Tanzania and Uganda) and Eastern Democratic Republic of Congo. Development mineral trades from Rwanda to other EAC countries have been relatively limited to date. The current relationships are on broad EAC regional institutional cooperation and development mechanisms of internal development. Very limited current efforts have led to establishing specific import and export to these mineral resources.

Resources demand from large neighbouring economies, such as DRC, Tanzania, and Uganda admittedly increases pressure on the

potential Rwanda development mineral expansion. Coupled with increased commitment to railway infrastructure development by Tanzania, increasing political stabilities in Uganda, and the acceptance thereof, necessitates a possible reconsideration of the manner of Rwanda involvement in its own efforts and development mechanisms to emerging mining economies in the country.

Most semi-gemstone minerals from Rwanda are nearly all for export and these depend on behaviour of international market price systems, [16]. Regional markets, in many cases are highly imperfect. Market information is also poor or imperfect in most EAC places. There can be a significant time lag before international price changes and regional market conditions are reflected in local markets.

Rwanda is targeting to become an African hub and its current trade policies are geared to promote, support and facilitate the mineral trade. The country is developing capacities in market information leadership, and has minimum ICT, financing and transportation costs in the region.

4. THE MINING SECTOR VALUE CHAIN MODEL

Section 3 presents and discusses the development mining sector value chain model in Rwanda using the classical Michael Porter's (1979). The model is now modified by introducing the following major assumptions. Our model considers that the mining sector operates in a dynamic social economic system composing of [1] social economic entities, (SEE) or institutions, [2] social economic activities, (SEA) and [3] social economic sectors, (SES).

In turn, main social economic entities include individuals, households, families, communities, government (ministries, departments and agencies), private sector (firms, organizations and associations, non-governmental organizations, community based organisations, civil society organizations, cooperatives, and international organisations and entities. These are interrelated and legal institutions, dynamic and have different but not conflicting national interests.

The main social economic activities include investments, production, trade, distribution, consumption and savings. These are

interdependent, dynamic and change with time and space.

The major social economic sectors are agriculture, industry and services. These are interdependent and dynamic. This model focuses on the role of industrialization for sustainable social economic development. The major industry sectors include [1] mining and quarry, [2] manufacturing, [3] building and construction, and [4] utilities consisting of water and energy systems. The development of mining and quarry is complex functions, transformations and dependents on the developments and transformations of the building, construction, utilities, agricultural and service sectors. The model assumes that social economic entities own, manage and operate social economic activities and sectors.

4.1 Mining System Operations

The mining policy model considers mining system functional objectives and operations to constitute six major interrelated and or interdependent social economic activities. These are [1] core mining activities, (CM) [2] environment and waste, (E) [3] occupational health and safety, (OHS) [4], Security; [5] corporate social responsibilities, and [6] Cross-Cutting (CC). These are treated as mining target policy variables, endogenously determined with the social economic system. The prevailing social economic system is composed of the current sector (e.g., national mining policy), macro-economic (e.g., national investment policies) and crosscutting policies, (national environment, occupational health and safety policies); laws and regulations and institutional frameworks. We formalize as follows;

$$1 \quad M = CM + E + OHS + S + CSR + CC$$

The standard core mining models relation (1) integrates environment and waste management activities as core mining activities. The mining environmental activities may entail air pollution, soil erosion, sinkhole formation, biodiversity losses, and soil contamination, groundwater and surface water by chemicals from mining processes, [18]. In some cases, additional forest logging is done in the vicinity of mines to create space for the storage of the created debris and soil. Contamination resulting from leakage of chemicals can also affect the health of the local population if not properly controlled, [18].

Mining companies in most countries have to abide to stringent environmental and rehabilitation policies, laws, regulations or codes in order to minimize environmental impact and avoid impacting human safety and health, [13,11]. These codes and regulations all require the common steps of environment impact assessment, development of environment management plans, mine closure planning (which must be done before the start of mining operations), and environment monitoring during operation and after closure. However, in some areas, particularly in the developing world, government regulations may not be well enforced.

