



Evaluation of Nutritional and Sensory Quality of Functional Extruded Paneer Produced from Soy Flour Blended with Reconstituted Skim Milk Paneer

Khushal Solanki ^{a++*} and Arunkumar H ^{a#}

^a Department of Dairy Technology, DSC, KVAFSU, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/EJNFS/2024/v16i21392

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/113154>

Original Research Article

Received: 17/12/2023

Accepted: 21/02/2024

Published: 04/03/2024

ABSTRACT

A study was conducted to prepare functional extruded paneer by using of soy flour with reconstituted skim milk paneer which could be used as protein and iron rich extruded food. The research evaluated nutritional and sensory quality of the functional extruded paneer with the level of soy flour 5, 10 and 15% respectively. The study showed better sensory score of 10% soy flour blended reconstituted skim milk paneer with the respect of flavor, body & texture and overall acceptability. The other treated sample (control, 5 and 15%) were found lesser sensory score compare to 10% treated sample. The functional characteristics such as Water Solubility Index (WSI), Water Absorption Index (WAI) and Oil Absorption Index (OAI) values were significantly influence by the soy flour in the treated samples. The WSI and WAI significantly increases but OAI was decreases with significantly increase in soy flour levels. The nutritional composition highest found in 15% level of soy flour sample with moisture (5.97%), fat (17.79%), protein (45.86%), minerals (2.96%), fibre (2.21%) and iron (1.84 mg/100gm) but the carbohydrates content was

⁺⁺ PhD Scholar;

[#] Head and Professor;

^{*}Corresponding author: Email: khushalsolanki1995@gmail.com;

recorded highest in control sample with 28.81% and lesser in 15% soy flour treated sample (25.21%). The developed product could be replace the another breakfast cereals based product and it is ready to eat food so there is no time are required for preparation. The functional extruded paneer had excellent source of energy with respect to fat, protein, fibre and iron. The increasing of soy flour blending in sample the nutritional characteristics was increases and it can be helpful for the children, pregnant women and people suffering from the anemia disease. It could to reduce the deficiency of the anemia among the people.

Keywords: Soy flour; extruded paneer; sensory and nutritional characteristics.

1. INTRODUCTION

“One of the main obstacles to the worldwide is shortage of food due to the growing population, which makes it more challenging to ensure that nutrition requirements can be satisfied in a way that is economical, healthful, and sustainable. Food extrusion is the technique of forcing food ingredients through a die, or aperture, that is intended to form and/or expand the material, through a number of treatments, such as kneading, melting, and/or shearing. One of the main benefits of extrusion cooking is its ability to produce a wide variety of extruded products from low-cost raw materials with short processing periods” [1]. This technology, known as "extrusion-cooking," has widespread application in the agri-food processing sector. It is a popular unit operation for making a wide range of food items, including those composed of starch, protein, lipids, water, and additives, all of which require different processing conditions [2]. A wide range of items are included in the category of extruded food, including breakfast cereals, cookies, almonds, and cereal-based snacks that provide nutritional fibre [3]. Among legumes and cereals, soybeans have the highest protein content at almost 40 % (dry basis), making them one of the best plant sources of protein [4]. All of the essential amino acids required for optimal health are present in soy proteins. About four times the protein of wheat and six times the protein of rice grains, soybeans are also high in calcium, phosphorus, and vitamins A, B, C, and D [5]. Paneer (fresh cheese) is a traditional Indian acid-coagulated dairy product and carry a lot of market potential. It can be used in raw form or used in the preparation of several varieties of culinary dishes and fried snacks [6]. A significant proportion of the population in India is vegetarian. For vegetarians, paneer serves as a "meat substitute." For those who are vegetarians it is a good source of high-quality animal protein [7]. Skimmed milk powder, also known as fat loose dried milk, is the milk powder produced by using evaporating the water from the skimmed or

0.5 % fats milk with the aid of warmness remedy. It's miles a creamy excellent powder to experience, white in shade. Skimmed milk powder carries nearly the same amount of proteins (26 %) and carbohydrates (37 %) as within the liquid form on dry foundation [8]. The main objective of the present study is to evaluate the nutritional and sensory characteristics of functional extruded paneer which prepared from the different ingredient such as soy flour, refined wheat flour, pectin and skim milk powder.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The purchased of Nandini brand skim milk powder from Karnataka Milk Federation (KMF) stores in Bengaluru. The supplier of pectin was Silvateam in Bengaluru. Soy flour was procured from Arena Organica, Sikar. Good quality refined wheat flour was procured from More Retail Limited, Mumbai.

