



Effect of Organic Manures and Nano Zinc on Growth and Yield of Wheat

Yalamala Prasanghi^{a++*} and Umesha C.^{a#}

^a Department of Agronomy, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj- 211007, Uttar Pradesh, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2023/v13i102754

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/104988>

Original Research Article

Received: 13/06/2023

Accepted: 17/08/2023

Published: 24/08/2023

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was carried out during *Rabi* season 2022 at experimental field of Crop Research Farm, Department of Agronomy, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology And Sciences, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India. The soil of experimental plot was sandy loam in texture, nearly neutral in soil reaction (pH7.3), low in organic carbon (0.48%), available nitrogen (230 kg/ha), available phosphorus (13.60 kg/ha) and available potassium (215.4 kg/ha). The treatments consist of organic manures (FYM 10t/ha, panchagavya 3%, FYM 5t/ha + panchagavya 1.5%) and nano zinc (30, 40, 50 ppm) along with control plot (Recommended Dose Fertilizer). The experiment was layout in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with ten treatments each replicated thrice. Higher plant height (91.90 cm), higher plant dry weight (27.27 g), number of tillers/hill (8.67), Crop Growth Rate (11.82), grains/spike (45.12), effective tillers/row meter (73.57), Spikes/running row meter (77.57), grain yield (6.01 t/ha), straw yield (8.49 t/ha), maximum gross return (127712.50 INR/ha), net return (85765.70 INR/ha) and B:C ratio (2.04) were also obtained highest in the treatment 9 [FYM 5t/ha + Panchagavya 1.5% + Nano-zinc 50ppm].

⁺⁺ M. Sc. Scholar;

[#] Assistant Professor;

*Corresponding author: E-mail: prasanghiyadav@gmail.com;

Keywords: *Wheat; organic manures; nano zinc; growth; yield; economics.*

1. INTRODUCTION

According to FAO estimate, “world would require around 840 million tonnes of wheat by 2050 from its current production level of 642 million tonnes. This demand excludes the requirement of animal feed and adverse impacts of climate change on wheat production. To meet this demand, developing countries should increase their wheat production by 77% and more than 80% of demand should come from vertical expansion” [1].

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) is a major source of diet for India's growing population, providing half of the dietary protein and more than half of the calories. As a result, scientists are constantly striving to increase yields in order to feed the nation [2]. It is estimated that annual cereal production should be increased by 1 billion tonnes to feed the 9.1 billion people expected by 2050. To meet the increased food supply requirements, crop productivity must be increased in the current scenario. “Consumption of wheat in rural India has increased apparently due to the availability of nutritious cereal. The share of wheat in total cereals consumption has increased from 25.43 per cent (3.88 kg/month) in 1972-73 to 37.36 per cent (4.24 kg/month) in 2009-10 (rural India) while a marginal increase from 42.88 per cent (4.82 kg/month) to 43.54 per cent (4.08 kg/month) was observed in urban India” [3].

Nanotechnology is a new frontier for the scientific community, and it can be used as an alternative strategy in a variety of fields, including agriculture [4]. In this context, nanotechnology, such as the use of nanoscale fertilizers, suggests novel crop management strategies [5,6]. In recent years, there has been a lot of focus on using nanotechnologies and plant biotechnology in agriculture to increase plant production, improve plant tolerance to environmental stress, improve nutrient use efficiency, and mitigate hazardous environmental effects, as opposed to traditional bulk materials methods [7]. “The nano particles have the potential to make plants use fertilizers more efficiently and environmentally benign since their nano-scales enable for uptake through stomata and the base of trichomes, increasing fertilizer use efficiency. The most important use of nanotechnology in agricultural crop production is the field of nano fertilizers, which, unlike traditional fertilizers, can feed plants gradually and in a controlled manner. These nano

fertilizers have the potential to be more effective, reducing soil pollution and other environmental problems associated with chemical fertilizers” [8].

“Zinc concentration is deficient in many agricultural locations, however the amount of Zn that is available for plant absorption is insufficient due to various climatic and soil conditions. Zinc functions in nucleic acid and synthesis of protein and aids in the use of nitrogen and phosphorus, as well as in seed formation. Zinc is a crucial component for considering that it is necessary for either a structural element or a place of reactivity many proteins” [9].

“Farmyard manure occupies an important position among organic manures. FYM seems to act directly by increasing crop yield by acceleration of respiratory process or by cell permeability or by hormonal growth action. Under organic management, nutrients release and crop demand synchrony is very much required; hence, a thorough understanding of nutrients release pattern from organic sources is essential to avoid nutrients stress. Thus, the development and implementation of efficient nutrient management practices are pivotal for successful organic production and to improve the product quality and yield, besides overall soil health improvement. It maintains soil health as well as productivity of crop. It has a predominant role in improvement of soil fertility, physical-chemical properties and biological activity” [10].