Ore mills generate large amounts of waste, called *tailing*, [19]. For example, 99 tons of waste are generated per ton of copper, with even higher ratios in gold mining – because only 5.3 g of gold is extracted per ton of ore, a ton of gold produces 200,000 tons of tailings. (As time goes on and richer deposits are exhausted – and technology improves to permit – this number is going down to .5 g and less). These tailings can be toxic. Tailings, which are usually produced as slurries are most commonly dumped into mining slurry ponds made from naturally existing valleys. These mining slurry ponds are secured by impoundments dams or embankments, [19].

The waste is classified as either sterile or mineralized, with acid generating potential, and the movement and storage of this material generates a significant part of the mine process, [11]. When the mineralized package is determined by an economic cut-off, the near-grade mineralized waste is usually dumped separately with regard to later treatment should market conditions change and it becomes economically viable. Civil engineering design parameters are used in the design of the waste dumps, and special conditions apply to high-rainfall areas and to seismically active areas, [11]. Waste dump designs must meet all regulatory requirements of the country in whose jurisdiction the mine is located. It is also common practice to rehabilitate dumps to an internationally acceptable standard, which in some cases means that higher standards than the local regulatory standard are applied, [11].

4.2 None-Core Mining Systems and Operations

This theoretical policy paper starts with the core mining operational activities on strong assumption that environment and waste are

cross sectoral mining policy issues that have their own and solid independent policies, laws, regulations and institutions. That is, the paper assumes that the core mining operational activities are the core mining policy objectives and therefore the focus and integrated environment and waste management activities must be well handled.

$$2 \quad E = E^* \quad E^* \text{ is at optimal conditions}$$

This paper will not detail issues related with, environment, (*E*) occupational health and safety, (*OHS*) corporate social responsibilities, (*CSR*) and Cross-Cutting (*CC*). We will assume these are manageable and controlled at optimum and constant levels as follow;

$$3 \quad \begin{aligned} OHS &= OHS^* \\ S &= S^* \\ CSR &= CSR^* \\ CC &= CC^* \end{aligned}$$

4.3 Core Mining Operational Stages, Phases and Activities

The paper defines and focuses on the core mining operational stages, phases and activities, (*M*); to include [*M1*] Mineral Deposits, [*M2*] Explorations, [*M3*] Extractions, [*M4*] Processing, [*M5*] Beneficiations, [*M6*] Trades and Marketing, and [*M7*] Final Consumptions by households. The model assessment defines these as core mining policy objectives and endogenous variables. The endogenous variable is a policy target variable in an assessment model that's changed or determined by its relationship with other variables within the model. In other words, an endogenous variable is synonymous with a dependent variable, meaning it correlates with other factors within the social economic system being assessed, [7].

$$4 \quad M = M^1 + M^2 + M^3 + M^4 + M^5 + M^6 + M^7$$

Relation 4 is a complex linear equation and assumes that the value chain is a set of independent but interdependent mining operations, activities and companies that work closely together to identify, create, deliver and service customer value expectations. Also, the relation 4 suggests that our value chain analysis will extend across all development mineral operations, industries and organisations and it is useful to consider both when policy options are articulated, [20].

For example amethyst mining firms from Rwanda have been searching opportunities for mineral market expansion either by identifying new product opportunities for existing customer markets in East Asia countries, the possibility of extending the market coverage to new domestic customers in Rwanda, or expanding delivery options by designing new final consumer product developments in the EAC countries (e.g., as they become available and relevant). Value chain analysis also identifies sectors of the value chain that are underserved or which offer opportunities for improving value added contributions to participants by directing companies towards sectors of the value chain to which their competencies could be applied effectively. This can result in increased profitability and competitive advantage, [20].

The paper considers that at each stage there are inputs (inbound logistics), transformation processes, (operations) and outputs (outbound logistics), [7]. Also, given current economic, ICT and global network changes, we consider procurement and all services to be included and integrated in all the mining operations and activities required to maintain the mineral products effective and efficient for the buyers after they are sold and delivered. Let us formalize these inputs and outputs policy variables as follows;

$$6 \quad m_v^i = M_{OUT}^I - M_{IN}^I \quad \text{whereby } m_v^i \text{ is flow variable and } i=1,2,\dots,7$$

We will consider that all social economic entities in the mining sector markets and operations are rational corporate entities thus have single objectives of minimizing costs and are profit maximizing operators, [7]. This paper adopts Rwandese definitions of value addition, [6]. In their case, value addition is restricted and specifically linked to beneficiation processes entailing the transformation of a mineral (or a combination of minerals) to a higher value product, which can either be consumed locally or and exported, [21]. Also, downstream value addition consists of a combination of mining activities including large-scale capital intensive activities such as smelting, refining and molding as well as professional and labour-intensive skilled activities such as craft jewellery and metal fabrication.