2.1 Preparation of Functional Extruded Paneer from Soy Flour Blended with Reconstituted Skim Milk Paneer

The reconstituted skim milk was prepared as per outlined by Khan et al. [9] with slightly modification in the ratio of skim milk powder and water (1:7) followed by heating to 90 °C without holding then cooled to 60 °C for coagulation separately and mixed with 1 per cent citric acid solution as coagulating agent. The coagulum thus obtained was left undisturbed for approximately 5 min. Whey had drained through a fine muslin cloth and collect the paneer. The soy flour (5, 10 and 15 %) was blended with prepared reconstituted skim milk paneer to make a dough. The dough was extruded by using single screw extruder and followed by frying (110 °C) [9].

2.2 Preparation of Control Sample

The control sample was prepared by using 7.5 per cent refined wheat flour and 0.3 per cent

pectin blended with reconstituted skim milk paneer and then make a dough. The prepared dough was passed through a single screw extruder for extrusion and followed by frying by using sunflower oil at 110 °C.

2.3 Sensory Characteristics

Semi-trained judges have evaluated the functional extruded paneer sensory qualities on a 9-point hedonic scale on frequently. Before being distributed to the judges for sensory evaluation, the evaluation samples were properly coded. Panelists were requested to evaluate the sample according to the following sensory characteristics: body & texture, flavor, color and appearance, and overall acceptability.

2.4 Functional Characteristics

The methodology described by Yagci and Gogus [10] was followed in order to determine the Water Solubility Index (WSI) and the Water Absorption Index (WAI). The Oil Absorption Index (OAI) was determined using a procedure as Aditi and Arivuchudar [11] provide.

2.5 Nutritional Characteristics

The sample's moisture content was measured using ISI: SP 18 (Part XI) 1981. The sample's fat content was evaluated using the ether extract method in accordance with ISI: SP 18 (Part XI) 1981 protocol. The Microkjeldhal method was used to estimate the protein content in accordance with the guidelines provided in ISI: SP 18 (Part XI) 1981 [12]. The fiber content of samples was estimated using the procedure described in AOAC [13]. Iron content of sample was estimated as per thiocyanate method using spectrophotometric analysis describe by Bhuvaneswari et al. [14]. The difference approach was used to determine the amount of carbohydrates. It can be computed by deducting from 100 the total of the moisture, fat, protein, fibre and iron values (per 100 g).

3. RESULTS

3.1 Effect of Blending Different Levels of Soy Flour on Sensory Characteristics of Functional Extruded Paneer

The Control sample was denoted as T₀ contains 7.5 % refined wheat flour and pectin blended with reconstituted skim milk powder. The T₁ sample was prepared by blending soy flour at 5.0 % level. The T₂ sample was prepared by blending

soy flour at 10.0 % level and T₃ sample was prepared by blending soy flour at 15.0 % level.

From Table 1 the color and appearance scores award for control was lowest (7.69) and highest for the sample at 15 per cent level of soy flour (7.95). Among the all treatments, as the per cent incorporation of soy flour increased; the color and appearance score was also increased. However, statistical analysis says that there was a no significant difference at (P≤0.05) level. The flavor score of control 7.43 against 7.91, 7.99 and 6.91 out of 9.0 point hedonic scale with respect to T₁, T₂ and T₃ respectively as seen in Table 1. The significant increase in the soy flour non significant increase in the flavor score up to 10 per cent afterward it was decreases significantly. Statistical significant difference observed between control, 5, 10 per cent and 15 per cent level treatment of soy flour. The body and texture score for control, T₁, T₂ and T₃ were 7.49, 7.96, 8.45 and 7.07. It was observed from Table 1 there was significantly increasing of body and texture score up to 10 per cent added soy flour in the product. Further it was decreased in the body and texture score. Statistical analysis revealed that there was a significant difference between control and T₂ treatment and also with T₃ at (P≤0.05) level. The T₃ sample secured lowest overall acceptability scores (7.11) and highest score was recorded by T₂ (8.33). From (Table 1) it showed that as the soy flour levels increases the overall acceptability scores was significantly increased up to 10. Statistically, significant difference was noticed between control and treatments T₂ as well as with T₃ sample with respect to its overall acceptability at (P≤0.05) level.