“Panchagavya is an organic product made from five different cow by-products, including cow dung, cow urine, cow milk, cow ghee, and cow curd. It may play a function in fostering growth and supplying immunity to the plant system, conferring resistance to pests and diseases. Panchagavya contains a variety of nutrients, including macronutrients like N, P, and K and micronutrients like various amino acids, vitamins, and growth regulators like Auxins and Gibberellins, as well as advantageous microorganisms like pseudomonas, azotobacter, and phosphor bacteria, among others, that are necessary for plant growth and development” [11].

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted during *Rabi* season 2022 at Crop Research Farm, Department of Agronomy, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology And

Sciences, Prayagraj (U.P.). The soil of the experimental field constituting a part of central Gangetic alluvium is neutral and deep. Pre-sowing soil samples were taken from a depth of 15 cm with the help of an auger. The composite samples were used for the chemical and mechanical analysis. The soil of experimental plot was sandy loam in texture, nearly neutral in soil reaction (pH 7.8), low in organic carbon (0.62%), available nitrogen (225 kg/ha), available phosphorus (38.2 kg/ha) and available potassium (240.7 kg/ha). The treatments consist of organic manures (FYM 10t/ha, panchagavya 3%, FYM 5t/ha + panchagavya 1.5%) and nano zinc (30, 40, 50 ppm) along with control. The experiment was layout in Randomized Block Design with ten treatments each replicated thrice. The treatment combinations are T1- FYM 10t/ha + nano zinc 30 ppm, T2- FYM 10t/ha + nano zinc 40 ppm, T3- FYM 10t/ha + nano zinc 50 ppm, T4- Panchagavya 3% + nano zinc 30 ppm, T5- Panchagavya 3% + nano zinc 40 ppm, T6- Panchagavya 3% + nano zinc 50 ppm, T7- FYM 5t/ha + Panchagavya 1.5% + nano zinc 30 ppm, T8- FYM 5t/ha + Panchagavya 1.5% + nano zinc 40 ppm, T9- FYM 5t/ha + Panchagavya 1.5% + nano zinc 50 ppm, T10- Control (150:60:40 NPK kg/ha). The data recorded on different aspects of crop viz., growth parameters, yield attributes and yield were subjected to statistical analysis by variance method Gomez and Gomez, (1984) and economics is also calculated.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Growth Parameters

3.1.1 Plant height (cm)

At 120 DAS, [Table 1] higher plant height (91.90 cm) was recorded significantly in the treatment 9 [FYM 5t/ha + Panchagavya 1.5% + Nano-zinc 50ppm]. However, treatment 8 [FYM 5t/ha + Panchagavya 1.5% + Nano-zinc 40ppm] was found to be statistically at par with treatment 9.

Increase in plant height might be due to improvement in nutrition status of the soil and creation of suitable environment for better root growth through secretion of growth promoting substances and availability of nitrogen fixed by microorganisms. Similar results are observed by Bhandari et al. (2021). The increase in plant height might be also due to more availability and absorption of Zn from soil solution which results in fastens the auxin metabolism, synthesis of cytochrome and stabilization of ribosomal

fractions, faster the cell division and cell elongation. Similar results are observed by Shalini et al. (2020).

3.1.2 Plant dry weight (g)

At 120 DAS, [Table 1] maximum plant dry weight (27.27 g) was recorded significantly in the treatment no.9 [FYM 5t/ha + Panchagavya 1.5% + Nano-zinc 50ppm]. However, treatment 7 [FYM 5t/ha + Panchagavya 1.5% + Nano-zinc 30ppm] and treatment no.8 [FYM 5t/ha + Panchagavya 1.5% + Nano-zinc 40ppm] was found to be statistically at par with treatment 9.

Increased dry matter accumulation due to FYM might be attributed due to the continuous steady release of nutrients which might have enabled the leaf area duration to extend, thus favoring the plants to increase the photosynthetic rate which in turn, could have led to higher accumulation of dry matter. Similar results are observed by Ponmozhi et al. (2019). Availability of small quantities of macronutrients, micronutrients and growth promoting substances in addition to huge beneficial microbial population in panchgavya and jeevamrut, thus when applied to the crop as foliar spray and through soil they trigger the necessary plant growth. Similar results are observed by Patel et al. (2021). "Zinc acts as an enzyme activator in plants and is directly engaged in the manufacture of auxin, which results in the production of additional cells and dry matter, which is then stored as a sink in seeds". Sannathimmappa et al. (2023).