4.5 Exogenous or Policy Instrument Variables

In order to conduct assessment of the development mineral value chains and to provide policy options for enhancing the contribution of the mining sector to the economy, it is important to identify, search and determine important variables and relationships determining performances of mining operations at each particular stage, place and time. The performances of major mining operations and core mining policy variables are complex functions of interdependent endogenous (*target variables*); exogenous (*policy instrument variables*) and other external controlling variables, [22,23].

In the policy assessment model, an exogenous variable is one whose value is determined outside the mining sector system and is imposed into the model, and a variable whose state is independent of the state of other variables in the social economic system, [22]. The exogenous factors are inputs into the system that are present and active in the mining operations but that originated and controlled by other forces, institutions, and powers and thus can be considered as *policy variable instruments*. Let us consider two types of exogenous variables [23-30].

4.4 Physical, Virtual and Combined Value Chain

Within the value chain analysis competitive advantage cannot be comprehended by examining a firm as a whole. It is found on the many discrete interdependent activities a firm performs in designing, preparation, arranging, producing, trading, marketing, delivering and supporting its product chains, [9]. Each of these interdependent mining activities can contribute to a firm's relative cost position and create a basis for product differentiation.

$$5 \quad \begin{matrix} M_{IN}^i & \text{inputs} \\ M_{OUT}^i & \text{outputs} \end{matrix}$$

Let us now formalize mining operations as the management of the transformation process and activities converting inputs (in the forms of raw minerals, human and energy resources) into outputs (in the form of outputs; goods and/or services), that is, first forms of value chain creations or additions, [8]. *We identify these first forms of value additions as the converted differences between the outputs (outbound logistics) and Inputs (inbound logistics), as follows;*

The first categories are exogenous policy variables (X) which are outside the control of the mining sector firms or social economic entities but inside the control of the national social economic systems. Many policy models include systems (policies, laws, regulations and institutions, infrastructural and resources (human, capital equipment machineries, and technologies) as main exogenous factors.

The second categories are external exogenous policy variables (Z), which are outside the control of the country or nation or human beings. These include environment and climatic changes, global and regional politics, investments, trade, technological changes and wars.

4.6 Social Economic Welfare Development

The assessment assumes that the overall and final objective of maximizing development minerals is to optimize current and future national (W) social economic welfare development. We consider social economic welfare development to include social, economic and wealth aspects.

The socioeconomic status is an economic and sociological combined total measure of a person's work experience and of an individual's or family's economic and social position in relation to others. When assessing national, family or individual social development categories, we can include income, wealth, education, health and occupation can be assessed.

Income refers to wages, salaries, profits, rents, gross domestic product (GDP) and any flow of earnings received at a particular point of time. Income can also come in the form of unemployment or worker's compensation, social security, pensions, interests or dividends, royalties, trusts, alimony, or other governmental, public, or family financial assistance. It can also come from monetary winnings, as from remittances or any form of external earnings.

Wealth, a set of economic reserves or assets, demonstrates a source of social security presenting a measure of social economic entity ability and capacities to respond to emergencies, absorb shocks, or provide the ways and means to survive and live comfortably. Wealth reflects intergenerational aspects as well as accumulation of all forms of revenues. Incomes, age, gender, marital status, family size, social culture, religion, occupation, and education are some of predictors or factor variables for social economic wealth attainment.

