

International Journal of Plant & Soil Science

Volume 35, Issue 21, Page 810-815, 2023; Article no.IJPSS.108362 ISSN: 2320-7035

Correlation Coefficient Analysis for Seed Yield and its Component Traits in Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*, Fabaceae)

Vipul Sharma ^a, Sanchit Thakur ^{a*}, Anurag Kumar ^{b++} and Muntazir Mushtaq ^a

^a MS Swaminathan School of Agriculture, Shoolini University, Solan, H.P.-173229, India. ^b Genetics and Plant Breeding, MS Swaminathan School of Agriculture, Shoolini University, Solan, HP 173229, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2023/v35i214048

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/108362

Original Research Article

Received: 21/08/2023 Accepted: 28/10/2023 Published: 01/11/2023

ABSTRACT

The present investigation was carried out at Chamelty Agriculture Farm of M.S. Swaminathan School of Agriculture, Shoolini University of Biotechnology and Management Sciences, Bajhol, Solan, *Himachal Pradesh* (H.P.) to examine the quantitative traits of chickpea germplasm and their relationship to seed yield. Seed yield per plant exhibited positive and highly significant correlations with biological yield per plant, harvest index, number of seeds per pod and number of pods per plant. The positive non- significant correlations of seed yield per plant were found with plant height (cm), primary branches per plant, 100-seed weight (g), days to 50 per cent flowering, days to flower initiation and days to maturity. These characters emerged as most important factors in influencing seed yield in chickpea.

Keywords: Chickpea; germplasm; harvest index; correlations.

++ Assistant professor;

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: sanchitthakur07@gmail.com;

Int. J. Plant Soil Sci., vol. 35, no. 21, pp. 810-815, 2023

1. INTRODUCTION

Chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.) is a self-pollinated crop and belongs to kingdom Plantae, order Fabales, family Fabaceae (Leguminosae), genus Cicer and species arietinum with a chromosome number 2n= 16. The crop is grown traditionally in semi-arid zones of Middle-East, Pakistan and India. According to Vavilov [1] there are two primary centers of diversity of chickpea namely South-West Asia and Mediterranean center whereas; Ethiopia was designated as secondary center. Legumes have been cultivated for human consumption since ancient times. The largest producer and consumer of pulse crops worldwide is India. For people all across the world, pulses are the main source of carbs, proteins, fats, vitamins, and minerals [2]. When combined with cereals, pulses enhance the nutritional value and bioavailability of nutrients. About twice as much protein as wheat and three times as much as rice is found in pulses (22-24%). Pulses are one of the least expensive sources of protein and are crucial for meeting nutritional needs in emerging and underdeveloped nations. Legumes are therefore recommended for glycemic-influenced treatment of diabetes. Broadly, there are two types of chickpeas; large seeded kabuli chickpea and small seeded desi chickpea. Chickpea is also known as Bengal Gram, Garbanzo bean and Chana in different chickpea producing areas of the world.

"The degree of genetic diversity contained in the breeding material and the degree to which yield and quality traits are passed down from generation to generation are the two key factors that determine how well a crop may be genetically improved. Estimates of genotypic and phenotypic coefficient are required to comprehend how certain characteristics are affected by environment" Anusha et al., [3] The degree of heritable and non-heritable variation in the expression of the traits, as well as their nature and quantity in relation to one another. determined how far plant breeding had advanced. Like other crops, the chickpea had a very complicated character when it comes to seed output. Given that seed yield is the result of multiplicative interactions between different yield components, components rather than yield as a whole were found to be better in understanding the genetic architecture of seed yield.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present investigation was carried out at Chamelty Agriculture Farm of M.S. Swaminathan