3.2 Effect of Blending Different Levels of Soy Flour on Functional Characteristics of Functional Extruded Paneer

The highest WSI (7.79 per cent) was recorded in 15 per cent soy flour sample. Among the treated sample control sample was lowest WSI (6.79 per cent). The significant increase in the WSI with significant increase in the soy flour levels as seen in Fig. 1. Statistical analysis revealed that there was a significant difference between control and treatment T₁, T₂ and T₃ samples. From Fig. 1 the WAI recorded for control was 6.65 per cent followed by 7.44, 7.56 and 7.70 per cent for T₁, T₂ and T₃. The highest WAI recorded by 15 per cent soy flour added extruded paneer. Statistical significant difference was noticed

between control and treatment T₁, T₂ and T₃ samples at (P≤0.05) level. The OAI of control sample, 5, 10 and 15 per cent soy flour added product were 6.81, 6.34, 5.91 and 5.79 per cent respectively. The OAI of control sample was significantly higher to T₂ and T₃. Statistical analysis revealed there was a significant difference between control and treated sample as well as within treatments at (P≤0.05) level as per Fig. 1.

3.3 Effect of Blending Different Levels of Soy Flour on Nutritional Characteristics of Functional Extruded Paneer

The highest moisture per cent (6.85 per cent) was recorded by control sample. The moisture per cent was recorded as 6.85, 6.76, 6.27 and 5.97 per cent by control, T₁, T₂ and T₃ treatments respectively. From (Table 2) showed there was a significant difference between control and treatments as well as within treatments at (P≤0.05) level. The fat content of control sample had 17.26 per cent followed by 16.81, 17.37 and 17.79 per cent at 5, 10 and 15 per cent of soy flour added extruded paneer. Statistically, significant difference was noticed between control and treatments T₁ and T₃ in Table 2. The protein per cent highest was recorded in 15 per cent of soy flour (45.86 per cent) and lowest was recorded by control sample (44.84 per cent) as recorded in Table 2. The protein per cent were 44.84, 44.88, 45.30 and 45.86 per cent for

control, T₁, T₂ and T₃ treatments respectively. Statistical analysis noticed there was a significant difference between control and treatments T₂ and T₃ and also found significant different to T₁. Increased in minerals content with increased the level of soy flour was noticed, the data recorded to minerals content were 2.03, 2.78, 2.89 and 2.96 per cent for control, 5, 10 and 15 per cent level of soy flour respectively. There was a significant difference between control and treatments T₁, T₂ and T₃ at (P≤0.05) level. The fibre content with respect to control, T₁, T₂ and T₃ were 0.21, 1.04, 1.68 and 2.21 per cent respectively as seen in Table 2. However, statistical analysis says there was a significant difference between control and treatments as well as within treatments at (P≤0.05) level. From Table 2 the highest iron content was recorded by T₃ treated sample (1.84 mg/100g). The iron content were 0.0, 1.51, 1.67 and 1.84 mg/100g for control, T₁, T₂ and T₃. Significant difference was noticed between control and treatments at (P≤0.05) level. The carbohydrates content was decreased with increasing the soy flour level in functional extruded paneer as noticeable in Table 2. The carbohydrates content for control sample, 5, 10 and 15 per cent soy flour added product were 28.81, 27.73, 26.49 and 25.21 per cent respectively. Statistical analysis reveals there was a significant difference between control and treatments as well as within treatments T₁, T₂ and T₃ samples at (P≤0.05) level.