Equation 6 has identified the development mineral value addition relational variable. We will now define development mineral value addition variable as a complex production transformation function of exogenous (inputs X and Z), endogenous variables (other core-interdependent mining operations) and other intermediating policy variables, (Social Economic Welfare, W);

$$7 \quad m_v^i = m_i (X, Z, m_v^j W) \quad \text{where } i \text{ and } j = 1,2,\dots,7$$

We will focus and assess inputs (X & Z) and outputs (m) as measured in flow units: a certain amount of labor per unit of time and a specific amount of machine hours per unit of time that will produce a certain amount of output unit of time (e.g., a week/month/year), [7]. Equation 7 considers that each development mining operation, activities, and firms have net positive benefits in the success of the others. Each search for optimal ways in which inputs (X, Z) can utilize cost-effectively throughout the mining value chain system.

We consider that the mining firms face given and fixed prices for its inputs and outputs and have markets where the individual producers take the prices as technical datum and or determined in a competitive market system, [23]. Our assessment focuses on the profit maximization problem of a mining firm that faces competitive markets for the factors of production it uses and the output goods it produces;

If the mining firms have made the optimal choices of all inputs (X, Z), the value of the marginal products of each factor should equal its mineral product market price and thus optimizes profits, [23]. The optimal development mineral values added for these firms are defined as [22,23].

$$8 \quad m_v^{j*} = m_i (X^*, Z^*, m_v^{j*} W^*) \quad \text{where } i \text{ and } j = 1,2,\dots,7$$

In the assessment, the observed and actual development mineral values added will not necessarily be the same as desired or optimal levels, [7]. The actual development mineral values added for these firms will be, [22,23].

$$9 \quad m_v^{ia} = m_i (X^a, Z^a, m_v^{ja} W^a) \quad \text{where } i \text{ and } j = 1,2,\dots,7$$

Above equations 7, 8 and 9 introduce elements of firm linkages or networks. The firm's linkages are utilized here in a metamorphical context and refer to the aggregated pattern of inter-unit relationships in the social economic systems, [22,23]. The relations suggest that to increase and keep the value of Rwanda development mineral resources it requires the creation and strengthening of linkages between the extractive sector, manufacturing and other sectors of the economy. These relationships consist of: down-stream (or forward) linkages into mineral processing and beneficiation; up-stream (or backward) linkages into mining capital goods and services, consumables and other industries; spatial linkages into infrastructure systems and facilities, such as energy, managerial, professional and technological developments, [3].

The assumption is that links or bonds between various mining firms in the value chains, such as suppliers of inputs, producing units, traders, customers and the regulatory, promotional and supporting institutions may be viewed as a mining sector structure that can be perceived as a mining networks, [7]. Most manufacturing entities are founded in a socio-economic, political, technical, and environment of more or less stable relationships to other actors, (Jose, 1994). Furthermore, it is assumed that the transformation of technological capability may be comprehended as incremental and interactive technical processes. Education, knowledge, information and skills are also adopted, modified, created and developed as an outcome of the institutional linkages.

During social economic production a firm or a unit is interacting to create a niche (or an enabling environment) for its entry, growth, development and survival. The industry environment, from the perspective of a single firm, may be viewed in terms of its relationships

which is basically the set of social economic entities with which the single firm interacts. The industry linkages are the results of continuous interactions between the actors, which comprise activities such as buying and selling of input supplies, investments, production, trade, distribution, consumption, subcontracting arrangements and technical consultancies by local and external companies.

Mining firms form relationships with one another to gain access to all markets or financial limited resources such as capital, personnel, technology, materials and information, [7]. These relationships minimize business transaction costs connected to uncertainty, bounded rationality, market constraints and information limitations and asset specificity. Since the industrial relationships are of a dynamic nature, they also become fundamental structural determinants, contributing to transformations, source of innovations and inducing industrial advancement. In so doing, companies form sustainable industrial systems.

The basic issue about an overall industrial system is that it allows improved technical, market, financial and social efficiencies which single firm can rarely attain. A set of industrial firms producing the same products in close cooperation constitute a viable industrial system. Over time, these kinds of industrial linkages constitute a major facilitating factor for viable mining industrial development.