School of Agriculture, Shoolini University of and Management Sciences. Biotechnoloav Bajhol, Solan (H.P.), India to examine the quantitative traits of chickpea germplasm and their relationship to seed yield. The collections of fifty-one genotypes of chickpea (Cicer arietinum) germplasm (Table 1) consisting of indigenous as well as two check varieties, constituted the experimental materials for this study. These genotypes exhibiting wide spectrum of variability various agronomic and morphological for characters were obtained and studied in our present investigation. The experimental material was evaluated in Augmented Block Design during rabi (2022). The experimental field was divided into 4 blocks of equal size. Fifteen entries including 3 checks were accommodated in each block. Each plot consisted of a single row of 5 m length, inter and intra-row spacing of 30 cm and 10 cm respectively. To avoid the border effects the experimental plot was surrounded from all sides by non-experimental rows. Suitable cultivation practices were followed to raise a good crop. Five competitive plants from each plot were randomly selected for the recording of observations on twelve characters.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The estimate of simple correlation coefficient among 12 characters under study is presented in Table 1. Days to flower initiation showed positive and highly significant correlations with days to 50 per cent flowering. Pods per plant exhibited positive and highly significant associations with seed yield per plant (g), harvest index (%), biological yield per plant (g) and 100-seed weight (g). Seeds per pod showed positive and highly significant correlations with seed yield per plant (g), biological yield per plant (g), harvest index (%) and number of pods per pod. Plant showed height positive correlations with biological yield per plant (g), harvest index (%), seed yield per plant (g), number of seeds per pod, number of pods per plant, primary branches per plant and days to flower initiation. Biological yield per plant showed positive and highly significant correlations with seed yield per plant (g) and number of seeds per pod, number of pods per plant and harvest index (%). 100-seed weight showed positive and highly significant correlations with number of pods per plant. Harvest index showed positive and highly significant correlations with seed yield per plant, pods per plant (g), biological yield per plant (g) and number of seeds per pod. Seed yield per plant exhibited positive and highly significant

Та	bl	е́	1.E	Estin	nates	s of	sir	np	e c	or	rela	atic	on	CO	effi	ici	ent	sk	betv	veer	າ 12	2 C	ha	rac	ters	s in	ch	ic	kpe	ea c	ienot	vc	es
																		_															

DFI	DF (50%)	DM	PB	SB	PP	SPP	PH (cm)	BYP	100SW(g)	HI (%)	Seed yield(g)
1.000	0.879**	0.113	-0.186	0.102	0.097	-0.009	0.057	-0.014	0.058	0.170	0.034
	1.000	0.159	-0.290*	0.104	0.068	0.012	-0.065	0.039	0.009	0.064	0.045
		1.000	-0.179	-0.199	-0.063	0.172	-0.071	0.063	-0.033	-0.073	0.032
			1.000	-0.035	-0.021	0.196	0.198	0.197	-0.047	-0.042	0.144
				1.000	0.173	-0.163	-0.015	-0.065	0.096	-0.193	-0.112
					1.000	0.283*	0.175	0.521**	0.370**	0.535**	0.593**
						1.000	0.163	0.636**	-0.101	0.405**	0.650**
							1.000	0.103	-0.148	0.085	0.117
								1.000	0.080	0.489**	0.965**
									1.000	0.082	0.087
										1.000	0.696**
											1.000
	DFI 1.000	DFI DF (50%) 1.000 0.879** 1.000 1.000	DFI DF (50%) DM 1.000 0.879** 0.113 1.000 0.159 1.000	DFI DF (50%) DM PB 1.000 0.879** 0.113 -0.186 1.000 0.159 -0.290* 1.000 1.000 -0.179 1.000 1.000 1.000	DFI DF (50%) DM PB SB 1.000 0.879** 0.113 -0.186 0.102 1.000 0.159 -0.290* 0.104 1.000 -0.179 -0.199 1.000 1.000 -0.035 1.000 -0.179 1.000	DFI DF (50%) DM PB SB PP 1.000 0.879** 0.113 -0.186 0.102 0.097 1.000 0.159 -0.290* 0.104 0.068 1.000 -0.179 -0.199 -0.063 1.000 1.000 -0.035 -0.021 1.000 1.000 1.000 0.173 1.000 4.444 4.444 4.444	DFI DF (50%) DM PB SB PP SPP 1.000 0.879** 0.113 -0.186 0.102 0.097 -0.009 1.000 0.159 -0.290* 0.104 0.068 0.012 1.000 -0.179 -0.199 -0.063 0.172 1.000 -0.179 -0.035 -0.021 0.196 1.000 1.000 1.000 0.173 -0.163 1.000 -0.179 -0.05 1.000 0.283* 1.000 -0.173 -0.163 1.000 0.283*	DFI DF (50%) DM PB SB PP SPP PH (cm) 1.000 0.879** 0.113 -0.186 0.102 0.097 -0.009 0.057 1.000 0.159 -0.290* 0.104 0.068 0.012 -0.065 1.000 -0.179 -0.199 -0.063 0.172 -0.071 1.001 -0.179 -0.035 -0.021 0.196 0.198 1.001 1.000 0.173 -0.163 -0.015 1.001 1.000 0.173 -0.163 -0.015 1.000 0.173 -0.163 0.175 1.000 0.163 1.001 -1.001 1.001 0.283* 0.175 1.000 1.000	DFI DF (50%) DM PB SB PP SPP PH (cm) BYP 1.000 0.879** 0.113 -0.186 0.102 0.097 -0.009 0.057 -0.014 1.000 0.159 -0.290* 0.104 0.068 0.012 -0.065 0.039 1.000 -0.179 -0.199 -0.063 0.172 -0.071 0.063 1.000 -0.179 -0.035 -0.021 0.196 0.198 0.197 1.000 -0.035 -0.021 0.163 -0.015 -0.065 1.000 0.173 -0.163 -0.015 -0.065 1.000 0.283* 0.175 0.521** 1.000 0.283* 0.163 0.636** 1.000 I.000 0.163 0.636** 1.000 I.000 I.000 I.000 I.000	DFI DF (50%) DM PB SB PP SPP PH (cm) BYP 100SW(g) 1.000 0.879** 0.113 -0.186 0.102 0.009 -0.009 0.057 -0.014 0.058 1.000 0.159 -0.290* 0.104 0.068 0.012 -0.065 0.039 0.009 1.000 -0.179 -0.199 -0.063 0.172 -0.071 0.063 -0.037 1.000 -0.179 -0.035 -0.021 0.196 0.198 0.197 -0.047 1.000 -0.035 -0.021 0.196 0.198 0.197 -0.047 1.000 0.173 -0.163 0.015 -0.065 0.096 1.000 0.173 0.163 0.636** 0.101 1.000 0.163 0.636** -0.101 1.000 0.163 0.636** -0.148 1.000 I.000 I.000 I.000 I.000	DFI DF (50%) DM PB SB PP SPP PH (cm) BYP 100SW(g) HI (%) 1.000 0.879** 0.113 -0.186 0.102 0.097 -0.009 0.057 -0.014 0.058 0.170 1.000 0.159 -0.290* 0.104 0.068 0.012 -0.065 0.039 0.009 0.064 1.000 -0.179 -0.199 -0.063 0.172 -0.071 0.063 -0.033 -0.073 1.000 -0.179 -0.035 -0.021 0.196 0.198 0.197 -0.047 -0.042 1.000 0.173 -0.163 -0.015 -0.065 0.096 -0.193 1.000 0.173 -0.163 0.175 0.521** 0.370** 0.535** 1.000 0.163 0.636** -0.101 0.405** 1.000 0.163 0.636** -0.101 0.405** 1.000 0.103 -0.148 0.082 1.000 </td