Table 1. Effect of blending different levels of soy flour on sensory characteristics of functional extruded paneer

Treatments	Sensory characteristics			
	Color and appearance	Flavor	Body and texture	Overall acceptability
T ₀	7.69	7.43 ^a	7.49 ^a	7.47 ^a
T ₁	7.75	7.91 ^a	7.96 ^{ab}	7.94 ^{ab}
T ₂	7.82	7.99 ^a	8.45 ^b	8.33 ^b
T ₃	7.95	6.91 ^b	7.07 ^c	7.11 ^a
CD (P≤0.05)	NS	0.49	0.51	0.55

Note: All values are average of three trails
Similar superscripts indicate non-significant (NS) at corresponding critical difference (CD)




Fig. 1. Effect of blending different levels of soy flour on functional characteristics of functional extruded paneer

Table 2. Effect of blending different levels of soy flour on nutritional characteristics of functional extruded paneer

Treatments	Moisture Per cent	Fat	Protein	Minerals	Fibre	Iron (mg/100g)	Carbohydrates
T ₀	6.85 ^a	17.26 ^a	44.84 ^a	2.03 ^a	0.21 ^a	0.0 ^a	28.81 ^a
T ₁	6.76 ^a	16.81 ^b	44.88 ^a	2.78 ^b	1.04 ^b	1.51 ^b	27.73 ^b
T ₂	6.27 ^{bc}	17.37 ^a	45.30 ^b	2.89 ^b	1.68 ^b	1.67 ^b	26.49 ^c
T ₃	5.97 ^c	17.79 ^c	45.86 ^c	2.96 ^b	2.21 ^c	1.84 ^b	25.21 ^d
CD (P≤0.05)	0.49	0.39	0.41	0.43	0.43	0.42	0.47

Note: All values are average of three trails
Similar superscripts indicate non-significant (NS) at corresponding critical difference (CD)

4. DISCUSSION

4.1 Effect of Blending Different Levels of Soy Flour on Sensory Characteristics of Functional Extruded Paneer

The color and appearance score of 7.95 secured by T₃ sample was non-significant (P≤0.05) higher than control (7.69), T₁ (7.75) and T₂ (7.82). The slightly increasing in color and appearance could be due to denaturation of protein during frying which responsible to light brownish color of extruded paneer. Also reflects the suitability of raw material used for the preparation and provides information about the formulation and quality of the product. Patil et al. [15] found 32 per cent soy flour content cookies was significantly higher score in color and appearance (8.13). The sample T₂ had 7.99 flavor score was significantly (P≤0.05) higher than T₃ sample (6.91). The significant decrease in flavor score with increasing the soy flour level at 15 per cent could be due to the beany flavor of soy. Similar finding was obtained by Odiase et al. [16] found 10 per cent soy flour based meat ball was significantly highest score. The body and texture score for T₂ (8.45) was significantly higher than control (7.49) and T₃ (7.07). The (Table 2) showed that addition of soy flour up to 10 per cent level the product was develop crunchy with good body and textural characteristics but hard texture was noticed with increased the level of soy flour (15 per cent). Odiase et al. [16] found 10 and 15 per cent of soy flour was more acceptable in meatballs. Similar observation reported by Aleem et al. [17]. From the results it was noticed that the overall acceptability scores increase significantly till 10 per cent of soy flour addition in extruded paneer, afterwards it was decreased significantly (P≤0.05) could be due to hard body and beany flavor in the extruded

paneer. Similarly Biji et al. [18] reported significant increasing in overall acceptability score rice based traditional product (pedia). Similar results was observed by Kadirvel and Puraikalan [19].