We now identify and *define the second levels or forms of value additions as the differences between the desired or optimal development mineral values added and the observed and actual development mineral values added.* The firms create more value additions when they move from non-optimal positions to desired optimal development mineral values added during transformation processes.

$$10 \quad mm_v^i = m_v^{i*} - m_v^{ia} \quad \text{whereby} \quad i=1,2,\dots,7 \quad mm_v^i = \text{more value-additions}$$

5. PAPER FINDINGS: POLICY MODEL SOLUTIONS, OPTIONS AND TARGETS

The above presented equations 1 – 10 articulate a structural policy theoretical model to be used to make an assessment of the development mineral value chains in Rwanda. This is a dynamic policy model as it includes stocks and flow endogenous and exogenous variable levels at each particular of time and changes technologies. *The paper finds that the first level target development mineral values added variables are defined as;*

$$11 \quad m_v^{i\wedge} = m_i (X^\wedge, Z^\wedge, m_v^j W^\wedge) \quad \text{where } i \text{ and } j = 1,2,\dots,7$$

That is, the reduced form policy theoretical model is determined upon substituting vales of equations 8 and 9 into equation 11.

Also, the paper finds that the target second levels or forms of value additions for development mineral values added;

$$12 \quad mm_v^\wedge = m_i (X^\wedge, Z^\wedge, m_v^{j\wedge} W^\wedge) \quad \text{where } i \text{ and } j = 1,2,\dots,7 \quad mm_v^\wedge \text{ are policy target options}$$

Equations 11 and 12 articulate and summarize potential policy options for the first and second levels or forms of development mineral value additions enhancing the contributions of the development mineral sector to economic development, [7]. Equations 11 and 12 will assist in searching, designing and formulating appropriate policy objectives, strategies and actions to options to solve them, articulating the options clearly. Based on above findings in terms of relations and assumptions in equations, 1, 2, and 3 we will be able to finally decide upon which of the policy options to take, implementing the strategic policy options, monitor, controlling and finally evaluating the implementation processes.

The policy solution emphasizes the importance of strengthening all national value chain based linkages and capacities while natural resources are extant so as to maximize the sustainable economic developmental and inter-generational impacts of those resources. These inter-generational impacts are the current, operational and consistent effects of value addition in the mining sector. If owned, managed and operated appropriately by national economic entities, resource-based investments can lay the foundation for transformed economies. Conversely, if African countries cannot institute these national resource base linkages then it would be best to leave the resources unexploited

until such time that the indigenous human resources are capable of owning, managing and operating their own national resources [3].

The nation's mining policy objective is to target sustainable development of development minerals, (M). Targeting M is a complex function of targeting Mineral Deposits, [M1]; Explorations, [M2]; Extractions, [M3]; Processing, [M4] Beneficiations, [M5] Trades and Marketing, [M6] and Final Consumptions by social economic entities such as households, firms and communities, [M7].

The policy findings and solution is target sustainable development of development minerals, (M) by emphasizing the importance of strengthening all optimal mineral-based linkages while resources are extant so as to maximize the developmental and inter-generational impact of those resources.

The nation's mining policy objective is to ensure efficient and effective utilization of mineral deposits, [M1]; explorations, [M2]; extractions, [M3]; processing, [M4] beneficiations, [M5] trades and marketing, [M6] and final consumptions by social economic entities such as households, firms and communities, [M7]. *This is formalized as follows;*

$$13 \quad M^\wedge = M^{1\wedge} + M^{2\wedge} + M^{3\wedge} + M^{4\wedge} + M^{5\wedge} + M^{6\wedge} + M^{7\wedge} \quad \text{where-- } M^{i\wedge} \text{ are policy tarrgets}$$

Table 2. Outline of the proposed national mining policy format

Main National Mining Policy Objectives		
Core Mining Policy Objectives		Policy Strategies
1	Mineral Deposits, [M1];	Xi, Zi, mi, and W
2	Explorations, [M2];	Xi, Zi, mi, and W
3	Extractions, [M3];	Xi, Zi, mi, and W
4	Processing, [M4];	Xi, Zi, mi, and W
5	Beneficiations, [M5];	Xi, Zi, mi, and W
6	Trades and Marketing, [M6]	Xi, Zi, mi, and W
7	Final Consumptions [M7]	Xi, Zi, mi, and W
8	Environment, (E)	Xi, Zi, mi, and W
Other Mining Policy Issues		Policy Strategies
9	Occupational Health and Safety, (OHS)	Xi, Zi, mi, and W
10	Corporate Social Responsibilities, (CSR)	Xi, Zi, mi, and W
11	Cross-Cutting Issues, (CCI): These may include; Gender, Child Labour Youth Participation	Xi, Zi, mi, and W

Table 2 summarizes an outline of the proposed national mining policy format based on above model relations 1- 13. Table 2 and relation 13 proposes the need to identify the national development mineral policy objectives and strategies needed in the value chain model that are necessary and sufficient to make them acceptable, functional, effective and efficient, in other words the strategic operational features of the mineral value chain in Rwanda, (Walters, 2002). Table 2 suggests the need to identify, list and sequence these national mining policy objectives based or founded on the best practices, value chain model and mining sector sciences and principles.