3.1.3 Tillers/hill

At 120 DAS, [Table 1] significantly higher tillers/hill (8.67) was recorded in the treatment 9 [FYM 5t/ha + Panchagavya 1.5% + Nano-zinc 50ppmx]. However, treatment 8 [FYM 5t/ha + Panchagavya 1.5% + Nano-zinc 40ppm] was found to be statistically at par with treatment 9.

Profuse tillering was due to the fact that nano fertilizer enhanced emergence, more efficient nutrient utilization satisfying nutrient requirement of the crop and increased activity of chloroplast. Similar results are observed by Singh et al. (2022). The IAA and GA present in panchagavya when applied as foliar spray could have created stimuli in the plant system and increased the production of growth regulators in cell system and the action of growth regulators in plant system ultimately stimulated the necessary growth and development. Similar results are observed by Patel et al. (2021).

Table 1. Effect of organic manures and nano zinc on growth attributes of wheat

S. No.	Treatment combination	Plant height (cm)	Dry weight (g)	Tillers /hill	CGR (90-120 DAS)
1.	FYM 10t/ha + Nano-zinc 30ppm	87.90	25.61	6.53	9.48
2.	FYM 10t/ha + Nano-zinc 40ppm	88.53	25.88	6.60	9.84
3.	FYM 10t/ha + Nano-zinc 50ppm	88.87	26.22	7.07	10.49
4.	Panchagavya 3% + Nano-zinc 30ppm	89.23	26.43	7.40	10.84
5.	Panchagavya 3% + Nano-zinc 40ppm	89.63	26.59	7.53	10.91
6.	Panchagavya 3% + Nano-zinc 50ppm	89.97	26.64	7.80	10.87
7.	FYM 5t/ha + Panchagavya 1.5% + Nano-zinc 30ppm	90.30	26.99	8.27	11.19
8.	FYM 5t/ha + Panchagavya 1.5% + Nano-zinc 40ppm	91.43	27.14	8.47	11.49
9.	FYM 5t/ha + Panchagavya 1.5% + Nano-zinc 50ppm	91.90	27.27	8.67	11.82
	F-test	S	S	S	S
	SEm(±)	87.18	25.29	6.20	9.36
	CD (p = 0.05)	0.24	0.19	0.10	0.35

3.1.4 Crop growth rate (g/m²/day)

During 90-120DAS, maximum crop growth rate (11.82 g/m²/day) was recorded significantly in the treatment 9 [FYM 5t/ha + Panchagavya 1.5% + Nano-zinc 50ppm]. However, treatment 4 [Panchagavya 3% + Nano-zinc 30ppm], treatment 5 [Panchagavya 3% + Nano-zinc 40ppm], treatment 6 [Panchagavya 3% + Nano-zinc 50ppm], treatment 7 [FYM 5t/ha + Panchagavya 1.5% + Nano-zinc 30ppm] and treatment 8 [FYM 5t/ha + Panchagavya 1.5% + Nano-zinc 40ppm] are found to be statistically at par with treatment 9.

3.2 Yield Attributes and Yield

3.2.1 Grains/spike (no.)

At Harvest, [Table 2] significantly higher grains/spike (45.12) in treatment 9 [FYM 5t/ha + Panchagavya 1.5% + Nano-zinc 50ppm]. However, treatment 8 [FYM 5t/ha + Panchagavya 1.5% + Nano-zinc 40ppm] was statistically at par with treatment 9.

FYM might be due to the improvement in soil physico-chemical properties (viz., pH, bulk density, infiltration rate and microbial biomass carbon) and optimum availability of nutrients and organic carbon which acted as the growth and yield enhancing characters. Similar results are observed by Ponmozhi et al. (2019).

3.2.2 Effective tillers/row meter

At Harvest, [Table 2] significantly higher tillers/row meter (73.57) in treatment 9 [FYM 5t/ha + Panchagavya 1.5% + Nano-zinc 50ppm].

However, treatment 7 [FYM 5t/ha + Panchagavya 1.5% + Nano-zinc 30ppm] and treatment 8 [FYM 5t/ha + Panchagavya 1.5% + Nano-zinc 40ppm] was statistically at par with treatment 9.

This might be due to the fact that nano-fertilizers have large surface area and less particle size than the pore size of root and leaves of the plant which can increase penetration into the plant from applied surface and improve nutrient uptake and increase yield attributing characters. Similar results are observed by Singh et al. (2022).