4.2 Effect of Blending Different Levels of Soy Flour on Functional Characteristics of Functional Extruded Paneer

The T₃ sample (7.79 per cent) recorded significantly (P≤0.05) higher WSI to control sample (6.79 per cent), whereas; T₁ (7.56 per cent) and T₂ (7.69 per cent) found non significant to T₃. It was observed that significant increasing of WSI as the increasing soy flour level could be due to significant increasing in protein content of extruded paneer blended with soy flour. Similarly Tadesse et al. [20] reported the WSI value of extruded food were 7.51, 7.90 and 8.62 per cent. Similar data was observed by Olusegun et al. [21]. The WAI of control sample (6.65 per cent) was found significantly (P≤0.05) lower to other treatments T₁ (7.44 per cent), T₂ (7.56 per cent) and T₃ (7.70 per cent). The significant increasing of WAI in treated sample due to presence of hydrophillic group in soy flour which was responsible to increasing. Sudha et al. [22] reported WAI in instant vermicelli prepared with soy flour at 5, 10 and 15 per cent were 38.6, 40.0 and 42.0 per cent. Similar trends was observed by [23,24,25,20]. The significantly (P≤0.05) decreasing of OAI with increasing the soy flour level with respect to control sample might be due to presence of non-polar amino acids in soy protein which could be helps in less absorption of oil by extruded food. Otegbayo et al. [26] reported significant decreasing of OAI in the range of 2.15 to 1.66 per cent with increasing levels of soy flour. Similar data was found by Alpaslan and Hayta [27], Sudha et al. [22].

4.3 Effect of Blending Different Levels of Soy Flour on Nutritional Characteristics of Functional Extruded Paneer

It was observed that moisture content of control sample (6.85 per cent) was significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) higher than treatments T_2 (6.27 per cent) and T_3 (5.97 per cent). The significant decreasing of moisture content as the significant increasing of soy flour might be due to the fact that soy flour contain higher amount of total solids with high emulsifying properties compared to other flours. Similar finding was reported by Banureka and Mahendran [28], Olatidoye and Sobowale [29], Farzana and Mohajan [30], Taghdir et al. [5]. The T_3 had 17.79 per cent of fat content was significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) higher than control (17.26 per cent) and treatments T_1 (16.81 per cent) and T_2 (17.37 per cent). Figures shows significant increase in fat content with increasing the level of soy flour could be due to soy flour itself contains 19 per cent fat and also increasing of fat might be due to the holding of extra fat which has been used during frying. Similar findings were observed by Singh et al. [31], Ostermann-Porcel et al. [32]. The protein content of T_3 sample (45.86 per cent) was significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) higher than control sample (44.84 per cent) and treatments T_1 (44.88 per cent) and T_2 (45.30 per cent). The significant increasing of protein content with addition of soy flour in the extruded paneer could be due to higher amount of protein (36 per cent) present in soy flour. The protein content of the yoghurt sample produced from 100 per cent milk was 2.98 per cent as compare to 4.79, 6.03, 6.68 and 9.14 per cent for the yoghurt samples produced from 10, 20, 30 and 40 per cent whole soybean flour, respectively [33]. Similar results was reported by Alabi and Anuonye [34]. It can be seen from the results that T_3 sample had significantly higher value of minerals (2.96 per cent), fibre (2.21 per cent), iron (1.84 mg/100g) contents compare to control samples. The significant increasing of minerals, fibre and iron content with respect to soy flour added in products could be due to soy flour has been good source of iron content (0.16 per cent). Similar findings with respect to minerals, fibre and iron was observed by Ndife et al. [35], Ayo et al. [36], Haque et al. [37]. The carbohydrates content was significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) decreased as increasing the levels of soy flour. The control sample (28.81 per cent) was found significantly higher to other treated sample T_1 (27.73 per cent), T_2 (26.49 per cent) and T_3 (25.21 per cent). The variations in carbohydrate content of

extruded paneer may result from the difference in the level of fat, protein, minerals, fibre and moisture content of soy flours. Similar trend was reported by Islam et al. [38], Awasthi et al. [39].