Note: DFI= days initial flowering; DF= days flowering (50%); DM= days to maturity; PB= primary branches / plant; SB= secondary branches/ plant; PP= No. pods/plant; SPP= seeds per pod; PH= plant height in cm; BYP= biological yield/ plant; 100SW= 100 seed weight in grams; HI= harvest index (%); SY= seed yield in grams *significant at 5% probability level

correlations with biological yield per plant, harvest index, number of seeds per pod and number of pods per plant.

Similar results were reported by Qurban et al. [4]. In their findings, "seed yield per plant exhibited positive and highly significant correlations with biological yield per plant, harvest index, number of seeds per pod and number of pods per plant". exhibited negative nonsignificant They associations of seed yield per plant with per secondary branches plant). These characters emerged as most important factors in influencing seed yield in chickpea. The strong positive correlation of seed yield with the characters mentioned above has also been reported earlier in chickpea [5-23].

Biological yield per plant showed positive and highly significant correlations with seed yield per plant (g) and number of seeds per pod. number of pods per plant and harvest index (%). "It showed positively non-significant correlations with primary branches per plant, plant height (cm), days to maturity, days to 50 per cent flowering and 100-seed weight (g), and negative non-significant correlation with days to flower initiation, secondary branches per plant. Such associations of biological yield per plant with the characters mentioned above looks logical as these traits are responsible for increasing either vegetative phase contributing straw yield or seed yield. Furthermore; plant height, primary and secondary branches per plant and pods per plant were also positively associated with each other and these traits add towards higher biomass". (Lal et al., [24] Arora and Kumar [25] Rao et al., [6] Naseem et al. [26] Ali and Tahir [7] Wahid and Ahmad [27] Singh et al. [8] Muhammad et al. [28] Muhammad et al., [29] Rao and Rao, [11] Khan et al. [30] Singh [13] Singh and Sindhu, [14] Yucel et al. [17] Ojha et al., [18] and Singh and Shiva, [19] Aycicek and Babagil, [20] Hasan and Deb's, [22] and Kumar et al., [23].