3.2.3 Spikes/running row meter

At Harvest, [Table 2] significantly higher spikes/running row meter (77.57) in treatment 9 [FYM 5t/ha + Panchagavya 1.5% + Nano-zinc 50ppm]. However, treatment 8 [FYM 5t/ha + Panchagavya 1.5% + Nano-zinc 40ppm] was statistically at par with treatment no.9.

3.2.4 Grain yield (t/ha)

At harvest, [Table 2] significantly higher grain yield (6.01 t/ha) in treatment 9 [FYM 5t/ha + Panchagavya 1.5% + Nano-zinc 50ppm]. However, treatment 7 [FYM 5t/ha + Panchagavya 1.5% + Nano-zinc 30ppm] and treatment 8 [FYM 5t/ha + Panchagavya 1.5% + Nano-zinc 40ppm] was statistically at par with treatment 9.

FYM contains more number of nitrogen fixing, phosphate solubilizing and other beneficial microbes, antibiotics, vitamins, hormones, enzymes, etc., which should better effect on the growth and yield of the plants. Similar results are

observed by Kavinder et al. (2019). "The use of nano fertilizer on wheat plants activates enzymes by fusing with the creation of chlorophyll in most plants, which speeds up the formation of growth hormones like tryptophan. This increase in production is the main place to store carbohydrates in plants, that eventually led to an increased number of seeds per plant as a source and storage of carbohydrates, and increased yield". Sannathimmappa et al. (2023). Panchagavya increased synthesis of growth promoting substances which is turn helped in increased growth and yield attributes and finally grain yield. Similar results are observed by Choudhary et al. (2017).

3.2.5 Straw yield (t/ha)

At harvest, [Table 2] significantly higher stover yield (9 t/ha) in treatment 9 [FYM 5t/ha + Panchagavya 1.5% + Nano-zinc 50ppm]. However, treatment 8 [FYM 5t/ha + Panchagavya 1.5% + Nano-zinc 40ppm] was statistically at par with treatment 9.

FYM's contribution in supplying additional plant nutrients, improvement of soil physical, chemical and biological process in soil. Metabolites root activities increased resulting absorption of moisture and other nutrients enhanced resulting into higher production. Similar results are observed by Singh et al. (2019). Due to favourable effect of zinc on the proliferation of

roots and thereby increasing the uptake of other plant nutrients from the soil, supplying it to the aerial parts of the plant and ultimately enhancing the vegetative growth of plants. Similar results are observed by Poornima and Koti (2019).

3.3 Economics

3.3.1 Gross return (INR/ha)

Gross return (127712.50 INR/ha) was found to be highest in treatment 9 [FYM 5t/ha + Panchagavya 1.5% + Nano-zinc 50ppm] and minimum gross return (105825.00INR/ha) was found to be in control.

3.3.2 Net return (INR/ha)

Net return (85765.70INR/ha) was found to be highest in treatment 9 [FYM 5t/ha + Panchagavya 1.5% + Nano-zinc 50ppm] and minimum net return (66072.00 INR/ha) was found to be in treatment 1 [FYM 10t/ha + Nano-zinc 30ppm].

3.3.3 Benefit cost ratio (B:C)

The maximum Benefit cost ratio (2.04) was recorded in treatment 9 [FYM 5t/ha + Panchagavya 1.5% + Nano-zinc 50ppm] which was superior to rest of all treatment combinations.

Table 2. Effect of organic manures and nano zinc on yield attributes and yield of wheat.

S. No.	Treatments	Yield attributes and yield				
		Grains /spike (no.)	Spikes /running row meter (no.)	Effective tillers/ row meter (no.)	Grain yield (t/ha)	Straw yield (t/ha)
1.	FYM 10t/ha + Nano-zinc 30ppm	41.53	74.23	70.03	5.19	7.47
2.	FYM 10t/ha + Nano-zinc 40ppm	42.01	74.60	70.50	5.22	7.56
3.	FYM 10t/ha + Nano-zinc 50ppm	42.32	75.23	71.07	5.27	7.69
4.	Panchagavya 3% + Nano-zinc 30ppm	42.37	75.73	71.13	5.36	7.74
5.	Panchagavya 3% + Nano-zinc 40ppm	43.58	75.93	71.57	5.48	7.96
6.	Panchagavya 3% + Nano-zinc 50ppm	43.59	76.63	72.30	5.59	8.18
7.	FYM 5t/ha + Panchagavya 1.5% + Nano-zinc 30ppm	44.28	76.90	72.57	5.77	8.27
8.	FYM 5t/ha + Panchagavya 1.5% + Nano-zinc 40ppm	44.85	77.17	73.40	5.84	8.33
9.	FYM 5t/ha + Panchagavya 1.5% + Nano-zinc 50ppm	45.12	77.57	73.57	6.01	8.49
10.	Control (RDF 150:60:40 NPK kg/ha)	40.52	74.13	69.80	4.98	7.38
	F-Test	S	S	S	S	S
	SEm (\pm)	0.19	0.22	0.36	0.08	0.07
	CD (p = 0.05)	0.58	0.64	1.07	0.25	0.21