5. CONCLUSION

Blending of soy flour with reconstituted skim milk paneer at different level (0, 5, 10 and 15 %) affected the functional, nutritional and sensory quality with significantly. Increases in soy flour the WAI and WSI were increases but OAI was decreases. The nutritional characteristics of functional extruded paneer increases with respect to increasing of soy flour while carbohydrates was decreased. The study was observed that sensory score of the functional extruded paneer increases up to 10 % of soy flour but further addition of soy flour at 15 % the sensory score decrease significantly. It can conclude that sensory score indicate highly acceptable of functional extruded paneer at 10 per cent level of soy flour.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author are acknowledge to Dairy Science College, KVAFSU, Benagluru, India for providing the all facilities for successful conduct of research work.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Offiah, V, Kontogiorgos V and Kolawole O. Extrusion processing of raw food materials and byproducts: A review. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*. 2018; 59(18):1-60.
2. Navale SA, Swami SB, Thakor NJ. Extrusion cooking technology for foods: A review. *Journal of Ready to Eat Food*. 2015;2(3):66-80.
3. Varsha K, Mohan S. Extruded product quality assessment indices: A review. *International Journal of Agriculture Science*. 2015;8(54):2928-2934.
4. Mazumder MAR, Hongsprabhas P. A review on nutrient quality of soymilk powder for malnourished population. *Pakistan Journal of Nutrition*. 2015;15(6): 600-606.

5. Taghdir M, Mazloomi SM, Honar N, Sepandi M, Ashourpour M, Salehi M. Effect of soy flour on nutritional, physicochemical and sensory characteristics of gluten-free Bread. *Food Science and Nutrition*. 2015;5(3):439–445.
6. Badola R, Danish M, Kumar S, Fahad M, Kanade PP, Upadhayay S, Kohli D, Rautela I. Effect of incorporation of black pepper and cardamom on quality characteristics of paneer. *International Journal of Applied Science and Engineering*. 2018;6(2):121-127.
7. Chaudhary ML, Pinto SV, Paul P. Evaluation of GDL as an acidulant in combination with selected hydrocolloids on physicochemical, rheological attributes and acceptability of RFP. *International Journal of Chemical Studies*. 2019;7(3):3502-3509
8. Abdalla AK, Smith K, Lucey J. Physical properties of non fat dry milk and skim milk powder. *International Journal of Dairy Science*. 2017;12(2):149-154.
9. Khan SU, Pal MA, Malik AH, Sofi AH. Process optimization for paneer production from milk powder. *International Journal of Food Nutrition and Safety*. 2012;2(2):62-71.
10. Yagci S, Gogus F. Response surface methodology for evaluation of physical and functional properties of extruded snack foods developed from food-by-products. *J of Food Engineering*. 2008;86(1):122-132.
11. Aditi, Arivuchudar R. Assessment of functional properties of flour mix. *International Journal of Food and Fermentation Technology*. 2018;8(1):81-85.
12. IS SP:18 (PART XI), 1981. ISI Hand book of food analysis, Part XI dairy products, Indian Standards Institute, Manak Bhavan, New Delhi.
13. AOAC. Official methods of analysis, 15th Ed., Association of official analytical chemists, Washington, DC. 1990;80.
14. Bhuvaneshwari S, Joshi M, D'Souza A. Quantitative analysis of iron and ascorbic acid contents in locally consumed fruits and vegetables. *International Research Journal of Biological Science*. 2015; 4(7):42-47.
15. Patil M, Kalse SB, Jain SK. Sensory evaluation of biscuits supplemented with soy flour and jamun seed powder. *International Journal of Agricultural Engineering*. 2014;7(1):131-136.
16. Odiase MO, Igene JO, Evivie SE, Ebabhamiegbebho PA. Determination and sensory evaluation of soy flour-meat combinations in the production of meatballs. *Journal of Applied and Natural Science*. 2013;5(2):482-487.
17. Aleem Z, Genitha T, Syed IH. Effects of defatted soy flour incorporation on physical, sensorial and nutritional properties of biscuits. *Journal of Food Processing and Technology*. 2012;3(4):1-4.
18. Biji N, Abhaya J, Sandhya V. Sensory and nutritional quality of soy fortified traditional product. *International Res. J. Pharmacy*. 2013;4(11):95-98.
19. Kadirvel D, Puraikalan YD. Effect of supplementation of soy flour on rice fryums quality, *International Journal of Scientific Research*. 2015;4(3):1412-1414.
20. Tadesse S, Bultosa G, Abera S. Functional and physical properties of sorghum-based extruded product supplemented with soy meal flour. *Cogent Food and Agriculture*. 2019;5(1):1-21.
21. Olusegun AA, Stephen AA, Folasade BI, Oladejo D. Effect of extrusion conditions on cassava/soybean extrudates. *Food Processing and Technology*. 2016;3(1): 237–245.
22. Sudha ML, Rajeswari G, Rao G. Influence of defatted soy flour and whey protein concentrate on dough rheological characteristics and quality of instant vermicelli. *Journal of Texture Studies*. 2010;42(1):72-80.
23. Mashayekh M, Reza MM, Hassan EM. Effect of fortification of defatted soy flour on sensory and rheological properties of wheat bread. *International Journal of Food Science and Technology*. 2008;43(9): 1693-1698.
24. Ochelle PO, Ibrahim S, Ukeyima MT. Effect of water yam and soybean composite flours on the quality of wheat based bread. *International Journal of Science and Research*. 2018;8(6):184-191.
25. Mohajan S, Orchy TN, Farzana T. Effect of incorporation of soy flour on functional, nutritional and sensory properties of mushroom–moringa-supplemented healthy soup. *Food Science and Nutrition*. 2018;6(3):549–556.
26. Otegbayo B, Samuel FO, Alalade T. Functional properties of soy-enriched tapioca. *African Journal of Biotechnology*. 2013;12(22):3583-3589.