6. CONCLUSION RWANDA NATIONAL MINING POLICY SYSTEM

The paper made an assessment on the current status of development mineral value chains; presented and discussed classical Michael Porter's (1979) value chain model articulating full range of development mining activities in Rwanda, and proposed consistent recommendations on policy options and other incentives necessary and sufficient conditions to enable the contribution of the mining sector to the total national income and their value chains to sustainable social economic welfare.

The paper recommends the Rwanda government ministries, departments, agencies and corporate mining companies to focus and be motivated with the direction, level and rate of successes of each of the other social economic entities.

All social economic entities have to contribute to common goal of achieving efficiencies, effectiveness and optimal revenue flows for the complete development mineral value chain. It follows that national development mineral ownership, management and operation frameworks implemented through value chain systems, requires a new and different perspective of relationship management, [7].

Rwanda has to develop and achieve the “transparent, equitable and optimal exploitation of mineral resources to underpin broad-based sustainable growth and socio-economic development”, [3]. This Rwanda ability to see beyond public corporate boundaries permits recognition of serious domestic private sector patterns, regional collaborating social economic entities and global development mineral markets. The country has to be concerned with development mineral investments, production, trade and consumption potentials and threats that lie elsewhere within the value chain as well as the chance to pursue strategic options. For example, the value chain in the amethyst encourages local expertise, professionalism, dedications and specialization and all this suggests optimal utilizations of effective systems, resources, infrastructure facilities and public management relationships