Table 3. Effect of organic manures and nano-zinc on economics of Wheat

S. No.	Treatments	Economics			
		Cost of cultivation (INR/ha)	Gross return (INR/ha)	Net returns (INR/ha)	B C ratio (B:C)
1.	FYM 10t/ha + Nano-zinc 30ppm	44215.08	110287.50	66072.42	1.49
2.	FYM 10t/ha + Nano-zinc 40ppm	44218.44	110925.00	66706.56	1.50
3.	FYM 10t/ha + Nano-zinc 50ppm	44221.80	111987.50	67765.70	1.53
4.	Panchagavya 3% + Nano-zinc 30ppm	39664.08	113900.00	74235.92	1.87
5.	Panchagavya 3% + Nano-zinc 40ppm	39668.44	116450.00	76781.56	1.93
6.	Panchagavya 3% + Nano-zinc 50ppm	39671.80	118787.50	79115.70	1.99
7.	FYM 5t/ha + Panchagavya 1.5% + Nano-zinc 30ppm	41940.80	122612.50	82156.56	1.95
8.	FYM 5t/ha + Panchagavya 1.5% + Nano-zinc 40ppm	41943.44	124100.00	82156.56	1.95
9.	FYM 5t/ha + Panchagavya 1.5% + Nano-zinc 50ppm	41946.80	127712.50	85765.70	2.04
10.	Control (RDF 150:60:40 NPK kg/ha)	39205.00	105825.00	66620.00	1.69

4. CONCLUSION

Based on above findings, it is concluded that the application of FYM 5t/ha, Panchagavya 1.5% and Nano-zinc 50ppm has performed better in growth parameters and yield attributes in wheat.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are thankful to Department of Agronomy, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj -211007, Uttar Pradesh, India for providing us necessary facilities to undertake the studies.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. FAO Expert Meeting. Rome on "How to Feed the World in 2050; 2009
2. Khan S, Memon AN, Deverajani BR, Baloch S. Physicochemical characteristics of wheat grain and their relation with proteins in different varieties cultivated in Sindh. Sindh University Research Journal. 2015;47(4):839-842.
3. Sendhil R, Randhir Singh, Satyvir Singh, Anuj Kumar, Indu Sharma. An exploration into changing food consumption pattern in India. Crop Improvement 2012;(Special Issue):1315-1316.

4. Bhattacharyay D, Maitra S, Pine S, Shankar T, Pedda Ghouse Peera SK. Future of precision agriculture in India. In: Protected Cultivation and Smart Agriculture, Eds. Maitra et al., New Delhi Publishers, India. 2020:289-299.
5. Hossain A, Skalicky M, Brestic M, Mahari S, Kerry RG, Maitra S, Sarkar S, Saha S, Bhadra P, Popov M, Islam M. Application of nanomaterials to ensure quality and nutritional safety of food. Journal of Nanomaterials. 2021:1-25.
6. Seyed TSV, Yousef N, Hormoz FA, Davood BT. Rice output and quality in response to nano-fertilizers versus traditional fertilisers. J. Plant Nutrition; 2020. DOI: 10.1080/01904167.2021.1884701
7. Abdel WMM, Mahmoud MS, Hoda S, Rasha RE, Hassan MR, Abdeldaym EA. Nanopotassium, nanosilicon, and biochar applications improve potato salt tolerance by modulating photosynthesis, water status, and biochemical constituents. Sust. 2022;14:723-746.
8. Salhy AM, Al-Wasfy MM, Badawy EFM, Gouda FM, Shamroukh AA. Effect of organic manures fertilization on fruiting of Zaghoul date palm. Int. J. Agric. Sci. 2021;3(1):1-9.
9. Swaroop BT, Debbarma V. Effect of organic manures and zinc on growth, yield and economics of foxtail millet (*Setaria italica* L.). International Journal of Environment and Climate Change. 2023;13(8):344-351. Article no. IJECC.100038

10. Roopashree DH, Nagaraju YM, Ramesha T, Bhagyalakshmi, Raghavendra S. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth and yield of baby corn (*Zea mays* L.). *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*. 2019;8(6):766-772.
11. Raghavendra KV, Gowthami R, et al. Panchagavya in organic crop production. *Pop. Kheti*. 2014;2(2):233-236.

© 2023 Prasanghi and Umesha; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/104988>