Days to flower initiation showed positive and highly significant correlations with days to 50 per cent flowering. Days to 50 per cent flowering showed positive and highly significant correlation with flower initiation while it showed positive non-significant correlation with days to maturity, secondary branches per plant, number of pods per plant, seeds per pod, biological yield per plant (g), seed yield per plant (g) harvest index (%), and 100-seed weight (g). Days to maturity showed positive and non- significant correlation with flower initiation, days to 50

per cent flowering, number of seeds per pod, biological yield per plant (g) and seed yield per plant (g). Pods per plant exhibited positive and highly significant associations with seed yield per plant (g), harvest index (%), biological yield per plant (g) and 100-seed weight (g). Seeds per pod positive and highly significant showed correlations with seed yield per plant (g), biological yield per plant (g), harvest index (%) and number of pods per pod. 100-seed weight and showed positive hiahlv significant correlations with number of pods per plant while its positively non-significant correlation was found with biological yield per plant (g), secondary branches per plant, days to flower initiation, days to maturity. Harvest index showed positive and highly significant correlations with seed vield per plant, pods per plant (g), biological yield per plant (g) and number of seeds per pod. Fortunately, the correlation coefficients between important yield components were positively significant bearing a few exceptions due to weather differences. This reveals a less complex situation in attaining a proper balance between yield and its important components in context of chickpea germplasm used in present study as compared to complexities that often arise due to existence of strong negative and positive associations among various characters in this crop as well as many other crops. Most of the correlation coefficients obtained in present study are broadly in conformity with previous reports in chickpea (Bhaduoria et al., [9] Muhammad et al., [29] Rao and Rao, [11] Yucel et al., [31] Singh and Sindhu, [14] Yadav et al., [16] Ojha et al., [18] and Singh and Shiva, [19] Aycicek and Babagil, [20] Joshi and Yasin, [21] Hasan and Deb, [22] and Kumar et al., [23] Gulwane et al. [32] Neha et al. [33].

4. CONCLUSION

Seed yield per plant exhibited positive and highly significant correlations with biological yield per plant, harvest index, number of seeds per pod and number of pods per plant. The positive nonsignificant correlations of seed yield per plant were found with plant height (cm), primary branches per plant, 100-seed weight (g), days to 50 per cent flowering, days to flower initiation and days to maturity. These characters emerged as most important factors in influencing seed yield in chickpea. Fortunately, the correlation coefficients between important yield components were positively significant bearing a few exceptions. This reveals a less complex situation in attaining a proper balance between yield and its important components in context of chickpea germplasm used in present study as compared to complexities that often arise due to existence of strong negative and positive associations among various characters in this crop as well as many other crops.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. Vavilov NI. Studies on the origins of cultivated plants. Bull. Appl. Plant Breed. 1926;16:1-245.
- Aykroyd WR, Doughty J. Legumes in Human Nutrition; FAO Food Nutr., 20, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy, 1982;1-152.
- Anusha T, Trivikrama RA, Jayalakshmi V, Khayum AS. Genetic Variability Studies for Yield and Quality Traits in Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 2020;9(9):2995-3000.
- Qurban A, Muhammad A, Jehanzeb, Farooq, Muhammad S. Genetic variability and trait association in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding. 2010;1(3):328-333.
- 5. Bhambola SK, Sood BC and Gartan SL. Contribution of different characters towards seed yield in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Indian J.Genet. 1994;54(4):381-388.
- Rao SS, Sinha R, Das GS. Genetic variability, heritability, expected genetic advance and correlation studies in chickpea. Indian J. Pulses Res.1994;7(1): 25-27.
- Ali Y, Tahir GR. Correlation and regression studies in chickpea genotypes. Pakistan J. Biol. Sci. 1999;2(2):318-319.
- 8. Singh NP, Krishna R, Kumar R. An assay of effects of different traits on chickpea grain yield. Ann. Agril. Res. 2001;22(4): 564-569.
- 9. Bhaduoria P, Chaturvedi SK Awasthi NNC. Character association and path coefficient analysis in chickpea. Ann. Agril. Res., 2003;24(3):684-685.
- 10. Ciftci V, Togay N, Togay Y, Dogan Y. Determining relationship among yield and some yield components using path coefficient analysis in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Asian J. Plant Sci.