27. Alpaslan M, Hayta M. The effects of flaxseed, soy and corn flours on the textural and sensory properties of a bakery product. *Journal of Food Quality*. 2006;29: 617–627.
28. Banureka V, Mahendran T. Formulation of wheat-soybean biscuits and their quality characteristics. *Tropical Agricultural Research and Extension*. 2011;12(2):62–66.
29. Olatidoye O, Sobowale S. Effect of full-fat soy-bean flour on the nutritional, physicochemical properties and acceptability of cassava flour. *Electronic Journal of Environmental Agricultural and Food Chemistry*. 2011;10(3):1994–1999.
30. Farzana T, Mohajan S. Effect of incorporation of soy flour to wheat flour on nutritional and sensory quality of biscuits fortified with mushroom. *Food Science and Nutrition*. 2015;3(5):363–369.
31. Singh AK, Kadam DM, Saxena M, Singh RP. Effect of soy flour supplementation on the quality and shelf life of Gulabjamuns. *International Journal of Food Science and Nutrition Engineering*. 2011;1(1):11-17.
32. Ostermann-Porcel MV, Quiroga-Panel N, Rinaldoni AN, Campderrós AE. Incorporation of okara into gluten-free cookies with high quality and nutritional value. *Journal of Food Quality*. 2017;1-8.
33. Ityotagher AP, Julius A. Physicochemical composition, sensory properties and keeping quality of functional yoghurt produced from milk-soy flour blends. *Journal of Nutritional Health and Food Engineering*. 2020;10(1):5–12.
34. Alabi MO, Anuonye J. Nutritional and sensory attributes of soy-supplemented cereal meals. *Nigerian Food Journal*. 2007;25:1-25.
35. Ndife J, Abdulraheem L, Zakari U. Evaluation of the nutritional and sensory quality of functional breads produced from whole wheat and soya bean flour blends. *African Journal of Food Science*. 2011;5(8): 466–472.
36. Ayo J, Ayo V, Popoola C, Omosebi M, Joseph L. Production and evaluation of malted soybean-acha composite flour bread and biscuit. *African Journal of Food Science and Technology*. 2014; 5(1):21–28.
37. Haque MM, Hossain MA, Zim AFMIU, Aziz MA, Hoque MA. Quality analysis of cksoy bread and its effects on glycemic index. *Current Research in Nutrition and Food Science*. 2020; 8(1): 79-87.
38. Islam T, Chowdhury A, Islam M, Islam S. Standardization of bread preparation from soy flour. *International Journal of Sustainable Crop Production*. 2007;2(6): 15–20.
39. Awasthi I, Siraj P, Tripathi M, Tripathi V. Development of soy fortified high protein and high calorie supplementary biscuits. *Indian Journal of Scientific Research*. 2012;3(1):51-58.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/113154>