COMPETING INTERESTS

Author has declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. United Nations Development Programme, (UNDP). "Baseline Assessment and Value Chain Analysis of Development Minerals in Fiji," ACP-EU Development Minerals Programme Implemented in Partnership with UNDP; UNDP Pacific Office in Fiji' Level 8, Kadavu House; 414 Victoria Parade, Suva, Fiji; 2018a. Phone: + (679) 331-2500
2. United Nations Development Programme, (UNDP). Baseline assessment and value chain analysis of development minerals in Uganda: ACP-EU development minerals programme implemented in partnership with UNDP; Uganda, Plot 11, Yusuf Lule Road, Nakasero P.O Box 7184, Kampala, Uganda; 2018b.
3. Uongozi Institute. Enhancing value addition in the extractive sector in Africa: Why is it important and how can it be achieved? Enhancing Value Addition in the Extractive Sector in Africa: Why is it Important and How can it be Achieved? Report prepared by the Office of the Vice President of the Republic of Ghana in collaboration with UONGOZI Institute of the United Republic of Tanzania; Accra, Ghana, on 4-5 December 2017; 2017.
4. Michael Biryabarema. The potential for beneficiation of industrial minerals in Rwanda; 2019.
5. Rupert Cook and Paul Mitchell. Evaluation of mining revenue streams and due diligence implementation costs along mineral supply chains in Rwanda. Analysis Report For Rwanda Natural Resources Authority & Federal Institute for Geosciences and Natural Resources; 2014.
6. Republic of Rwanda. "Made in Rwanda Policy" Ministry of Trade and Industry, Kigali Rwanda; 2017a.
7. Alain Martel and Walid Klibi. "Designing Value-Creating Supply Chain Networks" ISBN 978-3-319-28144-5; Springer International Publishing Switzerland; 2016.
8. David Walters. "Operations Strategy" Palgrave Macmillan, Houndmills, Basingstoke, Hampshire RG2; 2002.
9. Julia Connell, Renu Agarwal Sushil, Sanjay Dhir. (2018) "Global Value Chains, Flexibility and Sustainability"; Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd; 2018. ISBN 978-981-10-8928-2
10. Sören Scholvin, Anthony Black, Javier Revilla Diez, Ivan Turok Editors. Value chains in Sub-Saharan Africa challenges of integration into the global economy. Springer Nature Switzerland AG, Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland; 2019. ISBN 978-3-030-06205-7
11. Rwanda Bureau of Standards. "Rwanda Standard on Mining and quarrying — Code of practice No RS 566: 2011" P.O Government of Rwanda, Box 7099 Kigali-Rwanda; 2011.
12. Drzymala Jan. "Mineral Processing Foundations of Theory and Practice of Minerallurgy" 1st English edition Member of the Polish Mineral Processing Society, Wroclaw University of Technology, Poland; 2007.
13. RMB. Draft mining and minerals policy, Kigali Rwanda. Government of Rwanda; Rwanda Mines, Petroleum and Gas Board; 2020.
14. Barry A Wills, James A Finch. "Wills' mineral processing technology an introduction to the practical aspects of ore treatment and mineral recovery: The eighth edition: Butterworth-heinemann is an imprint of elsevier. The Boulevard, Langford Lane, Kidlington, Oxford OX5 1GB, UK 225 Wyman Street, Waltham, MA 02451, USA; 2015a.
15. Balsubramanian A. "Overview of Mineral Processing Methods": Technical Report published by the Centre for Advanced Studies in Earth Science; University of Mysore; (015).
16. Jessica Elzea Kogel, James M. Barker, Gretchen K. Hoffman and Stanley T. Krukowski. Industrial Minerals and Rocks 7th edition of Society for Mining, Metallurgy, and Exploration, Inc. (SME) 8307 Shaffer Parkway; 2009.
17. Gocht WRH, Zantop RG Eggert. "International Mineral Economics Mineral Exploration, Laura J., Sonter (2018). "Mining and biodiversity key issues and research needs in conservation science. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences. 1988;285(1892):20181926.
18. Mine Valuation, Mineral Markets, and International Mineral Policies": Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg; 1988. ISBN-13: 978-3-540-18749-3
19. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Technical report design and evaluation of,

- tailings dams. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Solid Waste, Special Waste Branch 401 M Street, SW, Washington, DC 20460; 1994.
20. Walters David. "Operations Strategy", Palgrave Macmillan Houndmills, Basingstoke, Hampshire RG21 6XS Fifth Avenues, New York, N.Y. 10010: ISBN 978-0-333-96112-4 -7; 2002.
 21. Department of Mineral Resources. A beneficiation strategy for the minerals industry of south Africa. Pretoria, Republic of South Africa; 2011.
 22. Malinvaud E. Lectures on microeconomic theory institut national de statistique et des etudes economiques, Paris Translation by Mrs. A. Silvey; 1972.
 23. Varian R Hal. "Microeconomic Analysis", Third Edition 3rd Edition W. W. Norton & Company, 500 Fifty Avenue New York, USA; 1992.
 24. Barry A Wills, Tim Napier-Munn. Mineral processing technology: An introduction to the practical aspects of ore treatment and mineral. ISBN: 0750644508; Publisher: Elsevier Science & Technology Books; 2006.
 25. Erich W Zimmermann. World resources and industries; American Journal of Agricultural Economics. 1951;33(4):1.
 26. McDonald M, Dunbar I. Market segmentation: How to do it, how to profit from it. Butterworth-Heinemann; 2004.
 27. International Growth Centre, Reference Number: F-38422-RWA-1
 28. MTL Consulting Company Limited. Assessment of development mineral value chains and policy options for enhancing the contribution of the sector to economic development in Rwanda. First Consultant Research Report, for RMB and supported and financed by the African Development Bank, Immeuble CCIA Abidjan, Cote d'Ivoire; 2020.
 29. Varian HR. "Intermediate Microeconomics", Michigan: W.W. Norton and Company; 1990.
 30. United Nations Development Programme, (UNDP). Baseline assessment and value chain analysis of development minerals in Jamaica. ACP-EU Development Minerals Programme Implemented in Partnership with UNDP Jamaica; 1-3 Lady Musgrave Road; Kingston 5, Jamaica; 2017.

© 2021 Haji; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/80254>