(Pakistan), 2004;3(5):632-635.

- 11. Rao CM, Rao YK. Association and path analysis under four environments in chickpea. Legume Res., 2005;28(4):272-275.
- 12. Meena HS, Kumar J, Deshmukh PS. Genetic variability and correlation studies for traits related to drought tolerance in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Indian J. Genet. 2006;66(2):140.
- Singh SP. Correlation and Path coefficient analysis in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). International J. Plant Sci., Muzaffarnagar. 2007;2 (1):1-4.
- 14. Singh A, Sindhu JS. Correlation and path analysis in chickpea under different environments. J. Food Legumes. 2008; 21(2):145-148.
- Gohil DP, Patel JD. Character association and path analysis in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) under conserved soil moisture. Legume Research. 2015; 33(4):283-286.
- Yadav AK, Mishra SB, Singh SS, Madhuri, A. Character association and genetic divergence study in chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.). Environment and Ecology. 2010;28(2B):1276-1280.
- Yucel, Ozveren D, Anlarsal DE. Determination of selection criteria with path coefficient analysis in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) breeding. Bulg. J. Agric. Sci. 2010;16:42-48.
- Ojha, Vinay S, Shiva N, Singh R. Correlation and Path analysis in chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.). Progressive Research. 2011;6(1):66-68.
- 19. Singh AP, Shiva N. Genetic diversity among the germplasm for selection of parents for hybridization programme in chickpea. Progressive Research, 2012;7 (2):256-258.
- Aycicek M, Babagil GE. Correlation and path analysis of yield and some yield components in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Journal of Food, Agriculture & Environment, 2013;11(3/4):748-749.
- 21. Joshi P, Yasin M. Interrelationship among yield and yield contributing traits in RILs and their parents in Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Indian J. Applied & Pure Bio. 2015;30(1):97-100.
- 22. Hasan MT Deb, AC. Genetic variability and correlation study in chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.). International Journal of Sustainable Agricultural Technology. 2017; 9(1):8-11.

- Kumar A, Nath SY, Kumar A, Kumar J. Correlation and path coefficient analysis for various quantitative in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Journal of Pharmacognosy and phytochemistry. 2018;(SPI):2695-2699.
- 24. Lal R, Bhango BK, Gupta VP. Variability, correlation and path analysis in gram (Cicer arietinum L.). Hariyana Agric. Uni. J. Res. 1993;23(1):1-3.
- 25. Arora PP, Kumar L. Correlation coefficient and path coefficient analysis in chickpea. Indian J. Pulses Res. 1994;7(2):177-178.
- 26. Naseem BA, Lehman A, Iqbal T. Evaluation of Kabuli chickpea germplasm. International Chickpea and Pigeon pea Newsletter No. 1995;2(1):13-14.
- 27. Wahid MA, Ahmad R. Genetic variability, correlation studies and their implication in selection on high yielding genotypes in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Sarhad. J. Agril. 1991;15(1):25-28.
- Muhammad A, Bakhsh A, Zubair M, Ghaffor A. Genetic variability and correlation studies in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Pakistan J. Botany. 2003;35 (4):605-611

- 29. Muhammad A, Bakhsh A, Abdul G. Path coefficient analysis in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Pakistan J. Botany. 2004;36 (1):75-81.
- Khan H, Ahmad SQ, Ahmad F, Khan MS, Nayyar I. Genetic variability and correlation among quantitative traits in gram. Sarhad J. Agri., 2006;22(1): 55-59.
- Yucel DO, Aularsal AE, Yucel C. Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis of yield and yield components in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Turkish J. Agril. and Forestry. 2006;30(3):183-188.
- 32. Gulwane VP, Deore GN, Thakare DS. Correlation and path analysis studies in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). The Pharma Innovation Journal; 2022;11(12):1251-1255.
- 33. Neha SN. Tarkeshwar T. Govind M. Analysis of Correlation and Path yield and Coefficient for Grain its Attributing Traits in Chickpea (Cicer arietinum under Timely L.) Sown conditions. Forum Biological An Journal. International 2022;14(2): 926-929.

© 2023 Sharma et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/108362